

BD101921 RESOLUTION NO. 22-13

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE 2022 PROP AA STRATEGIC PLAN POLICIES AND SCREENING AND PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA AND AMENDING THE 2017 PROP AA STRATEGIC PLAN

WHEREAS, In November 2010, San Francisco voters approved Proposition AA (Prop AA), authorizing the Transportation Authority to collect an additional \$10 annual vehicle registration fee on motor vehicles registered in San Francisco and to use the proceeds to fund transportation projects identified in the Expenditure Plan; and

WHEREAS, The Prop AA Expenditure Plan identifies eligible expenditures in three programmatic categories: Street Repair and Reconstruction, Pedestrian Safety, and Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements, and mandates the percentage of revenues that shall be allocated to each category over the life of the Expenditure Plan; and

WHEREAS, The Prop AA Expenditure Plan requires development of a Strategic Plan to guide the implementation of the program, and specifies that the Strategic Plan include a detailed 5-year prioritized program of projects (5YPP) for each of the Expenditure Plan categories as a prerequisite for allocation of funds; and

WHEREAS, In May 2017, through Resolution 17-45, the Transportation Authority Board adopted the 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan, which among other elements, included the required 5YPPs covering Fiscal Years 2017/18 to 2021/22 and which programmed \$20.7 million in Prop AA funds to 12 projects; and

WHEREAS, The Transportation Authority Board subsequently amended the 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan through adoption of Resolutions 17-45, 19-48, 19-63, and 20-62; and

WHEREAS, Transportation Authority staff has begun preparations for the 2022 Strategic Plan update and development of the 2022 5YPPs which will cover Fiscal Years 2022/23 to 2026/27; and

WHEREAS, the 2022 Strategic Plan update will be guided by two key documents: the Prop AA Strategic Plan Policies (Attachment 1) which provide guidance to staff and project sponsors on the various aspects of managing the program, including the allocation and expenditure of funds, and the Prop AA Strategic Plan Screening and Prioritization Criteria (Attachment 2) which are the mechanism to evaluate and prioritize projects for funding within the three programmatic categories; and



BD101921 RESOLUTION NO. 22-13

WHEREAS, The proposed policies and screening and prioritization criteria include only minor revisions to the currently adopted versions; and

WHEREAS, Prop AA places a strong emphasis on timely use of funds to ensure that projects result in near-term, tangible benefits to the public and as such, the Strategic Plan policies specify that any project programmed in the Strategic Plan that does not request allocation of funds in the year of programming may, at the discretion of the Board, have its funding deobligated and reprogrammed to other projects through a competitive call for projects; and

WHEREAS, Transportation Authority staff worked with San Francisco Public Works (SFPW) and San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) staff to review the status of three projects shown in Attachment 3 with funds programmed but unallocated in Fiscal Year 2020/21 or 2021/22; and

WHEREAS, The three project include SFPW's Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation and Fillmore Street Pavement Renovation, and SFMTA's Transit Stop Signage Enhancement Program - Phase 2; and

WHEREAS, After review of the reasons for delay and of the current project delivery status, Transportation Authority staff recommended amending the 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan to delay the year of programming by one year to Fiscal Year 2021/22 for both the SFMTA's Transit Stop Signage Enhancement Program - Phase 2 and SFPW's Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation projects as requested by the project sponsors; and

WHEREAS, As requested by SFPW's, Transportation Authority staff also recommend reprogramming \$2,397,129 from the Fillmore Street Pavement Renovation project to SFPW's Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation project since the former project is not likely to move forward this fiscal year, as it is coordinating with a SFMTA Muni Forward transit improvement project on Fillmore Street, which SFMTA is still defining; and

WHEREAS, An updated project information form for the Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation project is included in Attachment 4, showing the latest scope, schedule, cost and funding plan for the project; and

WHEREAS, At its September 22, 2021 meeting, the Community Advisory Committee was briefed on the proposed minor revisions to the Prop AA Strategic Plan Policies and Screening and Prioritization Criteria and on the proposed amendments to the 2017 Prop AA



BD101921 RESOLUTION NO. 22-13

Strategic Plan and adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby approves the 2022 Prop AA Strategic Plan Policies, as shown in Attachment 1 and the 2022 Prop AA Strategic Plan Screening and Prioritization Criteria, as shown in Attachment 2; and be it further RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby amends the 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan as detailed in Attachments 3 and 4.

.

#### Attachments:

- 1. Prop AA Strategic Plan Policies
- 2. Prop AA Strategic Plan Screening and Prioritization Criteria
- 3. Proposed Amendments to 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan
- 4. Project Information Form for Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation project

## Prop AA Vehicle Registration Fee Strategic Plan Policies (Adopted 10.25.16 Draft 09.14.2021)

The Strategic Plan policies and procedures provide guidance to both Transportation Authority staff and project sponsors on the various aspects of managing the Prop AA program. The Strategic Plan policies and procedures highlighted here address the allocation and expenditure of funds, in the policy context of the Transportation Authority's overall revenue structure, as well as clarifying the Transportation Authority's expectations of sponsors to deliver their projects. We have written the policies based on the experience of the Prop K program, but tailored to the smaller size of the program and to reflect the guiding principles that were used to develop the Expenditure Plan.

