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Draft Expenditure Plan Discussion
Draft Expenditure Plan Discussion

For Discussion Today:

• Program refinement recommendations
  • With no net funding level changes; sponsor requested

• Funding level recommendations
  • Reducing total funding to match revised revenue forecast: need to reduce $32 M (million) in Priority 1
  • Funding revisions based on EPAC and other input (looking at Priority 1 and Priority 2 funding)
Program Refinement Recommendations: No Net Funding Changes (slide 1 of 3)

- **Muni Maintenance: Vehicles, Facilities and Guideways**
  - Combine 3 programs into 1: Muni Maintenance
  - SFMTA’s request; parallels BART & Caltrain maintenance programs

- **BART Maintenance and BART Station Access, Safety and Capacity**
  - Combine 2 programs into 1: BART Maintenance
  - BART’s request
Program Refinement Recommendations: No Funding Changes (slide 2 of 3)

- **Safer and Complete Streets**
  - Split out *Traffic Signs & Signals Maintenance*-$90\ M$ (leaves $136.9\ M$ in Safer and Complete Streets)
  - SFMTA’s request, this amount/request was noted in initial program description
  - Have heard some EPAC support for this change, recognizing signal safety benefits
Program Refinement Recommendations: No Funding Changes (slide 3 of 3)

• If EPAC supports these changes, staff will update program descriptions and Expenditure Plan (EP) summary table to match

• Any major concerns from EPAC members?
Informal EPAC Zoom Poll, January 27, 2022

• Informal poll of the group; not a binding vote

• Results used to help staff craft Expenditure Plan scenarios for the group to consider
How we used the poll results:

• **Majority of votes were for “Stay the Same”**

• **Looked at programs that got the most votes for MORE or DECREASED funding to focus discussion of potential changes**
(Based on the Draft Expenditure Plan Summary Table Revised 10/4/2021), we asked:

For each program, would you like to see:

A. MORE funding than proposed in the initial draft expenditure plan.
B. The SAME level of funding as proposed.
C. Funding DECREASED if necessary to fund other priorities.
D. Unsure
Draft EP: January 27 EPAC Zoom Poll

• Between 20 and 22 EPAC members voted on each question (out of a total of 27 members)

• 10 Programs received 12 or more (a majority) of votes to Stay the Same as in the Preliminary Draft Expenditure Plan

• There were relatively few Unsure votes
  • Tree Planting: 4 Unsure votes
  • Ferry Maintenance: 3 Unsure votes
  • 16 programs received 1 or 2 Unsure votes
10 Programs received 12 or more (a majority) of votes to **Keep the Same Level of Funding** as in the Preliminary Draft Expenditure Plan.

- Muni Reliability and Efficiency Improvements (15)
- Caltrain Maintenance (15)
- Ferry Maintenance (15)
- BART Station Access, Safety and Capacity (14)
- Vision Zero Ramps (14)
- Paratransit (13)
- Street Resurfacing, Rehabilitation and Maintenance (13)
- Transformative Freeway & Major Street Projects (13)
- Bayview Caltrain Station (12)
- Transit Enhancements (12)
8 Programs received 7 or more votes for **More Funding** than in the Preliminary Draft Expenditure Plan.

- Safer and Complete Streets (10)
- Equity Priority Transportation Program (9)
- BART Core Capacity (8)
- Curb Ramps (8)
- BART Maintenance (7)
- Paratransit (7)*
- Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities Maintenance (7)
- Neighborhood Transportation Program (7)

*also received a majority of votes to keep the same level of funding*
11 Programs received 7 or more votes to **Decrease Funding if Needed for Other Priorities** compared to the Preliminary Draft Expenditure Plan

- Caltrain Downtown Rail Extension and Pennsylvania Alignment (14)
- Caltrain Service Vision: Capital System Capacity Investments (11)
- Tree Planting (11)
- Mission Bay Ferry Landing (10)
- Next Generation Transit Investments (9)
- Development Oriented Transportation Program (9)
- Bayview Caltrain Station (8)*
- Transportation Demand Management (8)
- Muni Rail Core Capacity (7)
- Street Resurfacing, Rehabilitation and Maintenance (7)*
- Managed Lanes and Express Bus (7)

*also received a majority of votes to keep the same level of funding*
Funding Level Recommendations

• Reduce total Priority 1 funding by $32.1 M to match revised revenue forecast of $2.4 B

• The recommendation on next slide is based on:
  • EPAC member feedback from Zoom poll & ongoing
  • Community Engagement
  • Agency consultation
Funding Level Recommendations

Proposal based on EPAC feedback to reduce total Priority 1 funding by $32.1 M:

- **-$13.5 million** from Pennsylvania Alignment
  - Eligible for Next Generation Transit Investments; Downtown Caltrain Extension (DTX) program description would be revised to focus on DTX

- **-$10 million** from Caltrain Service Vision: Capital
  - Eligible for Next Generation Transit Investments; eliminates Caltrain Service Vision program

- **-$7 million** from Muni Core Capacity
  - $50 million remains for the program; no SFMTA major objection

- **-$1.6 million** from Development Oriented Transportation
  - $39 million remains for the program; multi-sponsor program

EPAC can consider Priority 2 funding for above programs.
Funding Level Recommendations

EPAC discussion on proposed reduction of $32 M to match the Priority 1 revenue forecast:

• Are there other proposals?
• Any major objections?
• Do we have consensus on a proposal?
Staff will present at the meeting revised scenarios for Priority 1 and 2 funding taking into consideration:

- **EPAC member poll**
- **Other EPAC feedback**
- **Community engagement**
- **Staff and agency input**
Staff will present at the meeting 3 revised scenarios for Priority 1 and 2 funding taking into consideration:

- **EPAC member poll**
- **Other EPAC feedback**
- **Community engagement**
- **Staff and agency input**
Scenario 1: Only reduces total Priority 1 funding to match the revised revenue forecast (-$32.1M)

Scenario 2: Increases funding for every program with high votes from the EPAC’s informal Zoom poll

Scenario 3: Further increases funding for programs for which we have received the most EPAC/public input to increase: BART Core Capacity and Maintenance, Paratransit, and Safer & Complete Streets

All Scenarios: Funding increases are matched by decreases to programs with high votes to reduce funds if needed, plus Transit Enhancements

Scenarios 2 & 3: Funding is shifted in Priority 1 from Muni Maintenance to Paratransit and Safer and Complete Streets, which is restored in Priority 2
Questions?
Public Comment

Please raise your hand:

Computer: press REACTIONS, and choose Raise Hand

Phone: dial *9

Once called on, unmute yourself:

Computer: choose UNMUTE

Phone: dial *6