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## RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE 2023 PROP L STRATEGIC PLAN BASELINE

WHEREAS, In November 2022, San Francisco voters approved Prop L, extending the existing half-cent local transportation sales tax and adopting a new 30year Expenditure Plan summarized in Attachment 1.A. that superseded Prop K; and

WHEREAS, The Prop L Expenditure Plan requires that the Transportation Authority adopt a 30-year Strategic Plan that establishes policies for Prop L administration, forecasts sales tax revenues, and forecast expenditures, including setting programming and cash flow by fiscal year for each of the 28 Expenditure Plan programs, and estimating debt needs to advance project delivery faster than pay-go would allow; and

WHEREAS, The Strategic Plan is developed in concert with the $5-Y$ ear Prioritization Programs (5YPPs) that are used to identify the specific projects to be funded in the next five years for each Expenditure Plan program; and

WHEREAS, Adoption of the Strategic Plan and 5YPPs is a prerequisite for allocation of funds from Prop L; and

WHEREAS, The first step in developing the Strategic Plan and the 5YPPs is establishing the Strategic Plan Baseline which sets the amount of pay-go funding available to each program, by fiscal year, through the end of the Expenditure Plan (2053); and

WHEREAS, The Strategic Plan Baseline (Baseline) includes policies (Attachment 1.B.), which provide guidance to Transportation Authority staff and project sponsors for implementing the program guided by three core principles: optimize leveraging of sales tax funds, support timely and cost-effective project delivery, and maximize the cost-effectiveness of financing; and

WHEREAS, Transportation Authority staff worked with Muni Services to update the sales tax revenue forecast since it was last set in June 2021 as part of Prop
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L development, with the new projection reflecting the last two years of actual data and a slow pandemic recovery in the city; and

WHEREAS, The revenue forecast is $\$ 2.194$ billion (2020\$s) which is $15 \%$ lower than Priority 2 (optimistic) and $7.7 \%$ lower than Priority 1 (conservative) revenues in the Prop L Expenditure Plan, as shown in Attachment 1.C.; and

WHEREAS, Proposed Baseline expenditures include operating expenditures, capital reserve, project costs, and debt costs; and

WHEREAS, Consistent with the Prop K program, Transportation Authority staff recommend including 1\% for program administration as allowed by statute and setting operating costs at $6.9 \%$ tapering off the last 5 years of the Expenditure Plan for planning, programming, project delivery support and oversight for Expenditure Plan projects; and

WHEREAS, The proposed Baseline includes a capital reserve, that holds the last 1.75 years of revenue in reserve (Fiscal Years 2051/52-2052/53) to protect against risk that actual revenues are lower than projected and helping ensure that there are sufficient funds to cover obligations over the 30-year program; and

WHEREAS, The proposed Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline incorporates carryforward of Prop K financial obligations, including $\$ 234.7$ million in remaining debt service for the 2017 revenue bond to be paid down in even payments of about $\$ 21$ million through FY 2033/34 and about $\$ 400$ million in grant balances which have approved cash flow reimbursement schedules primarily in the first three years of the Expenditure Plan, both which of which contribute to high cash demand over the first few years of Prop L; and

WHEREAS, For 23 of the 28 Prop L programs, the Baseline reflects their share of annual pay-go revenues based on their proportional share of funds available; and

WHEREAS, Through the 5YPP process, sponsors can request acceleration of Prop L funds to support project delivery faster than pay-go revenues would allow, but
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will need to cover a proportional share of finance costs within their program caps; and

WHEREAS, For five of the largest Prop L programs, staff has proposed accelerating funds in the Baseline, driven primarily by the near-term funding needs for two major transit projects: The Portal (DTX), which needs to meet an August 2023 funding milestone for a $\$ 3+$ billion federal Capital Investment Grant it is seeking, and BART Core Capacity, which is seeking to exercise an option and lock in a lower price on railcar procurement; and

WHEREAS, To provide a more realistic picture of debt costs for the aforementioned projects, while ensuring that Prop L can meet other programs' requests for advancing funds, the proposed Baseline also accelerates cash flow schedules for three other large programs that are seeking to advance funds: Muni Maintenance, Paratransit, and Caltrain Maintenance; and

WHEREAS, The proposed Baseline incorporates conservative assumptions (Attachment 1.D.) for the cost of financing to ensure coverage of all program expenditures, including debt costs, over the 30-year program; and

WHEREAS, The proposed Baseline reflects $\$ 639$ million in financing costs attributed to the existing 2017 revenue bond, and future debt triggered by the Prop K carryforward grant balances and the 5 Prop L programs with accelerated cash flow in the Baseline; and

WHEREAS, Attachment 1.F. shows the cash flow and finance costs in year-ofexpenditure dollars for each Prop L program as assumed in the proposed Baseline; and

WHEREAS, The proposed Baseline is an interim step and after the Board adopts the 5YPPs with specific project programming and cash flow needs identified for the first five years of the Expenditure Plan, staff will incorporate this information into the Baseline and bring a draft Final Strategic Plan to the Board for adoption (anticipated end of calendar year 2023); and
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WHEREAS, At its May 24, 2023 meeting, the Community Advisory Committee was briefed on the proposed 2023 Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation; and

WHEREAS, At its June 13, 2023 meeting, the Board reviewed was briefed on the proposed 2023 Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby adopts the 2023 Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline.

Attachment:

1. 2023 Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline
A. 2022 Expenditure Plan Summary
B. Strategic Plan Policies
C. Draft Prop L Sales Tax Revenue Forecast
D. Key Financial Model Assumptions
E. Priority 1 Funding and Funds Available (2020 \$s)
F. Cash Flow and Finance Costs by Expenditure Plan Program (YOE \$s)
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The foregoing Resolution was approved and adopted by the San Francisco County Transportation Authority at a regularly scheduled meeting thereof, this 27th day of June 2023, by the following votes:

Ayes: Commissioners Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, and Stefani (8)

Absent: Commissioners Chan, Safai, and Walton (3)

| DocuSigned by: <br> Rafael Mandelman <br> -3235B3A057A3450. | 7/18/2023 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rafael Mandelman Chair | Date |



7/20/2023

ATTEST:
Tilly Chang
Date
Executive Director
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Draft 2023 Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline
The Prop L Strategic Plan provides transparency and accountability about how we administer the sales tax and serves as a key financial planning tool for the measure. The Strategic Plan has three main elements - policies, revenues, and expenditures. The Strategic Plan guides day-to-day administration of the measure through its policies. Further, through its financial model, the Strategic Plan is the tool we use to ensure that projected sales tax revenues are sufficient to cover all program-related expenditures and it gives us a sense of how much debt the program can support if agencies seek to advance funds. Importantly, the Strategic Plan supports project delivery and leveraging of other funds by ensuring that Prop L funds are available when needed.

Developing the Strategic Plan is an iterative process closely linked with development of the 5-Yar Prioritization Programs or 5YPPs which identify the specific projects to be funded in each Expenditure Plan program over the next five years. Adoption of the 2023 Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline is the first step in the Strategic Plan and 5YPP development process. The Baseline sets the amount of pay-go funding available to each program, by fiscal year, through the end of the Expenditure Plan (2053). This provides the starting budget for project sponsors as the work to propose projects to fund in the next five year period. Following adoption of all 28 5YPPs, we will bring the final Strategic Plan, incorporating the programming and cash flow needs of the 5YPP projects, to the Board for adoption.

## Background

San Francisco voters in November 2022 approved Proposition L, the Sales Tax for Transportation Projects measure that will direct up to $\$ 2.6$ billion ( $2020 \$$ s) in half-cent sales tax funds over 30 years to help deliver safer, smoother streets, more reliable transit, continue paratransit services for seniors and persons with disabilities, reduce congestion, and improve air quality.


The 30-year Expenditure Plan for Prop L was developed with extensive outreach with the public and an Advisory Committee, composed of 27 members from neighborhoods, community groups, advocacy organizations, and business and civic groups. The Expenditure Plan defines 28
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programs, organized in five major categories as shown above and listed in Attachment A. The Expenditure Plan is a primary tool that we use to help implement the San Francisco Transportation Plan.

## Strategic Plan Development Process

While the Strategic Plan is the long-range financial planning tool for the program, it is developed in concert with 5YPPs that identify the specific projects to be funded in the next 5 years. This iterative process is illustrated in the diagram below. Adoption of the Strategic Plan and 5YPP documents is a prerequisite for allocation of funds from Prop L.


The first step in developing the Strategic Plan and the 5YPPs is establishing the Strategic Plan Baseline. In addition to providing guidance about program implementation to staff and sponsors through the policies, the Baseline sets the amount of pay-go funding available to each program, by fiscal year, through the end of the Expenditure Plan (2053). This provides the starting budget for project sponsors as they identify the projects they wish to fund over the next five years.

