

Agenda Item 3.

Meeting #4 Recap, Minutes & Follow-Ups



San Francisco
County Transportation
Authority

November 4, 2021



What have we heard from EPAC members so far?

We have asked for your input on:



Eligibility of different types of projects



Relative funding levels for different programs



Policies (e.g. administration, prioritization)



What have we heard from EPAC members so far?

There is some interest in making these project types eligible for sales tax funding:

1. Pedestrian lighting **as a stand-alone investment** (currently only eligible as part of larger corridor projects)
2. Alleyway improvements
3. Transit education (similar to bike/pedestrian education)

Relative funding levels for different programs



San Francisco
County Transportation
Authority

What have we heard from EPAC members so far?

There is some interest in increasing funding for:

1. Paratransit (also SFMTA priority)
2. Curb Ramps (also Public Works priority)
3. Street Trees (conflicting recommendations; also Public Works priority)
4. BART (also BART request)
5. Traffic Signals Maintenance and Rehabilitation (SFMTA priority for set-aside within Safer Streets)
- 6. Safer Streets**



What have we heard from EPAC members so far?

1. Equity is important to the project selection process
 - a. **Equity needs to be clearly defined and include scoring criteria**
 - b. **Equity priority community investments should be balanced with investments across the entire city**
2. Outreach is important to the project selection process
3. Consider less emphasis on downtown-focused investments in the first few years

For Upcoming Trade-Off Conversations



- Invite SFMTA to present the proposed 2022 General Obligation Bond, to understand the relationship between it and the sales tax
- Invite project sponsor agencies to be available for follow-up questions at future meeting(s)

Questions?



**San Francisco
County Transportation
Authority**