This Expenditure Plan identifies eligible expenditures for three programmatic categories: Street Repair and Reconstruction; Pedestrian Safety; and Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements.

The Prop AA policies are detailed below.

#### **Project Readiness**

- Prop AA funds will be allocated to phases of a project based on demonstrated readiness to begin the work and ability to complete the product. Any impediments to completing the project phase will be taken into consideration, including, but not limited to, failure to provide evidence of necessary inter- and/or intra-agency coordination, or any pending or threatened litigation.
- Allocations of Prop AA funds for specific project phases will be contingent on the prerequisite
  milestones shown in Table 1 (found at the end of this attachment). Exceptions will be
  considered on a case-by-case basis. Allocation requests will be made prior to advertising for
  services or initiating procurements which will utilize Prop AA funds.
- Projects with complementary funds from other sources will be given priority for allocation if there are timely use of funds requirements outside of the Transportation Authority's jurisdiction applied to the other fund sources.
- The sponsor will provide certification at the time of an allocation request that all complementary fund sources are committed to the project. Funding is considered committed if it is included specifically in a programming document adopted by the governing board or council responsible for the administration of the funding and recognized by the Transportation Authority as available for the phase at the time the funds are needed.

## **Programming**

- The Expenditure Plan assigns the percentage allocation of vehicle registration fee revenues over its 30-year life to each category is as follows: Street Repair and Reconstruction 50%, Pedestrian Safety- 25%, and Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements 25%. The Strategic Plan reserves the flexibility to assign annual Prop AA revenues across the three categories with considerations including project readiness and policy direction (e.g., focus on pedestrian safety). As a part of Strategic Plan updates, the amount programmed and allocated to each category will be reconciled to ensure the program is on-track to allocate funds in the proportions prescribed by the Expenditure Plan.
- Prop AA funds will be programmed and allocated to phases of projects emphasizing the leveraging of other fund sources.
- In establishing priorities in the Strategic Plan updates, the Transportation Authority will take into consideration the need for Prop AA funds to be available for matching federal, state, or regional fund sources for the project or program requesting the allocation or for other projects in the Expenditure Plan.
- On the occasion of each Strategic Plan update or major amendment, envisioned no less frequently than every four years, the ability of sponsors to deliver their committed projects and

programs and comply with timely-use-of-funds requirements will be taken into consideration when updating the programming of funds.

#### **Project Delivery and Timely Use of Funds Requirements**

- To support timely and cost-effective project delivery, Prop AA funds will be allocated <u>to</u> one project phase at a time, except for smaller, less complex projects, where the Transportation Authority may consider exceptions to approve multi-phase allocations. Phases eligible for an allocation:
  - o Design Engineering (PS&E)<sup>1</sup>
  - o Construction, including procurement (e.g. accessible pedestrian signals)
- Project phases for which Prop AA funds will be allocated will be expected to result in a complete work product or deliverable. Table 2 located in the following section demonstrates the products expected to accompany allocations.
- Implementation of project phase must occur within 12 months of date of allocation. Implementation includes issuance of a purchase order to secure project components, award of a contract, or encumbrance of staff labor charges by project sponsor. Any project that does not begin implementation within 12 months of the date of allocation may have its sponsor request a new timely-use-of-funds deadline with a new project schedule, subject to the approval of the Transportation Authority. If denied, the sponsor may request that the Transportation Authority Board determine if funds should be deobligated to be included in a competitive call for projects. Sponsors will have the opportunity to reapply for funds through these competitive calls, but will not be guaranteed any priority if other eligible, ready-to-go project applications are received.
- Prop AA final reimbursement requests and project closeout requests must be submitted within 12 months of project completion. Exceptions will be considered on a case-by-case basis.
- It is imperative to the success of the Prop AA program that project sponsors of Prop AA-funded projects work with Transportation Authority representatives in a cooperative process. It is the project sponsor's responsibility to keep the Transportation Authority apprised of significant issues affecting project delivery and costs. Ongoing communication resolves issues, facilitates compliance with Transportation Authority policies and contributes greatly toward ensuring that adequate funds will be available when they are needed.
- Timely-use-of-funds requirements will be applied to all Prop AA allocations to help avoid situations where Prop AA funds sit unused for prolonged periods of time given Prop AA's focus on delivering tangible benefits in the short term.<sup>2</sup> Any project programmed within the Prop AA Strategic Plan that does not request allocation of funds in the year of programming may, at the discretion of the Transportation Authority Board, have its funding deobligated and reprogrammed to other projects through a competitive calls for Prop AA projects. Sponsors will have the opportunity to reapply for funds through these competitive calls, but will not be guaranteed any priority if other eligible, ready-to-go project applications are received.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As defined in the Code of Federal Regulations (23 CFR §636.103), final design means any design activities following preliminary design and expressly includes the preparation of final construction plans and detailed specifications for the performance of construction work, and other activities constituting final design include final plans, project site plan, final quantities, and final engineer's estimate for construction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> One of the six guiding principles in the Prop AA Expenditure Plan calls for the Prop AA program to focus on smaller, high-impact projects that provide tangible benefits in the short-term.