## Policies

The Prop L Strategic Plan Policies, included as Attachment B, are based on three core principles: optimize leveraging of sales tax funds, support timely and cost-effective project delivery, and maximize the cost-effectiveness of financing. The proposed policies are essentially the same as the policies we had for Prop K, which we have been refining over many years, with minor modifications for clarity and to reflect specific details of the Prop L Expenditure Plan. Examples of key policies include project readiness requirements for allocation of funds, establishing that Prop L is a reimbursement-based program, requiring proportional spending of Prop $L$ and non-Prop L funds, and setting a policy that only programs that advance funds faster than pay-as-you-go will need to proportionately cover their share of financing costs within the funding caps. This policy, carried forward from Prop K, protects the smaller ongoing programs from being impacted by the debt costs resulting from major capital projects/programs choosing to significantly advance funds. The aforementioned policies are critical cash management tools that we use to minimize financing
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costs for the overall program while seeking to have funds ready when sponsors need them to support project delivery.

One notable new Prop L policy references the Expenditure Plan requirement that the Transportation Authority develop project delivery oversight guidelines. We anticipate presenting these to the Board for approval by the end of the calendar year, if not sooner.

The policies are included with track changes to show differences from the 2021 Prop K Strategic Plan policies.

## Revenues

In June 2021 we developed the two forecasts for sales tax revenues in the Expenditure Plan - the Priority 1 conservative forecast of $\$ 2.378$ billion (2020\$s) and the Priority 2 optimistic forecast of $\$ 2.598$ billion (2020\$s). These revenue forecasts are net of $\$ 550$ million for Prop K carryforward obligations assumed in the Prop L Expenditure Plan, including existing grant balances, remaining payments for the 2017 bonds ( $\$ 235$ million), and other Prop K financial obligations (e.g., maintain the revolving line of credit).

To update the revenue forecast for the Baseline, we worked with Muni Services, our economic consultants, to assist with revenue forecasting. Revenue forecasts from April 2023 reflect a lower projection of $\$ 2.194$ billion (2020\$s) (net of the $\$ 550$ million Prop K carryforward) which is $15 \%$ lower than Priority 2 levels and $7.7 \%$ lower than Priority 1 revenues in the Expenditure Plan. This new projection is grounded in the latest data and considers actual revenues in the last two fiscal years as well as the current economic picture showing a slow pandemic recovery in San Francisco. We think it's prudent to adjust our forecast for the Strategic Plan and to err on the side of conservatism for budgeting and programming purposes because we want to make sure we have enough revenues to meet our commitments to projects and debt. We also recognize that this is year 1 of a 30 -year plan, and we hope that when we update the Strategic Plan in a few years, revenues will have outperformed expectations.

Attachment C compares the revenue forecast in the Expenditure Plan to the current revenue forecast that we are recommending for the Strategic Plan Baseline. Forecasts are shown both in 2020 dollars, which we use to ensure we comply with Expenditure Plan funding caps for each program, and in Year of Expenditure dollars which we use when we program and allocate funds to projects.

## Expenditures

The Strategic Plan Baseline includes four elements of expenditures - operating expenditures, capital reserve, project costs, and debt costs.

Operating Costs and Program Administration. The Baseline includes the continuation of 7.9\% off the top of the sales tax program for operating costs and program administration. This is the same level as for Prop K, including 6.9\%, (tapering off the last 5 years of the Expenditure Plan) for planning, programming, project delivery support, and oversight for Expenditure Plan projects and
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1\% for program administration (same as Prop K) as allowed by statute. All other funds are available for project expenses and project related financing.

Capital Reserve. The Baseline includes a capital reserve, that holds the last 1.75 years of revenue in a reserve (Fiscal Years 2051/52-2052/53) to protect against risk that actual revenues are lower than projected, helping ensure that we have enough funds to cover obligations. We will evaluate the capital reserve with each Strategic Plan update and rightsize it and/or release excess funds as appropriate for programming to projects.

Prop K Carry Forward. Prop L superseded Prop K which required us to carryforward the Prop K financial obligations into this measure. These obligations include $\$ 234.7$ million in remaining debt service for the 2017 revenue bond in even payments of about $\$ 21$ million through FY 2033/34 and about $\$ 400$ million in grant balances from about 400 open grants. The chart below lists the projects with the largest outstanding balances - nearly a quarter of which is attributed to the SFMTA's Light Rail Vehicle Procurement (\$97.6 million).


The approved cash flow reimbursement schedules for these Prop K grants primarily happen in the first 2-3 years of the Expenditure Plan, which is creating a high cash demand over the next few years even before we program any funds to Prop L projects. We are already seeing reimbursement requests coming in slower than the approved maximum for Fiscal Year 2022/23, so we have updated the Strategic Plan financial model to better reflect current expenditures and lowered the cash needs from $\$ 200$ million to $\$ 120$ million to match the amended agency budget. The delta in cash needs is now reflected in Fiscal Year 2025/26, providing a more realistic schedule for these expenditures. Once the Prop K carryforward grants have been reimbursed, starting in Fiscal Year 2028/29, there is nearly double the amount of pay-go funds available for new Prop L projects.

Prop L in the Baseline. For 23 of the 28 Prop L programs, the Strategic Plan Baseline reflects their share of annual pay-go revenues over the 30-year period. Through the 5YPP process, sponsors can
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request acceleration of Prop L funds to support project delivery faster than pay-go revenues would allow, but will need to cover a proportional share of finance costs within their program caps.

For 5 of the 28 programs, we are advancing funds in the Baseline, driven by the near-term funding needs for two major transit projects:

- The Portal/Caltrain Downtown Rail Extension (DTX) is seeking the $\$ 300$ million Prop L programming commitment needed to meet a Federal Transit Administration Capital Investment Grants (CIG) funding milestone in August 2023. The project is seeking a \$3+ billion CIG grant.
- BART Core Capacity is seeking $\$ 100$ million in the first 10 years of the Expenditure Plan, including a partial allocation this fall to exercise an option on its railcar replacement contract.

To give a more realistic picture of financing costs for these projects, while ensuring we can meet other programs' requests for advancing funds, we are also including accelerating programming and cash flow schedules in the Baseline for three other programs that we know are seeking to advance funds. Together these are among the biggest Prop L programs.

- Muni Maintenance has programming placeholders through Fiscal Year 2047/48 in anticipation of advancing funds for this program, which is more than double the size of any other program, resulting in an outsized impact on financing costs. We look forward to working with SFMTA to identify which projects should be prioritized for funding during the 5YPP process. If a less aggressive cash flow is needed to support the recommended projects, we would push out the cash flow in the final Strategic Plan, which would reduce debt costs.
- Paratransit includes $\$ 13$ million per year with an annual inflationary increase through Fiscal Year 2037/38 to provide funding stability for this critical program for seniors and persons with disabilities.
- Caltrain Maintenance has placeholders of $\$ 5$ million per year through Fiscal Year 2045/46 to support Caltrain budgeting and corresponding commitments from funding partners in the three Peninsula Joint Powers Board counties.

While these numbers will change as we refine the above programs that have placeholders and with the addition of 5YPP projects, advancing these large programs in the Baseline give us confidence that we can recommend the advanced programming and cash flow to support The Portal and BART Core Capacity near-term needs, in particular.

## Debt Assumptions in the Financial Model

We use conservative assumption for the cost of financing to ensure we can cover all debt costs over the 30 -year program. Attachment D provides the key assumptions in the Prop L Strategic Plan financial model. When expenditures exceed the available revenues, the model first pulls down on a $\$ 125$ million in revolver loan at an interest rate of $3 \%$. Once the revolver amount is fully drawn, the model assumes that the revolver debt plus any additional financing needed is rolled over into a bond at an interest rate of $5 \%$. All assumed bonds mature in 2050. The Strategic Plan Baseline reflects $\$ 639$ million in financing costs attributed to the existing 2017 revenue bond ( $\$ 40.5$ million), and future debt triggered by the Prop K carryforward grant balances and the 5 Prop L
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programs that are advancing funds in the Baseline. These figures will change as we work with sponsors to recommend 5 -year projects lists for all of the programs. As we bring the various rounds of 5YPPs to the Board for approval, we will provide updated Strategic Plan debt assumptions. Once all of the 5YPPs are adopted, we will incorporate their project programming and cash flow into the Final Strategic Plan.

## Next Steps

Following adoption of the Strategic Plan Baseline, sponsors will have the amount of funds available for each of the Expenditure Plan programs and can use this information when identifying the projects they wish to propose for sales tax funding in the next five years. For those programs where sponsors are seeking to advance funds faster than pay-go, we will evaluate their requests and if they seem reasonable, we will add them to the Strategic Plan model to ensure we can accommodate the request within the financial envelope of the 30 -year program and to get an estimate of financing costs which would come out of the advancing programs' funding caps. Our schedule anticipates continuing to work with sponsors through the summer and into the fall and bringing the bulk of the 5YPPs to the Board for approval in October/November, with adopted of the final Strategic Plan in November/December following adoption of all 28 5YPPs.