#### **Project Performance**

- The Transportation Authority and project sponsors shall identify appropriate performance measures, milestone targets, and a timeline for achieving them, to ensure that progress is made in meeting the goals and objectives of the project or program. These performance measures shall be consistent with the Transportation Authority's Congestion Management Program requirements and shall be used to inform future Strategic Plan amendments and updates.
- Performance and project delivery reports of Prop AA-funded projects will be brought to the Transportation Authority Board on a regular basis to highlight the delivery of open projects.

#### Administration

- Prior to allocation of any Prop AA funds to projects, projects must be programmed in the 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP)/Strategic Plan. To become programmed, projects may either be submitted by project sponsors for Transportation Authority review at the time of Strategic Plan adoption, periodic update, or through periodic competitive calls for projects that will be amended into the 5YPP/Strategic Plan.
- Within the Strategic Plan, 5YPPs shall establish a clear set of criteria for prioritizing or ranking projects, and include clearly defined budgets, scopes and schedules for individual projects within the program, consistent with the Strategic Plan, for review and adoption by the Transportation Authority Board as provided for in the Expenditure Plan. Allocations may be made simultaneous to approval of the 5YPPs/Strategic Plan.
- Allocations of Prop AA funds will be based on an application package prepared and submitted
  by the lead agency for the project. The package will be in accordance with application
  guidelines and formats as outlined in the Transportation Authority's allocation request
  procedures, with the final application submittal to include sufficient detail and supporting
  documentation to facilitate a determination that the applicable conditions of these policies
  have been satisfied.
- Under the approved Transportation Authority Fiscal Policy, Cash Flow Distribution Schedules are adopted simultaneous to the allocation action. The allocation resolution will spell out the maximum reimbursement level per year, and only the reimbursement amount authorized in the year of allocation will count against the Capital Expenditures line item for that budget year. The Capital Expenditures line item for subsequent year annual budgets will reflect the maximum reimbursement schedule amounts committed through the original and any subsequent allocation actions. The Transportation Authority will not guarantee reimbursement levels higher than those adopted in the original and any subsequent allocation actions.
- Prop AA funds will be spent down at a rate proportional to the Prop AA share of the total funds programmed to that project phase or program. The Transportation Authority will consider exceptions on a case-by-case basis (e.g. another fund source is not immediately available or cannot be used to cover certain expenses). Project sponsors should notify the Transportation Authority of the desire for an exception to this policy when requesting allocation of funds.
- Unexpended portions of allocated amounts remaining after final reimbursement for that phase will be returned to the project's programmed balance if the project is not yet completed and has future funds programmed in the Strategic Plan.
- Upon completion of the project, including any expected work product shown in Table 2, the
  Transportation Authority will deem that any remaining programmed balance for the project is
  available for programming with first priority to another project within the same category as
  listed in the Expenditure Plan or second priority, to any other ready-to-go Prop AA projects.
  Final project selection will be determined through a competitive call for projects.

- Retroactive expenses are ineligible. No expenses will be reimbursed that are incurred prior to Board approval of the allocation for a particular project or program. The Transportation Authority will not reimburse expenses incurred prior to fully executing a Standard Grant Agreement (SGA).
- Indirect expenses are ineligible. Reimbursable expenses will include only those expenses directly attributable to the delivery of the products for that phase of the project or program receiving a Prop AA allocation.
- Projects shall be consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP).

# Table 1 Prerequisite Milestones for Allocation

Allocations of Prop AA funds for specific project phases will be contingent on the prerequisite milestones shown in the table below. Exceptions will be considered on a case-by-case basis. Allocation requests will be made prior to advertising for services which will utilize Prop AA funds.

Phase	Prerequisite Milestone(s) for Allocation
Design Engineering (PS&E)	<ul> <li>Inclusion in 5YPP/Strategic Plan</li> <li>Conceptual Preliminary Engineering Report, if applicable</li> <li>Approved environmental document</li> <li>Capital construction funding in adopted plan, including RTP</li> </ul>
Construction, including procurement (e.g. accessible pedestrian signals)	<ul> <li>Inclusion in 5YPP /Strategic Plan</li> <li>Approved environmental document</li> <li>Right of way certification (if appropriate)</li> <li>100% PS&amp;E</li> </ul>

# Table 2 Expected Work Products/Deliverables by Phase

The phase for which Prop AA funds are allocated is expected to result in a complete work product or deliverable. The expected work product for each phase is described in the table below. Upon approval of a request for allocation, the Transportation Authority on a case-by-case basis may approve a work product/deliverable other than that shown in the table below (e.g. for Transportation Demand Management projects).

Phase	Expected Work Product/Deliverable <sup>1</sup>
Design Engineering (PS&E)	Final design package including contract documents
Construction, including procurement	Constructed improvement or minimum operating segment, or equipment in service

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Transportation Authority will specify required deliverables for an allocation in the Allocation Request Form, typically requiring evidence of completion of the above work products/deliverables such as a copy of the signed certifications page as evidence of completion of PS&E or digital photos of a completed construction project.

# Prop AA Vehicle Registration Fee Strategic Plan Screening and Prioritization Criteria - (Revised 03.19.2019 Draft 09.14.2021)

The Prop AA Expenditure Plan requires that the Strategic Plan include a prioritization mechanism to rank projects within each of the three programmatic categories. The intent of this requirement is to provide the Transportation Authority Board, the public, and Prop AA project sponsors with a clear understanding of how projects are prioritized for funding within program. Having a transparent and well-documented prioritization methodology in place allows for an open, inclusive and predictable project development process, intended to result in a steady stream of projects that are ready to compete for Prop AA, Prop K, and other discretionary (i.e., competitive) fund sources for implementation. In addition, a robust prioritization methodology helps to ensure that projects programmed for Prop AA funds can deliver near-term, tangible benefits to the public as intended by the Expenditure Plan. Finally, it allows project sponsors to better take advantage of coordination opportunities with other transportation projects funded by Prop AA and other funding sources that should result in efficiencies and minimize disruption caused by construction activities.

#### I. <u>SCREENING</u>

Projects must meet all screening criteria in order to be considered further for Prop AA funding. The screening criteria focus on meeting the eligibility requirements for Prop AA funds and include, but are not limited to, the following factors:

- Project sponsor is an eligible administering agency per the Prop AA Expenditure Plan guidelines.
- Project is eligible for funding from one or more of Prop AA's three programmatic categories.
- Project is seeking Prop AA funds for design or construction phases only.
- Project is consistent with the regional transportation plan.
- Project is consistent with agency adopted plans; existing and planned land uses; and adopted standards for urban design and for the provision of pedestrian amenities; and supportive of planned growth in transit friendly housing, employment and services.

#### II. GENERAL PRIORITIZATION

Projects that meet all of the Prop AA screening criteria will be prioritized for Prop AA funding based on, but not limited to the factors listed below. Neither the general prioritization criteria listed below nor category-specific criteria listed in Section III are in any particular order nor are they weighted. In general, the more criteria a project satisfies and the better it meets them, the higher a project will be ranked.

- **Project Readiness:** Priority shall be given to projects that can implement the funded phase(s) within twelve months of allocation. Implementation includes issuance of a purchase order to secure project components, awarding a contract, or encumbrance of staff labor charges by project sponsor.
- **Time Sensitivity:** Priority shall be given to projects that are trying to take advantage of time sensitive construction coordination opportunities and whether the project would leverage other funding sources with timely use of funds requirements.
- Community Engagement/Support: Priority shall be given to projects with clear and diverse community support and/or developed out of a community-based planning process (e.g., community-based transportation plan, the Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program, corridor improvement study, campus master plan, station area plans, etc.).
- Benefits Communities of ConcernEquity Priority Communities: Priority will be given to

projects that directly benefit disadvantaged populations, whether the project is directly located in an Equity Priority Community Community of Concern or can demonstrate benefits to disadvantaged populations.

- **Fund Leveraging:** Priority shall be given to projects that can demonstrate leveraging of Prop AA funds, or that can justify why they are ineligible, have very limited eligibility, or compete poorly to receive Prop K or other discretionary funds.
- **Geographic Equity:** Prop AA programming will reflect fair geographic distribution that takes into account the various needs of San Francisco's neighborhoods. This factor will be applied program-wide and to individual projects, as appropriate.
- **Project Sponsor Priority:** For project sponsors that submit multiple Prop AA applications, the Transportation Authority will consider the project sponsor's relative priority for its applications.
- **Project Delivery Track Record:** The Transportation Authority will consider the project sponsor(s)' past project delivery track record of prior Prop AA and other Transportation Authority-programmed funds when prioritizing potential Prop AA projects. For sponsors that have not previously received Transportation Authority-funds, the Transportation Authority will consider the sponsors' project delivery track record for capital projects funded by other means.

#### III. PROGRAMMATIC CATEGORY PRIORITIZATION

In addition to the general prioritization criteria detailed in Section II, listed below are prioritization criteria specific to each programmatic category.

### Street Repair and Reconstruction

- Priority will be given to projects based on an industry-standard pavement management system designed to inform cost effective roadway maintenance.
- Priority will be given to streets located on San Francisco's bicycle and transit networks.
- Priority will be given to projects that include complete streets elements. Specifically, priority will be given to projects that include at least a minimal level of enhancement over previous conditions and that directly benefit multiple system users regardless of fund source (e.g. Street Repair and Reconstruction category, other Prop AA category or non-Prop AA fund source). Enhancements include complete streets elements for pedestrians, cyclists, or transit passengers that are improvements above and beyond those triggered by the street repair and reconstruction work (e.g. ADA compliant curb ramps required because of the street repair and reconstruction work).

## **Pedestrian Safety**

- Priority will be given to projects that shorten crossing distances, minimize conflicts with other modes, and reduce pedestrian hazards.
- Priority will be given to projects on corridors that are identified through or are consistent with WalkFirst, Vision Zero, and related or successor efforts (e.g. pedestrian masteractive transportation plan).
- Priority will be given to infrastructure projects that improve access to transit and/or schools.