## Attachments

- Attachment A - 2022 Expenditure Plan Summary
- Attachment B - Strategic Plan Policies
- Attachment C - Draft Prop L Sales Tax Revenue Forecast
- Attachment D - Key Financial Model Assumptions
- Attachment E - Priority 1 Funding and Priority 1 Funding Levels (2020 \$s)
- Attachment F - Cash Flow and Finance Costs by Expenditure Plan Program (YOE \$s)


## 2022 Half-Cent Sales Tax Transportation Expenditure Plan

| 2020 \$MILLIONS |  | TOTAL EXPECTED FUNDING ${ }^{1}$ |  | TOTAL SALES TAX FUNDING ${ }^{2}$ |  | \% OF SALES TAX FUNDING ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. MAJOR TRANSIT PROJECTS |  | \$ | 10,354.7 | \$ | 587.0 | 22.6\% |
| i. Muni Reliability and Efficiency Improvements |  | \$ | 1,088.3 | \$ | 110.0 | - |
| ii. Muni Rail Core Capacity |  | \$ | 720.0 | \$ | 57.0 | - |
| iii. BART Core Capacity |  | \$ | 3,536.4 | \$ | 100.0 | - |
| iv. Caltrain Service Vision: Capital System Capacity Investments |  | \$ | 10.0 | \$ | 10.0 | - |
| v. Caltrain Downtown Rail Extension and Pennsylvania Alignment |  | \$ | 5,000.0 | \$ | 310.0 | - |
| B. TRANSIT MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENTS |  | \$ | 10,065.3 | \$ | 1,070.0 | 41.2\% |
| i. Transit Maintenance, Rehabilitation, and Replacement |  | \$ | 9,047.1 | \$ | 975.0 | - |
| 1. Muni |  | \$ | 7,934.8 | \$ | 825.0 | - |
| 2. BART |  | \$ | 547.7 | \$ | 45.0 | - |
| 3. Caltrain |  | \$ | 550.3 | \$ | 100.0 | - |
| 4. Ferry |  | \$ | 14.3 | \$ | 5.0 | - |
| ii. Transit Enhancements |  | \$ | 1,018.2 | \$ | 95.0 | - |
| 1. Transit Enhancements |  | \$ | 777.4 | \$ | 36.0 | - |
| 2. Bayview Caltrain Station |  | \$ | 100.0 | \$ | 27.0 | - |
| 3. Mission Bay Ferry Landing |  | \$ | 53.8 | \$ | 5.0 | - |
| 4. Next Generation Transit Investments |  | \$ | 87.0 | \$ | 27.0 | - |
| C. PARATRANSIT ${ }^{4}$ |  | \$ | 1,270.0 | \$ | 297.0 | 11.4\% |
| D. STREETS AND FREEWAYS |  | \$ | 3,767.1 | \$ | 492.0 | 18.9\% |
| i. Maintenance, Rehabilitation, and Replacement |  | \$ | 2,194.7 | \$ | 214.0 | - |
| 1. Street Resurfacing, Rehabilitation, and Maintenance |  | \$ | 1,984.0 | \$ | 105.0 | - |
| 2. Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities Maintenance |  | \$ | 84.6 | \$ | 19.0 | - |
| 3. Traffic Signs and Signals Maintenance |  | \$ | 126.1 | \$ | 90.0 | - |
| ii. Safe and Complete Streets |  | \$ | 1,114.8 | \$ | 240.0 | - |
| 1. Safer and Complete Streets |  | \$ | 918.8 | \$ | 187.0 | - |
| 2. Curb Ramps |  | \$ | 143.0 | \$ | 29.0 | - |
| 3. Tree Planting |  | \$ | 53.0 | \$ | 24.0 | - |
| iii. Freeway Safety and Operational Improvements |  | \$ | 457.6 | \$ | 38.0 | - |
| 1. Vision Zero Ramps |  | \$ | 27.5 | \$ | 8.0 | - |
| 2. Managed Lanes and Express Bus |  | \$ | 206.0 | \$ | 10.0 | - |
| 3. Transformative Freeway and Major Street Projects |  | \$ | 224.1 | \$ | 20.0 | - |
| E. TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT |  | \$ | 824.8 | \$ | 152.0 | 5.9\% |
| i. Transportation Demand Management |  | \$ | 146.5 | \$ | 23.0 | - |
| ii. Transportation, Land Use, and Community Coordination |  | \$ | 678.3 | \$ | 129.0 | - |
| 1. Neighborhood Transportation Program |  | \$ | 191.2 | \$ | 46.0 | - |
| 2. Equity Priority Transportation Program |  | \$ | 192.2 | \$ | 47.0 | - |
| 3. Development Oriented Transportation |  | \$ | 263.7 | \$ | 26.0 | - |
| 4. Citywide/Modal Planning |  | \$ | 31.2 | \$ | 10.0 | - |
|  | TOTAL | \$ | 26,281.9 | \$ | 2,598.0 | 100.0\% |
|  | Total Sales Tax Priority 1 |  | - | \$ | 2,378.0 |  |
|  | Total Sales Tax Priority $1+2$ |  | - | \$ | 2,598.0 |  |

## Notes
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## 2023 Prop L Strategic Plan Policies

The Strategic Plan policies provide guidance to both Transportation Authority staff and project sponsors on the various aspects of managing a program as large and complex as Prop L. The policies address the programming, allocation, and expenditure of funds, in the policy context of the Transportation Authority's overall Prop L debt management strategy, as well as clarifying the Transportation Authority's expectations of sponsors to deliver their projects in fulfillment of the voter approved Expenditure Plan.

These policies are substantively the same as the policies for the Prop K program, drawing on three decades of experience administering the local half-cent sales tax program. We have proposed minor revisions to the policies reflecting unique requirements of Prop L, refinements drawing from lessons learned over the past five years since the Board last approved revisions to the Prop K policies, and minor revisions for clarity. Proposed revisions are shown using track changes.

## GUIDING PRINCIPLES

To help structure our efforts, we use three guiding principles that are fundamental to implementation of the Expenditure Plan as approved by the voters:

- Optimize leveraging of sales tax funds
- Support timely and cost-effective project delivery
- Maximize cost effectiveness of financing

The full set of policies guiding the Transportation Authority and project sponsors are detailed below.

## 1. Optimizing the Leveraging of Sales tax Funds

### 1.1. No Substitution

Prop $L$ funds will not substitute for another local fund source that has been previously programmed or allocated to a project or program.

### 1.2. Certification of Committed Funds

Prop L funds will be programmed and allocated to phases of projects emphasizing the leveraging of other fund sources. At the time of a Prop L allocation request, the project sponsor will provide certification that all complementary fund sources required to fully fund the requested phase or phases are committed to the project. Funding is considered committed if it is included specifically in a programming document adopted by the governing board or council responsible for the administration of the funding and
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recognized by the Transportation Authority as available for the phase at the time the funds are needed.

### 1.3. Required Match Consideration

In establishing priorities in the Strategic Plan, 5-Year Prioritization Programs (5YPP), and allocation actions, the Transportation Authority will take into consideration the need for Prop L funds to be available for matching federal, state, or regional fund sources for projects requesting sales tax funds.

### 1.4. Priority for Projects Leveraging Funds with Timely Use of Funds Requirements

Projects with complementary funds from other sources will be given priority for allocation if there are timely use of funds requirements outside of the Transportation Authority's jurisdiction applied to the other fund sources.

### 1.5. Regional Transportation Plan and San Francisco Transportation Plan Consistency

Projects shall be consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and the San Francisco Transportation Plan (SFTP).

## 2. Support Timely and Cost-Effective Project Delivery

### 2.1. 5-Year Prioritization Program Approval

Transportation Authority Board approval of a 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP) is a prerequisite for allocation of funds from each program in the Expenditure Plan. The Transportation Authority will prepare, in close coordination with all other affected planning and implementation agencies, a 5YPP including clearly defined budgets, scopes and schedules as well as other requirements specified in the Expenditure Plan and 5YPP guidance issued by Transportation Authority staff. Allocations may be made simultaneous to approval of the 5YPP, contingent on consistency with the Strategic Plan.

### 2.2. Allocation by Phase

Prop L funds will be allocated one project phase at a time, except for smaller, less complex projects, where the Transportation Authority may consider exceptions to approve multi-phase allocations. The Transportation Authority will also consider multiphase exceptions for a project using Prop $L$ as a local match for certain federal funds,
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where the administering agency combines planning, environmental, and design work into a one-phase allocation. Phases eligible for an allocation are as follows:

- Planning/Conceptual Engineering
- Preliminary Engineering/ Environmental Studies (PA\&ED)
- Design Engineering (PS\&E)
- Right of Way Support/Acquisition
- Construction (includes procurement)
- Operations (e.g., paratransit operating support)


### 2.3. Operations and Maintenance

Prop L funds shall be spent on capital projects rather than to fund operations and maintenance of existing transportation services, unless explicitly specified in Section 4. Description of Programs in the Expenditure Plan.

### 2.4. Prerequisite Milestones for Allocation

Allocations of Prop L funds for specific project phases will be contingent on the prerequisite milestones shown in Table 1. Exceptions will be considered on a case-bycase basis. Allocation requests will be made prior to advertising for services which will utilize Prop L funds.