#### **Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements**

Priority will be given to projects that support existing or proposed rapid transit, including
projects identified in transit performance plans or programs such as the San Francisco
Municipal Transportation Agency's Muni Forward program and Rapid Network initiative.

- Priority will be given to projects that increase transit accessibility, reliability, and connectivity (e.g. stop improvements, transit stop consolidation and relocation, transit signal priority, traffic signal upgrades, travel information improvements, wayfinding signs, bicycle parking, and improved connections to regional transit).
- Priority will be given to travel demand management projects that aim to reduce congestion and transit crowding and are aligned with San Francisco's citywide travel demand management goals.
- Priority will be given to projects that address documented safety issues.

# Attachment 3 Proposed 2021 Prop AA Strategic Plan Amendment - Summary of Recommendations

District	Project Name	Phase	Sponsor <sup>1</sup>	Brief Project Description	Fiscal Year Program- med	Amount	Recommendation		
Street Rep	air and Reconstruction								
9	Mission Street Transit and Pavement Improvement Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation	Construction	SFPW	In coordination with SFMTA's Mission/Geneva Safety Project. Demolition, pavement renovation of 55 blocks, new sidewalk construction, curb ramp construction and retrofit, traffic control, and all related and incidental work along Geneva Ave from Mission St to Prague St and Mission St from Ney St to Geneva Ave. in Districts 8, 9, and 11. SFPW expects to advertise the project in fall 2021 and complete construction in summer 2025.	2020/21 2021/22	\$2,397,129 \$4,794,258	Proposed amendment to delay programming of construction funds to Fiscal Year (FY) 2021/22 and increase programming from \$2,397,129 to \$4,794,258. (See Fillmore Street Pavement Renovation below for information of source of proposed funds.) The current cost estimate for the Mission paving project is based on 95% complete design and is higher than the estimate from 2017, when funds were originally programmed to the project, prior to the start of detailed design. Providing additional Prop AA funds to this project will allow Prop AA funds to begin providing benefits to the public as quickly as possible and will reduce the need for other fund sources, including Prop K.		
5	Fillmore Street Pavement Renovation	Construction	SFPW	To be coordinated with SFMTA Muni Forward project on Fillmore Street. Demolition, pavement renovation of 46 blocks, new sidewalk constructions, curb ramp construction, traffic control, and all related and incidental work. On Fillmore St from Duboce Ave to Marina Blvd. and Laussat St from Fillmore St to Steiner St. in Districts 2, 5, and 8.	2021/22	\$2,397,129 \$0	Project delayed due to coordination with an SFMTA transit project that is still being defined and funds proposed to be reprogrammed to the Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation project. This project has been significantly delayed and will move forward at a later date with other funds, including potentially with future Prop AA funds.		
Transit Re	liability and Mobility Impr	ovements							
Citywide	Transit Stop Signage Enhancement Program - Phase 2	Construction	SFMTA	Update and upgrade signage at Muni stops. Stops along rail, rapid or frequent lines will include Muni-branded solar-powered lanterns along with more legible signage. Work will be completed citywide, line by line. Specific locations and Districts TBD. Phase 2 would upgrade lines that are not part of Phase 1 (currently underway).	2020/21 2021/22	\$1,021,021	Proposed amendment to delay programming of construction funds to Fiscal Year 2021/22. Project has been delayed due to staffing changes and bus route alterations due to the pandemic. SFMTA expects the 2022 Muni Service Network to be adopted by the SFMTA Board in December 2021, which will provide the certainty needed to move forward with this project. SFMTA originally proposed to start Phase 2 of the project after completion of Phase 1 in 2023, with the entire project taking 4-5 years to complete. To complete the project faster, SFMTA now says they expect to have the capacity to work on Phase 1 and 2 concurrently.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup>Sponsor abbreviations include San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and San Francisco Public Works (SFPW).

#### 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan Programming and Allocations Pending October 2021 Board

Project Name	Phase	Sponsor		iscal Year 2017/18	F	iscal Year 2018/19	F	iscal Year 2019/20	F	Fiscal Year 2020/21		iscal Year 2021/22	5	-Year Total
Street Repair and Reconstruction														
,	get Funds Availa		\$	2,264,242	\$	3,980,320	\$	2,290,539	\$	2,206,289	\$	2,177,034	\$	12,918,424
Geary Boulevard Pavement Renovation <sup>1, 2</sup>	Construction	SFPW					\$	3,386,732					\$	3,386,732
Richmond Residential Streets Pavement Renovation <sup>2</sup>	Construction	SFPW							\$	2,020,000			\$	2,020,000
23rd St, Dolores St, York St and Hampshire St Pavement Renovation <sup>1</sup>	Construction	SFPW					\$	2,397,129					\$	2,397,129
Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation <sup>4</sup>	Construction	SFPW							\$	-	\$	4,794,258	\$	4,794,258
Fillmore Street Pavement Renovation <sup>4</sup>	Construction	SFPW									\$	-	\$	-
Subtotal Programmed to Category (% all time)  Cumulative Remaining Capacity	49.4%		\$ <b>\$</b>		\$ <b>\$</b>		\$ <b>\$</b>	5,783,861 <i>2,751,240</i>	\$ <b>\$</b>	2,020,000 2,937,529	\$ <b>\$</b>	4,794,258 <i>320,305</i>	\$ <b>\$</b>	12,598,119 <i>320,305</i>
Pedestrian Safety														
Tarş	get Funds Availa	ble in Category	\$	1,010,876	\$	1,777,023	\$	1,022,616	\$	985,003	\$	971,942	\$	5,767,461
Haight Street Streetscape (Pedestrian Lighting)	Construction	SFPW	\$	2,052,000									\$	2,052,000
Potrero Gateway Loop (Pedestrian Safety Improvements) <sup>1, 2</sup>	Design	SFPW					\$	80,000					\$	80,000
Potrero Gateway Loop (Pedestrian Safety Improvements) <sup>1, 2, 3</sup>	Construction	SFPW							\$	220,000			\$	220,000
Vision Zero Coordinated Pedestrian Safety Improvements (Bulbs & Basements) <sup>1, 2</sup>	Construction	SFPW					\$	700,000					\$	700,000
Arguello Boulevard Traffic Signal Upgrade	Construction	SFMTA			\$	655,000							\$	655,000
5th Street Quick Build Improvements <sup>2,3</sup>	Construction	SFMTA							\$	378,372			\$	378,372
Bayshore Blvd/Cesar Chavez St/Potrero Ave Intersection Improvements Segments F/G <sup>2</sup>	Construction	SFMTA					\$	368,519					\$	368,519
Western Addition Transportation Plan Implementation (Pedestrian Lighting) <sup>1</sup>	Design	SFPW					\$	60,000					\$	60,000
Western Addition Transportation Plan Implementation (Pedestrian Lighting) <sup>1, 3</sup>	Construction	SFPW							\$	926,928			\$	926,928
Page Street Neighborway (Webster to Market)	Construction	SFMTA							\$	144,005			\$	144,005
Joice Alley Lighting Improvements	Design	SFPW							\$	90,000			\$	90,000
Joice Alley Lighting Improvements	Construction	SFPW								·	\$	410,000	\$	410,000
Subtotal Programmed to Category (% all time)  Cumulative Remaining Capacity	25.6%	•		2,052,000 (1,041,124)		655,000 <i>80,900</i>	\$ <b>\$</b>	1,208,519 <i>(105,003)</i>		1,759,305 <i>(879,305)</i>	\$ <b>\$</b>	410,000 <i>(317,363)</i>	\$ \$	6,084,824 <i>(317,363)</i>
Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements														
Tarş	get Funds Availa	ble in Category	\$	1,503,514	\$	2,643,034	\$	1,520,976	\$	1,465,032	\$	1,445,606	\$	8,578,163
Muni Metro Station Enhancements - Phase 1	Construction	SFMTA	\$	2,465,316									\$	2,465,316
Third Street Transit and Safety Improvements <sup>2</sup>	Construction	SFMTA					\$	383,776					\$	383,776
Transit Stop Signage Enhancement Program - Phase 1	Design, Construction	SFMTA							\$	1,043,898			\$	1,043,898
Transit Stop Signage Enhancement Program - Phase 2 <sup>4</sup>	Design, Construction	SFMTA							\$	-	\$	1,021,021	\$	1,021,021
L Taraval Improvement Project (Segment B – Sunset Boulevard to West Portal)	Construction	SFMTA							\$	3,664,159			\$	3,664,159
Subtotal Programmed to Category (% all time)	25.0%	•	\$	2,465,316	\$	-	\$	383,776	\$	4,708,057	\$	1,021,021	\$	8,578,170
Cumulative Remaining Capacity			\$	(961,802)	\$	1,681,232	\$	2,818,432	\$	(424,592)	ş	(7)	\$	(7)
Total Available Funds			\$	4,778,633	\$	8,400,377	\$	4,834,131	\$	4,656,325	\$	4,594,582	\$	27,264,048
Total Programmed			\$	4,517,316		655,000	\$	7,376,156		8,487,362	\$	., .,	\$	27,261,113
Cumulative Remaining Capacity			3	261,317		8,006,694	ş	5,464,669	Ţ	1,633,632	\$	2,935		
				Allocated					Per	nding Action				

#### Notes

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Comprehensive 2017 Strategic Plan Amendment (Res 19-48, approved 03/19/2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Comprehensive 2017 Strategic Plan Amendment (Res 19-63, approved 06/25/2019).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Comprehensive 2017 Strategic Plan Amendment (Res 20-62, approved 06/23/2020).

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  Comprehensive 2017 Strategic Plan Amendment (Res 22-xx, 10/26/2021 ).