TABLE 1. PREREQUISITE MILESTONES FOR ALLOCATION

PHASE
Planning/Conceptual
Engineering

PREREQUISITE MILESTONE(S) FOR ALLOCATION

- 5YPP
Environmental Studies • 5YPP
(PA\&ED)
Design Engineering (PS\&E) - SYPP
- Capital construction funding in adopted plan, including RTP and Countywide Transportation Plan

| Right of Way Support/Acquisition | - 5YPP <br> - Approved environmental document <br> - Capital construction phase committed in programming document |
| :---: | :---: |
| Construction (includes procurement) | - 5YPP <br> - Approved environmental document <br> - Right of way certification <br> - 95\% PS\&E <br> - All applicable permits |
| Operations (e.g., paratransit operations) | - 5YPP |
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PHASE

## PREREQUISITE MILESTONE(S) FOR ALLOCATION

- Proof that all other fund sources are identified and committed for operating the facility or service
- For pilot projects, demonstration of potential for ongoing funding

Prop L allocations for right-of-way and construction will be contingent on a completed environmental document. Consideration will be given to right-of-way acquisition prior to environmental document completion to respond to owner hardship, or to avoid significant cost increases due to impending development of the site. Allocations in these situations may be granted if the risk associated with the exception can be mitigated to an acceptable level and the exception is consistent with a cost-effective approach to delivering the project or program as required in the Expenditure Plan.

Prop K funds will be allocated for right of way capital and support only if the project has identified and committed construction capital funds. The Transportation Authority will consider exceptions whereupon investment in right of way can be recovered if the project does not go forward.

### 2.5. Project Readiness

Prop L funds will be allocated to phases of a project based on demonstrated readiness to begin the work and ability to complete the product. Any impediments to completing the project phase or program will be taken into consideration, including any pending or threatened litigation. The Transportation Authority will take into consideration any incomplete aspects of the previous phase of work prior to allocating to the next phase.

### 2.6. Work Products and Deliverables

Project phases for which Prop L funds are allocated will be expected to result in a complete work product or deliverable. The expected work product for each phase is described in Table 2 below. Requests for allocations that are expected to result in a work product/deliverable other than that shown in Table 2 for a specific phase shall include a description of the expected work product/deliverable. Prior to approval of a request for allocation that is expected to result in a work product/deliverable other than that shown in Table 2 for the specific phase, the Transportation Authority shall make a determination that the expected work product is consistent with a cost-effective approach to delivering the project as required in the Expenditure Plan. The Transportation Authority may require additional deliverables for a specific allocation that will be reflected in the allocation request form approved by the Transportation Authority Board.

Prop L funds will be allocated prior to the advertising for any equipment or services necessitating the expenditure of Prop $L$ funds.

Attachment B<br>2023 Prop L Strategic Plan Policies

TABLE 2. EXPECTED WORK PRODUCTS/DELIVERABLES BY PHASE

| PHASE | EXPECTED WORK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PRODUCT/DELIVERABLE |  |

1 The Transportation Authority will specify required deliverables for an allocation in the Allocation Request Form, typically requiring evidence of completion of the above work products/deliverables such as a copy of the signed certifications page as evidence of completion of PS\&E or digital photos of a completed construction project.

### 2.7. Allocation Request Package

Allocations of Prop L funds will be based on an application package prepared and submitted by an eligible project sponsor. The package will be in accordance with application guidelines and formats as outlined in the Transportation Authority's allocation request procedures. The final application submittal must include sufficient detail and supporting documentation to facilitate a determination that the applicable Strategic Plan policies have been satisfied. The allocation request procedures are located on the Transportation Authority's website at www.sfcta.org.

### 2.8. Retroactive Reimbursements Not Allowed

Retroactive expenses are ineligible. No expenses will be reimbursed that are incurred prior to Board approval of the sales tax allocation for a particular project. The Transportation Authority will not reimburse expenses incurred prior to fully executing a
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Standard Grant Agreement. Exceptions to this policy may be granted under the following conditions:

- Where the Transportation Authority has previously approved the scope of a project and that scope has incurred increased costs; and
- Capital costs of a multi-year project to which the Transportation Authority has made a formal commitment in a resolution for out-year costs, although the funds have not been allocated.

While these costs shall be eligible for reimbursement in the situations cited above, the timing and amount of reimbursement will be subject to a Transportation Authority allocation, based on available revenues, other anticipated project requests, and program limits established in the Expenditure Plan.

### 2.9. Indirect Expenses Not Allowed

Indirect expenses are ineligible. Reimbursable expenses will include only those expenses directly attributable to the delivery of the products for that phase of the project or program receiving a Prop L allocation.

### 2.10. Contract Award and Encumbrance

Prop L allocations for construction capital and equipment purchase shall be encumbered by the award of a contract within 12 months of the date of allocation. At the end of the project, Prop L allocations for the construction, construction engineering and equipment purchase phases shall be drawn down within 12 months of the date of contract acceptance.

### 2.11. Remaining Balance Returned to Same Program

Upon completion of the project, including any expected work product shown in Table 2, the Transportation Authority will deem that any remaining programmed or unspent balance for the project is available for programming to another project within the same Expenditure Plan program.

### 2.12. Communication

It is imperative to the success of the Prop L program that project sponsors of Prop Lfunded projects work with Transportation Authority representatives in a cooperative process. It is the project sponsor's responsibility to keep the Transportation Authority apprised of significant issues affecting project delivery and costs. Ongoing communication resolves issues, facilitates compliance with Transportation Authority
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policies and contributes greatly toward ensuring that adequate funds will be available when they are needed to support project delivery.

### 2.13. Project Delivery Oversight

The Transportation Authority may increase oversight of a given project due to many factors, including but not limited to project size or complexity, issues with scope, schedule, or budget, higher than expected bids, difficulties in the environmental or right-of-way phases, project stakeholders with competing interests, changes in project leadership or key staff, or issues with sponsor capacity in delivering the project. As required by the Expenditure Plan, the Transportation Authority Board shall adopt project delivery oversight guidelines for major capital projects in support of the cost-effective and timely delivery of Prop L-funded projects. These guidelines will be developed by Transportation Authority staff in consultation with affected project sponsors and will be implemented in collaboration with project sponsors. The guidelines may include, but are not limited to, more frequent reporting periods, direct Transportation Authority (or Transportation Authority authorized agent) involvement in project meetings, field visits, audits, establishment of or participation in a project oversight group, or reports/investigations into the project by the Transportation Authority. Transportation Authority staff shall report at least annually to the Transportation Authority Board on the status of major capital projects that are funded by Prop L.

## 3. Maximize the Cost-Effectiveness of Financing

### 3.1. Cash Flow Distribution Schedules

Under the approved Transportation Authority Fiscal Policy, Cash Flow Distribution Schedules consistent with project schedule are adopted simultaneous to the allocation action. The allocation resolution will spell out the maximum reimbursement level per year, and only the reimbursement amount authorized in the year of allocation will count against the Capital Expenditures line item for that budget year. The Capital Expenditures line item for subsequent year annual budgets will reflect the maximum reimbursement schedule amounts committed through the original and any subsequent allocation actions. The Transportation Authority will not guarantee reimbursement levels higher than those adopted in the original allocation or any subsequent amendments.

### 3.2. Timely-Use-Of-Funds Requirements

Timely use of funds requirements will be applied to all Prop L allocations to help avoid situations where Prop L funds sit unused for prolonged periods of time, especially when the Transportation Authority is issuing debt in order to make those allocations. Annual allocations that are unspent may be deducted from the following year's allocation to avoid the unnecessary accumulation of unspent revenue and the untimely delivery of a product to the public. Alternatively, the Transportation Authority may choose not to advance an allocation for the next year's activity until the prior allocation is substantially expended. On the occasion of each Strategic Plan update or major amendment,
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envisioned no less frequently than every five years, the ability of sponsors to deliver their committed projects will be taken into consideration when updating the programming of funds.

### 3.3. Proportional Spending

Other fund sources committed to the project will be used in conjunction with Prop L funds. To the maximum extent practicable, other fund sources should be spent down prior to Prop L funds. Otherwise, Prop L funds will be spent down at a rate proportional to the Prop L share of the total funds programmed to the project phase.

### 3.4. Priority 1 vs. Priority 2 Funding Levels

Allocations of Prop L funds will not exceed the total amount for the given program or project established in the Expenditure Plan as Priority 1 until such time as the latest Prop L Strategic Plan update cash flow analysis includes revenue forecasts that exceed the Priority 1 levels. If after programming all Priority 1 funds to every program in a subcategory, the latest Strategic Plan forecasts available revenues in excess of Priority 1 levels, the Transportation Authority Board may allow programing of Priority 2 funds with the subcategory, subject to the program dollar amount caps for Priority 2 in the Expenditure Plan.

### 3.4.1 Legacy Projects

Projects carried forward from the Prop K Expenditure Plan as legacy project shall be eligible to receive Priority 1 funds from the designated programs, not to exceed the unallocated amount programmed in the Prop K Strategic Plan as of March 31, 2023.