#### 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan Cash Flow Pending October 2021 Board

		Pending Octo	ber 2	2021 Board											
Project Name	Phase	Fiscal Year 2017/18	F	Fiscal Year 2018/19	F	iscal Year 2019/20	]	Fiscal Year 2020/21	F	Fiscal Year 2021/22		iscal Year 2022/23	scal Year 023/24		Total
Street Repair and Reconstruction															
Target Funds Availa	able in Category	\$ 2,264,242	\$	3,980,320	\$	2,290,539	\$	2,206,289	\$	2,177,034				\$	12,918,424
Geary Boulevard Pavement Renovation1, 2	Construction				\$	846,683	\$	1,246,683	\$	1,293,366				\$	3,386,732
Richmond Residential Streets Pavement Renovation2	Construction								\$	1,212,000	\$	808,000		\$	2,020,000
23rd St, Dolores St, York St and Hampshire St Pavement Renovation1	Construction				\$	750,000	\$	1,647,129						\$	2,397,129
Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation 4	Construction								\$	1,198,565	\$	1,198,564		\$	2,397,129
Fillmore Street Pavement Renovation 4	Construction								\$	480,000	\$	1,437,129	\$ 480,000	\$	2,397,129
Cash Flow Subtotal	1	\$ -	\$	-	Ψ.	1,596,683	\$	2,893,812	\$	4,183,931	\$	3,443,693	\$ 480,000	\$	12,598,119
Cumulative Remaining Capacity		\$ 2,264,242	\$	6,244,562	\$	6,938,418	\$	6,250,895	\$	4,243,998	\$	800,305	\$ <i>320,305</i>	\$	320,305
Pedestrian Safety															
Target Funds Availa	able in Category		_	1,777,023	\$	1,022,616	\$	985,003	\$	971,942				\$	5,767,461
Haight Street Streetscape (Pedestrian Lighting)	Construction	\$ 500,000	\$	1,050,000	\$	502,000	Ĺ		L		$oxedsymbol{oxedsymbol{oxed}}$			\$	2,052,000
Potrero Gateway Loop (Pedestrian Safety Improvements)1, 2	Design						\$	80,000						\$	80,000
Potrero Gateway Loop (Pedestrian Safety Improvements)1, 2, 3	Construction								\$	220,000				\$	220,000
Vision Zero Coordinated Pedestrian Safety Improvements (Bulbs & Basements)1, 2	Construction				\$	400,000	\$	300,000						\$	700,000
Arguello Boulevard Traffic Signal Upgrade	Construction		\$	655,000										\$	655,000
5th Street Quick Build Improvements2, 3	Construction						\$	378,372						\$	378,372
Bayshore Blvd/Cesar Chavez St/Potrero Ave Intersection Improvements Segments F/G2	Construction				\$	368,519								\$	368,519
Western Addition Transportation Plan Implementation (Pedestrian Lighting)1	Design				\$	15,000	\$	45,000						\$	60,000
Western Addition Transportation Plan Implementation (Pedestrian Lighting)1, 3	Construction				\$	-			\$	926,928				\$	926,928
Page Street Neighborway (Webster to Market)	Construction								\$	144,005					
Joice Alley Lighting Improvements	Design						\$	67,500	\$	22,500				\$	90,000
Joice Alley Lighting Improvements	Construction								\$	390,500	\$	19,500		\$	410,000
Cash Flow Subtota	ĺ	\$ 500,000	\$	1,705,000	\$	1,285,519	\$	870,872	\$	1,703,933	\$	19,500	\$ -	\$	6,084,824
Cumulative Remaining Capacity	•	<b>\$</b> 510,876	\$	<i>582,900</i>	\$	319,997	\$	434,128	\$	(297,863)	\$	(317,363)	\$ (317,363)	\$	(317,363)
Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements															
Target Funds Availa	able in Category	\$ 1,503,514	\$	2,643,034	\$	1,520,976	\$	1,465,032	\$	1,445,606				\$	8,578,163
Muni Metro Station Enhancements - Phase 1	Construction	\$ 1,232,658	\$	1,232,658										\$	2,465,316
Third Street Transit and Safety Improvements2	Construction						\$	383,776						\$	383,776
Transit Stop Signage Enhancement Program - Phase 1	Design, Construction						\$	521,949	\$	521,949				\$	1,043,898
Transit Stop Signage Enhancement Program - Phase 2 4	Design, Construction						\$	168,051	\$	128,051	\$	624,919	\$ 100,000	\$	1,021,021
L Taraval Improvement Project (Segment B – Sunset Boulevard to West Portal)	Construction						\$	1,832,080	\$	1,832,079				\$	3,664,159
Cash Flow Subtota	1	\$ 1,232,658		1,232,658		-	\$		\$	2,482,079	\$	624,919	\$ 100,000		8,578,170
Cumulative Remaining Capacity	·	\$ 270,856	\$	1,681,232	ş	3,202,208	\$	1,761,385	\$	724,912	ş	99,993	\$ (7)	\$	(7,
Total Available Funds	}	\$ 4,778,633		8,400,377	\$	4,834,131	\$	-,,-	\$	-,,-				_	27,264,048
Total Cashflow	7	\$ 1,732,658	\$	2,937,658	\$	2,882,202	\$	6,670,540	\$	8,369,943	\$	4,088,112	\$ 580,000	\$	27,261,113