### 3.5. Pro-Rata Share

The baseline of funding that any Expenditure Plan program can expect from Prop L cannot exceed the pro-rata share of that program's amount relative to the total amount of Prop L revenue in any given year. If the project sponsor wants more funding earlier than the corresponding pro-rata share, then debt financing must be agreed to by the Transportation Authority, and the costs of debt financing for that project or projects must be borne by the Expenditure Plan program from which the funds are allocated. See also policies 3.6 and 3.7.

### 3.6. Advancing Funds

The amount of funds that can be advanced is finite, reflecting the Transportation Authority's limited borrowing capacity. The Transportation Authority must optimize debt service burden through effective planning and project cash management, in
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coordination with Transportation Authority project sponsors, and preserve the highest practical credit ratings in order to minimize the cost of borrowing.

### 3.7. Financing Assigned By Program

Debt issuance and service costs will be allocated to individual Expenditure Plan programs in proportion to the amount of debt issuance they trigger. The interest assigned to a program will be considered a cost to that program. Total cost, including programming and interest, will not exceed the Priority 1 funding caps as outlined in the Expenditure Plan.

|  | Prop L 2021 Forecast (Priority 1 and 2) Summer 2021 |  |  |  |  | Prop L 2021 Forecast (Priority 1 Only) Summer 2021 |  |  |  |  | 2023 Strategic Plan Baseline Spring 2023 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year |  | venue Forecast YOE\$ | $\text { change }^{5}$ |  | enue Forecast in $2020 \$^{3}$ |  | venue Forecast YOE\$ | $\text { change }^{5}$ |  | enue Forecast in 2020 ${ }^{3}$ |  | Revenue Forecast YOE\$ | $\text { change }^{5}$ |  | enue Forecast in $2020 \$^{3}$ |
| FY2022/23 ${ }^{1}$ | \$ | 27,055,500 |  | \$ | 25,502,404 | \$ | 27,055,500 |  | \$ | 25,502,404 | \$ | 27,803,000 |  | \$ | 26,206,994 |
| FY2023/24 | \$ | 117,299,000 | N/A | \$ | 107,345,202 | \$ | 117,299,000 | N/A | \$ | 107,345,202 | \$ | 112,357,000 | N/A | \$ | 102,822,571 |
| FY2024/25 | \$ | 125,051,000 | 6.6\% | \$ | 111,106,194 | \$ | 125,051,000 | 6.6\% | \$ | 111,106,194 | \$ | 116,920,000 | 4.1\% | \$ | 103,881,906 |
| FY2025/26 | \$ | 130,890,000 | 4.7\% | \$ | 112,906,864 | \$ | 130,890,000 | 4.7\% | \$ | 112,906,864 | \$ | 121,382,000 | 3.8\% | \$ | 104,705,179 |
| FY2026/27 | \$ | 134,044,449 | 2.4\% | \$ | 112,260,116 | \$ | 133,221,645 | 1.8\% | \$ | 111,571,031 | \$ | 125,595,000 | 3.5\% | \$ | 105,183,835 |
| FY2027/28 | \$ | 137,274,920 | 2.4\% | \$ | 111,617,072 | \$ | 135,594,826 | 1.8\% | \$ | 110,251,002 | \$ | 129,577,000 | 3.2\% | \$ | 105,357,959 |
| FY2028/29 | \$ | 140,583,246 | 2.4\% | \$ | 110,977,712 | \$ | 138,010,282 | 1.8\% | \$ | 108,946,591 | \$ | 131,650,232 | 1.6\% | \$ | 103,925,909 |
| FY2029/30 | \$ | 143,971,302 | 2.4\% | \$ | 110,342,015 | \$ | 140,468,767 | 1.8\% | \$ | 107,657,613 | \$ | 133,756,636 | 1.6\% | \$ | 102,513,324 |
| FY2030/31 | \$ | 147,441,010 | 2.4\% | \$ | 109,709,959 | \$ | 142,971,046 | 1.8\% | \$ | 106,383,885 | \$ | 135,896,742 | 1.6\% | \$ | 101,119,939 |
| FY2031/32 | \$ | 150,994,339 | 2.4\% | \$ | 109,081,523 | \$ | 145,517,900 | 1.8\% | \$ | 105,125,227 | \$ | 138,071,090 | 1.6\% | \$ | 99,745,493 |
| FY2032/33 | \$ | 154,633,302 | 2.4\% | \$ | 108,456,687 | \$ | 148,110,124 | 1.8\% | \$ | 103,881,461 | \$ | 140,280,227 | 1.6\% | \$ | 98,389,729 |
| FY2033/34 | \$ | 158,359,965 | 2.4\% | \$ | 107,835,430 | \$ | 150,748,525 | 1.8\% | \$ | 102,652,410 | \$ | 142,524,711 | 1.6\% | \$ | 97,052,393 |
| FY2034/35 | \$ | 162,176,440 | 2.4\% | \$ | 107,217,732 | \$ | 153,433,925 | 1.8\% | \$ | 101,437,900 | \$ | 144,805,106 | 1.6\% | \$ | 95,733,234 |
| FY2035/36 | \$ | 166,084,892 | 2.4\% | \$ | 106,603,572 | \$ | 156,167,163 | 1.8\% | \$ | 100,237,760 | \$ | 147,121,988 | 1.6\% | \$ | 94,432,006 |
| FY2036/37 | \$ | 170,087,538 | 2.4\% | \$ | 105,992,931 | \$ | 158,949,090 | 1.8\% | \$ | 99,051,818 | \$ | 149,475,940 | 1.6\% | \$ | 93,148,464 |
| FY2037/38 | \$ | 174,186,648 | 2.4\% | \$ | 105,385,787 | \$ | 161,780,574 | 1.8\% | \$ | 97,879,908 | \$ | 151,867,555 | 1.6\% | \$ | 91,882,368 |
| FY2038/39 | \$ | 178,384,546 | 2.4\% | \$ | 104,782,120 | \$ | 164,662,497 | 1.8\% | \$ | 96,721,863 | \$ | 154,297,436 | 1.6\% | \$ | 90,633,482 |
| FY2039/40 | \$ | 182,683,614 | 2.4\% | \$ | 104,181,912 | \$ | 167,595,758 | 1.8\% | \$ | 95,577,519 | \$ | 156,766,195 | 1.6\% | \$ | 89,401,570 |
| FY2040/41 | \$ | 187,086,289 | 2.4\% | \$ | 103,585,142 | \$ | 170,581,272 | 1.8\% | \$ | 94,446,714 | \$ | 159,274,454 | 1.6\% | \$ | 88,186,403 |
| FY2041/42 | \$ | 191,595,068 | 2.4\% | \$ | 102,991,790 | \$ | 173,619,969 | 1.8\% | \$ | 93,329,289 | \$ | 161,822,845 | 1.6\% | \$ | 86,987,753 |
| FY2042/43 | \$ | 196,212,509 | 2.4\% | \$ | 102,401,837 | \$ | 176,712,796 | 1.8\% | \$ | 92,225,083 | \$ | 164,412,010 | 1.6\% | \$ | 85,805,395 |
| FY2043/44 | \$ | 200,941,231 | 2.4\% | \$ | 101,815,264 | \$ | 179,860,719 | 1.8\% | \$ | 91,133,942 | \$ | 167,042,603 | 1.6\% | \$ | 84,639,108 |
| FY2044/45 | \$ | 205,783,915 | 2.4\% | \$ | 101,232,050 | \$ | 183,064,718 | 1.8\% | \$ | 90,055,711 | \$ | 169,715,284 | 1.6\% | \$ | 83,488,674 |
| FY2045/46 | \$ | 210,743,307 | 2.4\% | \$ | 100,652,177 | \$ | 186,325,792 | 1.8\% | \$ | 88,990,236 | \$ | 172,430,729 | 1.6\% | \$ | 82,353,876 |
| FY2046/47 | \$ | 215,822,221 | 2.4\% | \$ | 100,075,626 | \$ | 189,644,958 | 1.8\% | \$ | 87,937,367 | \$ | 175,189,620 | 1.6\% | \$ | 81,234,503 |
| FY2047/48 | \$ | 221,023,536 | 2.4\% | \$ | 99,502,377 | \$ | 193,023,251 | 1.8\% | \$ | 86,896,955 | \$ | 177,992,654 | 1.6\% | \$ | 80,130,345 |
| FY2048/49 | \$ | 226,350,203 | 2.4\% | \$ | 98,932,412 | \$ | 196,461,724 | 1.8\% | \$ | 85,868,853 | \$ | 180,840,537 | 1.6\% | \$ | 79,041,195 |
| FY2049/50 | \$ | 231,805,243 | 2.4\% | \$ | 98,365,712 | \$ | 199,961,450 | 1.8\% | \$ | 84,852,914 | \$ | 183,733,985 | 1.6\% | \$ | 77,966,848 |
| FY2050/51 | \$ | 237,391,750 | 2.4\% | \$ | 97,802,258 | \$ | 203,523,519 | 1.8\% | \$ | 83,848,995 | \$ | 186,673,729 | 1.6\% | \$ | 76,907,105 |
| FY2051/52 | \$ | 243,112,891 | 2.4\% | \$ | 97,242,031 | \$ | 207,149,041 | 1.8\% | \$ | 82,856,954 | \$ | 189,660,509 | 1.6\% | \$ | 75,861,766 |
| FY2052/53 ${ }^{2}$ | \$ | 186,728,934 |  | \$ | 72,513,760 | \$ | 158,129,361 |  | \$ | 61,407,487 | \$ | 144,521,308 |  | \$ | 56,122,976 |
| Total | \$ | 5,355,798,807 |  | \$ | 3,148,417,667 | \$ | 4,915,586,196 |  | \$ | 2,928,087,151 | \$ | 4,593,458,124 |  | \$ | 2,744,862,302 |
| Prop K Carryforward Commitments ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  | \$ | (550,000,000) |  |  |  | \$ | (550,000,000) |  |  |  | \$ | (550,000,000) |
| Total Revenue Forecast for the Prop L: |  |  |  |  | 2,598,417,667 |  |  |  | \$ | 2,378,087,151 |  |  |  | \$ | 2,194,862,302 |

${ }^{1}$ Prop L took effect 4/1/2023. FY23 includes revenues only from April through June.
${ }^{2}$ Prop L covers 30 years ending $3 / 31 / 2053$, so this fiscal year has only three quarters of revenues.
${ }^{3}$ Uses $3 \%$ inflation to de-escalate to $2020 \$$.
${ }^{4}$ Prop K Carryforward Commitments include: repayment of existing 2017 series bond; remaining grant balances; and other Prop K financial obligations such as new debt issued (there was none) incurred before April 1, 2023.
${ }^{5}$ Annual average growth rate for the Prop L 2021 Forecast (Priority 1 and 2) was $2.6 \%$. Annual average growth rate for the Prop L 2021 Forecast (Priority 1 only) was $2.1 \%$. Annual average growth rate for the 2023 Strategic Plan Baseline Forecast is $1.9 \%$.
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The purpose of this document is to provide the key assumptions in the Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline financial model. The key assumptions are as follows:

- Program Administration and Operating Costs
- Operating Costs - Recommend 6.9\% (same as Prop K), tapering off FY 2048/49-FY 2052/53 (last five years of the Expenditure Plan) for planning, programming, project delivery support, and oversight for Expenditure Plan projects.
- Program Administration - Recommend 1\% (same as Prop K) as allowed by statute.
- Prop K Carryforward Obligations
- Prop K 2017 Bond Repayment - ~ $\mathbf{\$ 2 1 M} /$ year through FY 2033/34 totaling $\$ 235$ M. See Table 1 for the payment schedule.
- Prop K Grants - Cash Flow Reimbursement Schedule - Remaining grant balances for 399 open grants total $\$ 400$ M. Assumed cash flow for FY 2022/23 matches our FY 2022/23 amended agency budget ( $\$ 120 \mathrm{M}$ ) rather than the approved cash flow reimbursement schedule ( $\$ 200 \mathrm{M}$ ) which is not likely to occur given the number of grants and based on historic trends for invoicing. We shifted the remaining $\$ 80 \mathrm{M}$ of cash flow into FY 2025/26 to reflect a more realistic cash flow in the model.
- Prop K Allowance of Pay-Go Funds - $\$ 50 \mathrm{M} /$ year for FY 2023/24 - FY 2027/28. We used a simplified assumption to give the model a number it was "allowed" to spend on Prop K needs before incurring financing costs to the Prop K program. We set the Prop K and the Prop L pay-go allowances to be equal for the first five years when Prop K cash flows are anticipated, to fairly distribute financing costs among the Prop K grants and Prop L programs that request advancement of funds.
- Prop L Allowance of Pay-Go Funds - $\$ 50 \mathrm{M} /$ year for FY 2023/24 - FY 2027/28; then programming up to $90 \%$ of funds available through the end of the program. Capping the amount of funds programmed is necessary to comply with debt service coverage ratio constraints to maintain a favorable credit rating.
- Capital Reserve - Last 1.75 years of revenue, or $\$ 334 \mathrm{M}$ ( $\$ \mathrm{YOE}$ ). These funds are not spent and provide a contingency in case revenues are lower than expected.
- Escalation/De-escalation Percentage for Prop L Funds - 3\%. There is an inflation-based escalation/de-escalation factor of 3\% in order to convert from Year of Expenditure dollars to 2020 dollars and back. The Expenditure Plan amounts are in 2020 dollars.
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## Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline - Key Financial Model Assumptions

## Future Debt Assumptions

- Revolver Loan Interest Rate - 3\%. The actual rate varies with the market, but based on historical rate averages, $3 \%$ is appropriately conservative.
- Revolver Loan Size - $\$ 125$ million. Maintain revolver at current size.
- Bonding Instrument - Fixed single-rate.
- Bond Interest Rate - Fixed single-rate of 5\%.
- Debt Service Coverage Constraint - 1.75x. This is the ratio that refers to the amount of cash flow available to meet annual interest and principal payments on debt.
- Bond Structure - Backloaded level schedule.
- Term of Debt - All assumed bonds mature in 2050. Any outstanding revolver loan beyond 2050 is assumed to be paid with cash on hand from the capital reserve.
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Table 1: Remaining Debt Service on 2017 Series (\$M)

| Fiscal Year | Principal | Interest | Annual Debt Service |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FY 2023/24 | $\$ 14.55$ | $\$ 6.79$ | $\$ 21.34$ |
| FY 2024/25 | $\$ 15.13$ | $\$ 6.21$ | $\$ 21.33$ |
| FY 2025/26 | $\$ 15.74$ | $\$ 5.60$ | $\$ 21.34$ |
| FY 2026/27 | $\$ 16.36$ | $\$ 4.97$ | $\$ 21.33$ |
| FY 2027/28 | $\$ 17.02$ | $\$ 4.32$ | $\$ 21.33$ |
| FY 2028/29 | $\$ 17.70$ | $\$ 3.64$ | $\$ 21.33$ |
| FY 2029/30 | $\$ 18.41$ | $\$ 2.93$ | $\$ 21.34$ |
| FY 2030/31 | $\$ 18.96$ | $\$ 2.38$ | $\$ 21.33$ |
| FY 2031/32 | $\$ 19.53$ | $\$ 1.81$ | $\$ 21.34$ |
| FY 2032/33 | $\$ 20.11$ | $\$ 1.22$ | $\$ 21.33$ |
| FY 2033/34 | $\$ 20.72$ | $\$ 0.62$ | $\$ 21.34$ |
| Total Remaining |  | $\$ 40.50$ | $\$ 234.69$ |
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Attachment E: Available Funds and Priority 1 Funding Levels (2020\$'s)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { EP } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Expenditure Plan Programs | Priority 1 Funding Cap ${ }^{1}$ | Priority 1 <br> Pro-Rata <br> Share ${ }^{2}$ | Available Funds ${ }^{3}$ | \% of Priority $1^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| A. MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Muni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201 | Muni Reliability and Efficiency Improvements | \$ | 110,000,000 | 4.63\% | \$ | 101,620,547 | 92.4\% |
| 202 | Muni Rail Core Capacity | \$ | 50,000,000 | 2.10\% | \$ | 46,191,158 | 92.4\% |
| II. BART |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 203 | BART Core Capacity | \$ | 100,000,000 | 4.21\% | \$ | 92,382,315 | 92.4\% |
| III. Caltrain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 204 | Caltrain Service Vision: Capital System Capacity Investments | \$ | - | - | \$ | - |  |
| 205 | Caltrain Downtown Rail Extension and Pennsylvania Alignment | \$ | 300,000,000 | 12.62\% | \$ | 277,146,946 | 92.4\% |
|  | MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS | \$ | 560,000,000 | 23.55\% | \$ | 517,340,966 | 92.4\% |


| B. TRANSIT MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Transit Maintenance, Rehabilitation, and Replacement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 206 | Muni Maintenance | \$ | 784,000,000 | 32.97\% | \$ | 724,277,352 | 92.4\% |
| 207 | BART Maintenance | \$ | 35,000,000 | 1.47\% | \$ | 32,333,810 | 92.4\% |
| 208 | Caltrain Maintenance | \$ | 100,000,000 | 4.21\% | \$ | 92,382,315 | 92.4\% |
| 209 | Ferry Maintenance | \$ | 5,000,000 | 0.21\% | \$ | 4,619,116 | 92.4\% |
| II. Transit Enhancements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 210 | Transit Enhancements | \$ | 29,000,000 | 1.22\% | \$ | 26,790,871 | 92.4\% |
| 211 | Bayview Caltrain Station | \$ | 27,000,000 | 1.14\% | \$ | 24,943,225 | 92.4\% |
| 212 | Mission Bay Ferry Landing | \$ | 5,000,000 | 0.21\% | \$ | 4,619,116 | 92.4\% |
| 213 | Next Generation Transit Investments | \$ | 22,000,000 | 0.93\% | \$ | 20,324,109 | 92.4\% |
| TOT | TRANSIT MAINTENANCE AND NCEMENTS |  | 07,000,000 | 42.35\% | \$ | 930,289,916 | 92.4\% |


| C. PARATRANSIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 214 | Paratransit | $\$$ | $227,000,000$ | $9.55 \%$ | $\$$ | $209,707,856$ | $92.4 \%$ |
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| $\begin{gathered} \text { EP } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Expenditure Plan Programs | Priority 1 Funding Cap ${ }^{1}$ |  | Priority 1 <br> Pro - Rata <br> Share ${ }^{2}$ |  | able Funds ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Priority } 1^{4} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III. Freeway Safety and Operational Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 221 | Vision Zero Ramps | \$ | 8,000,000 | 0.34\% | \$ | 7,390,585 | 92.4\% |
| 222 | Managed Lanes and Express Bus | \$ | 10,000,000 | 0.42\% | \$ | 9,238,232 | 92.4\% |
| 223 | Transformative Freeway and Major Street Projects | \$ | 20,000,000 | 0.84\% | \$ | 18,476,463 | 92.4\% |
| TOTAL STREETS AND FREEWAYS |  | \$ | 453,000,000 | 19.05\% | \$ | 418,491,889 | 92.4\% |


| E. TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Transportation Demand Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 224 | Transportation Demand Management | \$ | 18,000,000 | 0.76\% | \$ | 16,628,817 | 92.4\% |
| II. Transportation Demand Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225 | Neighborhood Transportation Program | \$ | 41,000,000 | 1.72\% | \$ | 37,876,749 | 92.4\% |
| 226 | Equity Priority Transportation Program | \$ | 42,000,000 | 1.77\% | \$ | 38,800,572 | 92.4\% |
| 227 | Development-Oriented Transportation | \$ | 20,000,000 | 0.84\% | \$ | 18,476,463 | 92.4\% |
| 228 | Citywide / Modal Planning | \$ | 10,000,000 | 0.42\% | \$ | 9,238,232 | 92.4\% |
|  | AL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM DEVELOP MANAGEMENT | \$ | 131,000,000 | 5.51\% | \$ | 121,020,833 | 92.4\% |


| TOTAL PROP LSTRATEGIC PLAN | $\$ 2,378,000,000$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 2,196,851,459$ | $92.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Notes:
${ }^{1}$ Each program in Prop L has a Priority 1 funding cap based on Priority 1 funding levels (conservative forecast) in the Expenditure Plan. For some programs, the Expenditure Plan also establishes a Priority 2 funding cap that will come into play if the Strategic Plan forecasts available revenues in excess of Priority 1 levels.
${ }^{2}$ The pro-rata share represents each Expenditure Plan program's proportion of Priority 1 funds, as established in the Expenditure Plan.
${ }^{3}$ The total amount available to each Expenditure Plan program based on its pro-rata share of the 2023 Strategic Plan Baseline revenue forecast. Funds are presented in 2020\$'s to allow consistent comparison to the Priority 1 funding caps set by the Expenditure Plan.
${ }^{4} 2023$ forecast of available funds (2020\$'s) as a portion of Priority 1 funds (2020\$'s).

Attachment F: Cash Flow and Finance Costs By Expenditure Plan Program (YOE $\$$ 's)
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Attachment F: Cash Flow and Finance Costs By Expenditure Plan Program (YOE \$'s)

|  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { Totat Avaialale } \\ \text { funds }}}$ |  | Total Progamming therest Costs | Fr202273 | 203324 | Fr20425 | ${ }_{\text {Fr202526 }}$ | r202627 | 220728 | r202829 | Fr202930 | Fr203031 | ${ }_{\text {r2031/32 }}$ | ${ }^{203233}$ | ${ }_{\text {Fr2033/ }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Fr203435 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Fr203336 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Fr203637 }}$ | Fr203738 | ${ }_{\text {Fr203839 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | s $124,420,013$ | 00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trafic Sigss 4 Signas Mantenance |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5} 946,173$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { s } & 1,892,347\end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ (1,892,347 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }} 1,882,347{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}{ }_{\text {c }} 1,892,347$ | s ${ }_{\text {s }} \quad 4,154,271$ | 5 ${ }_{5}^{4,220,739}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} \quad 4,288,271$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5} 4,354,622$ s | s $4,426,593$ | s ${ }_{\text {s }} 4,497,418$ | S 4,569,376 | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ S $4,642,48$ | \$ 4,76,765 | \$ $4,792,233$ | S ${ }_{5} 4.888,009$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1,892,347 | 1,8, | \% ${ }_{\text {s }} 1,882,347$ | 1,982,347 | 4,154,271 ¢ | S 4,220,739 | 4,288,271 | s $4,354,622$ | 4,42, 593 | 4,49,418 | 9, 376 | 2,486 | 4,71,765 | S 4,792,233 | ,909 |
| Ser and Complete Streets | \$ 210,131,577 | 0.00\% | ramming ${ }^{\text {S }}$ 208,637,942 | s - ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 1,597,88 $/$ | 3,195,963 | [ $3,195,963$ / | 3,95,963 | 3,195,963 | [ $6.975,253$ / | ¢ 7,086,858 | ¢ 7,200,248 | ¢ $7,311,169$ s | 7,42,501 | 7,55,421 | 7,672,245 | s 7,79,002 | 7,99,722 | 439 | 182 |
|  |  |  | Total | s | 5 | \% 3.195 .63 | s 3.195 .963 ' ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | S 3,195,93 |  | S $0.975,253$ | \$ $7.086,858$ |  | ¢ $7,311,169$ | ${ }^{5}$ \% $7,432.501$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s }} 3,195,963$ | ¢ $3,195,963$ \} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s $1,991,722$ |  |  |
| Curb Ramps | S 40,090,893 | 0.00\% |  | s | S ${ }^{5}$ 304,878 | 609,75 | 609,756 s | 9,756 | 09,756 |  | s 1,360,016 | 1,381,776 | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { s } & 1,403,156 \\ 5\end{array}$ | 422,3 | 5  <br> 5 $1,449,168$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { s } & 1,472,355 \\ 5\end{array}$ | 495,912 | Ss | 164 | S $1,568,871$ |
|  |  |  | Total S 40,038,2 |  | S 304,87 | 609,75 | 60,756 | 609,756 | 60,756 | S 1,38,599 | 1,360,016 | 1,381,776 | S 1,403,156 | ${ }_{1,426,347}^{104}$ | 1,449,168 | 1,472,355 | 1,995,912 | 1,51, 847 | 1,544,164 | S ${ }^{1,568,871}$ |
| Tre Planting | S ${ }^{27,648,892}$ | 0.00\% | reaming ${ }^{\text {S }}$ S $27,012,003$ |  | 20,261 | S 420,521 | \$ 420,521 | 420,521 | 420,521 | 923,171 | S 937,942 | 952,44 | \% 967,94 | 983,687 | 999, | S $1.015,417$ | s 1,031,64 | s 1,048,170 | S $1,064,941$ | S $1,081,88$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | s 40. | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }^{420,5}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\mathrm{s}_{5}$ 93, 171 s | 5 937, | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ 967,044 | 5 ¢ 983,6 | \% 999,426 | S 1.015,417 | \% |  | S $1.064,941$ | S |
|  |  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {S }}$ S $27,612,003$ |  | s 210,261 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | \$ 420,521 | \$ 420,521 | 420,5 | ¢ 420,521 | \% 923,171 s | $5 \quad 937$, | / 952,49 | ¢ 967,694 | 5 983,60 | $5 \quad 999,46$ | S $1,015,417$ | s 1,031,664 | s $1,048,170$ | S $1,064,941$ | S $1,081,88$ |
| Vision ero Ramps | ${ }^{5} \quad 11,059,57$ | 0.00\% |  gramming $\$$ <br> rest Costs $\$ 11,045,041$  <br>    | s | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 84,104/ | 168,209 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 10168,209$ | 188,209 | S 168,209 | s ${ }_{\text {s }} \mathbf{3 6 9 , 2 6 9}$ / | s 375,177 | [5 ${ }^{5} 888,180$ | s ${ }_{\text {s }}$ 387,078 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 393,475 | S ${ }^{5}$ | 406,167 | 412,665 | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ [19,268 | 425,96 | 432,792 |
|  |  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {ctill }} 11,045,041$ | s - | S 88,104 | 168,209 | 168,209 | 168,209 | 168,209 | 369,269 | 375,177 | 381,180 | 387,078 | 393,475 | 399,70 | 400,16 | 412,665 | 419,288 | 425,976 | S 432,92 |
| Managed Lanes and Express Uus | \$ ${ }^{13,824,466}$ | 0.00\% | ammin | s . | s 105,130 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 210,2 | 200,261 | 210,2 | 10,2 | 461,586 | 468, | S 476,475 | 483,8 | S 4991844 | \$ 499,713 | 500,708 | 515 | S 524,085 | \$ 532,470 | S 540,900 |
|  |  |  | est costs ${ }_{\text {S }}$ | s |  |  | s | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s 5 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {S }}$ S ${ }^{13,006,30}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 507,708 | 515,832 | 524,085 | 532,470 |  |
| Transformative Freeway and Major Street Projects | S ${ }^{27,648,892}$ | 0.00\% |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { s } & 210,261 \\ 5\end{array}$ | 420,521 | s ${ }_{5}$ | 420,521 | 420,521 | s 923,171 | 5 937,942 | 952,949 | \% ${ }^{567,694}$ |  |  | 1,015,417 | s $11.031,664$ | 170 | 941 | 1,081,980 |
|  |  |  | Total s 27,612,60: |  | s 210,26 | 42, 521 | S 420,52 | 420,5 | 420,52 | S 923, | 937,9 | / 952,949 | 967,6 | ¢ 983,687 | \% 999,426 | 1,015,41 | s 1,031,64 | s 1,048,170 | 1,0 | s $1,081,980$ |
| Total stretrs anv frewars | S $626,247,398$ | 0.00\% | Programming ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 62,007,612 | s | 4,762,405 | 9,524,811 | 9,524,811 s | 9,524,811 | 9,524,811 | S 20,86,983 | 21,20, 886 | 21,542,132 | S 21,874,60 | 22,23,995 | S 22,52,786 | 2,954,270 | 2,321,538 | ¢ $23,64,682$ | 797 | 8,977 |
|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { interest Costs }}{\text { Toald }}$ | s | s | $\frac{5}{5}$, 524.81 |  | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | s | ${ }_{5}{ }^{2}$ | s ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | s $\mathrm{s}^{2} 874$ | s 2236 | s 22.59288 | s 22954 | s 5 |  | ${ }_{5}$ S 200839 | $5^{24.458977}$ |
| TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT/STRATEGIC INTITATVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trasportation Demand Management | \$ 24,884,003 | 0.00\% |  | s - | 188,235 | 378,469 | S 378,469 | 378,469 | 378,469 | s 830,854 s | 5844,148 | ${ }_{857,64}$ | s 870,924 s | 885,319 | ${ }^{899,484}$ | 913,875 | 928,497 | 943 , | 958,44 | S 973,782 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}5 & 18,2,25\end{array}$ | 378,469 | S 378,469 | 378,469 | 378,469 | 830,854 | 844,148 | ${ }^{857,654}$ | 870,24 | 885,319 | 899,484 | 91,875 | s 928,47 | 943,353 | 958,447 | 973,782 |
| eghbortood Transooration Program | \$ $56,680,228$ | 0.00\% | logramming S 56,00, 836 |  | 34 | \$ 862,069 | / 8862,069 / | ,069 | 2,069 | /s 1,892,501 | s $1,922,781$ | ¢ ${ }_{5} 1,953,546$ | /s 1,983,772 | S 2,016,559 | 5 2,04,824 | \$ 2,081,005 | s 2,114,90 | ¢ 2,148,749 | S $2,183,129$ | \$ $2,218,058$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | S 862,069 | ${ }^{5}$ |  | ${ }_{5} 86206$ | s |  | s | s | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,148,74 |  | 2,218,058 |
| Equity Priority Transorataion Program | \$ 58,062,673 | 0.00\% | cramming ${ }^{5}$ 57,88,466 | s . | ,548 | 3,095 | 83,095 | 88,095 | ${ }_{883,095}$ | ¢ $1,988,660$ | S $1,969,678$ | 2,001, 193 | 2,032,157 | S 2,065,743 | S 2,098,995 | 376 | \$ $2,166,493$ | s $2,2001,157$ | \$ 2,236,376 | 5 $2,272,157$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5} 441$ | s 08 <br> s 883,095 | s 883,095 | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 883,095 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { s } & 1,986,6\end{array}$ | 1,96, 678 | 2,001,193 | s $2,032,157$ s | ${ }_{5}^{5} \quad 2,065,743$ | S 2,08,795 | 2,132,376 | 2,166,933 | 2,201, 15 | 2,236,376 | $2,272,15$ |
| Development-Oriented Transportation | S $27,648,892$ | 0.00\% | lesamming $\mathrm{S} \quad 27.612,603$ |  | ¢ 210,261 | 420,521 | S $420,521 \mathrm{~s}$ | ${ }^{420,521}$ | $5{ }^{420,521}$ | ¢ 923,171 s | 5 937,42 | [5 952,949 | s 967,64 / | 983,687 | ¢ 999,426 | 1,015,47 | ¢ 1,031,64 | s 1,048,170 | 1,064,941 | 1,08, 980 |
|  |  |  | Interest costs ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {S }}$ S ${ }^{\text {27,612,03 }}$ |  | s 20,261 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | S 420,521 | s 420,521 s | s 420,521 s | ¢ 420,521 | ¢ 923,171 s | 5 937,942 | \% 952,49 | $5 \quad 967,694$ | 983,687 | S 999,426 | 1,015,417 | 1,031,664 | 1,048,170 | 1,064,941 | 1,081,98, |
| citwwide / Modal Planning | \$ 13,824,446 | 0.00\% | Progaming Interest costs S |  | s ${ }_{\text {s }} 1005,130 / 5$ | 210,261 | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ 210,261 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s ${ }_{5} \quad 20,261$ | 210,261 | 461,586 | 468,971 | $476,475$ | 483,847 | 491,844 | S 4999713 | 507,708 | \% 515,832 | \$ 524,085 | 532,470 |  |
|  |  |  | Total S ¢ $13,800,301$ |  | S ${ }^{5}$ | s 210,261 | 210,261 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 10,261 | 210,261 | ' 461,586 | S 468,971 | 47,475 | S 488,847 | 491,844 | S 499,7 | 507,708 | 515,832 | 524,08 | 532,47 | 540,981 |
| TOTAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT/STRATEGIC INITIATIVES | s 188,100,241 | .00\% | Programming S (180,882,548 |  | ¢ $1,377,208$ / | 5 2,754,415 | $52,754,415$ s | ¢ $2,754,415$ s | 5 $2,754,415$ | s $6.046,773$ s | $5^{6,143,521}$ | [ ${ }_{5}^{6,241,817}$ | s $6,38,3,94$ | 6.43, 152 | $5^{6,546,24}$ | 0,650,98 | 6,75, 396 | 6,86,514 | 75,36 | s 7,086,967 |
|  |  |  | Interest Costs Tos | s | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ 5 |  |  |  | ${ }_{5} 5$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total S \% 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S $0.75,396$ | 6,86,5,514 | S $6.975,362$ | 967 |
| Total steateic Plan (Prop. L) | s 3,287,45, 229 | .30\% | Propaming ${ }^{\text {cta }}$ |  | ${ }_{5} 48,38,37,526$ | s 76,955,710 | ${ }^{5} 105,140,844{ }^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{9,5458,232}$ | S 107,988,091 |  | S $19,2,275,566$ | S ${ }^{\text {S } 137,395,175}$ |  | S $129.708,452$ | S 105, 00, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ / 12 | S 107, 124,4771 | ${ }^{\text {s }} 1218,372,582$ | S 104,488,28809 | 93,118,070 | S $81,855,957$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | S ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{5}{5} 10,484,966$ | s $148,7813,527$ | S $150,940,4949$ |  | S $12,76,67,755$ | S $120,7,377,255$ | S $194,7413,888$ | S $1218,443,370$ | S $1110,754,160$ |  |
| Prop. K Casstiow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S $\quad 828,403,459$ | 44.83\% | Cashliow ${ }^{\text {s }}$ S $47,048,985$ | S $119,963,721$ | $5151,355,838$ | ${ }^{85,306,388}$ | ${ }^{92,521,337}$ ¢ | 4,934,001 | ${ }^{2,283,466}$ | S 674,274 S |  | 5,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{58,801,000}{59,107,327}$ | $\frac{510,442,30}{}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | s ${ }^{\text {s }} 13,084,385$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5} 11,449,644$ | S $10,57,974$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 9$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ | $\frac{\text { s } 12,842,887 \text { s }{ }^{\text {s }} 12,84,887 \text { s }}{}$ | $\frac{5}{5} 13,099,366$ | $\frac{5}{5} 13,075,788$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }} 16,29,973$ | s $15,403,921$ | ¢ ${ }^{\text {s }} 10.521,647$ |  | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 15,79,775 |



Attachment F: Cash Flow and Finance Costs By Expenditure Plan Program (YOE \$'s)



[^0]:    1 Total Expected Funding represents project costs or implementable phases of multi-phase projects and programs based on a 30 -year forecast of expected revenues from existing federal, state, and programs based on a 30 -year forecast of expected revenues from existing federal, state, regional, and local sources, plus $\$ 2.598$ billion in Proposition - revenues. The amounts in this
    are provided in fulfillment of Sections 131051 (a)(1), (b) and (c) of the Public Utilities Code.

    2 The "Total Sales Tax" fulfills the requirements in Section 131051(d) of the Public Utilities Code.
    3 Percentages are based on Proposition _ Priority 1 and 2 forecasts of $\$ 2.598$ billion. The forecast is net of existing obligations of the predecessor Proposition K program.