\$ 3,045,975 \$ 8,508,694 \$ 10,460,623 \$ 8,446,408 \$ 4,671,047 \$ 582,935 \$

Cumulative Remaining Capacity

2,935

## Prop AA Vehicle Registration Fee Project Information Form



Project Name:	Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation Project						
Implementing Agency:	SFPW (Joint project with SFMTA Mission/Geneva Safety Project)						
Project Location:	Geneva Ave from Mission St to Prague St Mission St from Ney St to Geneva Ave						
Supervisorial District(s):	8, 9, 11						
Project Manager:	ul Barradas						
Phone Number:	415-554-8249						
Email:	paul.barradas@sfdpw.org						
Brief Project Description for MyStreetSF (50 words max):	Demolition, pavement renovation of 55 blocks, new sidewalk construction, curb ramp construction and retrofit, traffic control, and all related and incidental work along Geneva Ave from Mission St to Prague and Mission St from Ney St to Geneva Ave. The average Pavement Condition Index (PCI) score within project limits is mid 40's.						
	The Street Resurfacing Program is planning to join the SFMTA Mission/Geneva Sal improvements along this corridor.	fety Project					
Detailed Scope (may attach Word document): Please describe the project scope, benefits, coordination with other projects in the area (e.g. paving, MuniForward, Vision Zero), and how the project would meet the Prop AA screening and prioritization criteria as well as other program goals (e.g., short-term project delivery to bring tangible benefits to the public quickly). Please describe how this project was prioritized. Please attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project.	Over 57,000 people rely on the local, rapid and express routes to get where they need Mission corridor. However, slow and unreliable Muni service results from frequent bunching, conflicts between buses and parking cars, and difficulty boarding buses. Sinch challanges also include conflicts between pedestrians and vehicles, and high volume of the project goals are to improve saftey along the project corridor for people walking pedestrian and vehicle conflicts, support Vision Zero goals, improve reliability and trace 14R/14X, and 49 bus routes, and improve access via MUNI for local residents to ge appointments, or shopping.  The requested Prop AA grant will fund the paving scope of this transit project. Scop pavement renovation of 55 blocks, new sidewalk construction, curb ramp construction, and all related and incidental work along Geneva Ave from Mission St to Profrom Ney St to Geneva Ave.  All candidates shown are subject to substitution and schedule changes pending, visu	ous stopping, bus come transportation of people walking. g and bicyling, eliminate cavel time to the 14, t to work, school, e includes demolition, on and retrofit, traffic ague St and Mission St					
	clearances and coordination with other agencies. Unforeseen challenges such as increchanging priorities, cost increases or declining revenue may arise causing the candidate	eased work scope,					
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.).	SFMTA hosted outreach meetings in 2012 to inform the community that this corride the TEP Environmental Impact Report and to get feedback. In 2016, SFMTA particip of the Excelsior segment of Mission Street together with WalkSF and local stakehold participated at an SFOMMRA meeting to provide a brief update on some goals for to get resident feedback.	oated in a walking audit lers. SFMTA also					
Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency.	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA): Felipe Robles (SFMTA) Jon	rge Rivas (OEWD)					
Type of Environmental Clearance Required:	Categorically Exempt						

Project Delivery Milestones	Status	Work	Start	Date	End Date			
Phase*	% Complete	In-house, Contracted, or Both	Quarter	Quarter Calendar Year		Calendar Year		
Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design)	100%				Jul-Sep	2020		
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)								
Design Engineering (PS&E)	95%	In-House	Jan-Mar	2018	Oct-Dec	2021		
Right-of-way								
Advertise Construction	0%	N/A	Oct-Dec	2021	N/A	N/A		
Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract)	0%	Contracted	Apr-Jun	2022	N/A	N/A		
Open for Use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Jul-Sep	2025		

<sup>\*</sup>Only design engineering (PS&E) and construction (including related procurement) phases are eligible for Prop AA funds.

## Prop AA Vehicle Registration Fee Project Information Form



Project Name: Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation Project

PROJECT COST ESTIMATE		Funding Source by Phase					
Phase	Cost	Prop AA	Prop K	Other	Source of Cost Estimate		
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	\$0	N/A					
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	\$0	N/A					
Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$960,000			\$960,000	Actuals and cost to complete		
Right-of-way	\$0	N/A					
Construction	\$9,888,085	\$4,794,258	\$1,093,827	\$4,000,000	95% Cost Estimate		
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$10,848,085	\$4,794,258	\$1,093,827	\$4,960,000			
Percent of Total		44%	10%	46%			

## PROP AA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)\*

	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27	Total
Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$883,214	\$2,060,829	\$1,850,215	\$0	\$0	\$4,794,258
TOTAL BY FISCAL YEAR	\$0	\$883,214	\$2,060,829	\$1,850,215	\$0	\$0	\$4,794,258

<sup>\*</sup>The 2017 Strategic Plan will program funds in FYs 2017/18 to 2021/22. Cash flow can extend beyond this period.

## FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES - ALL SOURCES

Funding Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	TOTAL
Prop AA	\$2,397,129	\$2,397,129		\$4,794,258
Prop K (anticipated from projects completed under budget)	\$1,093,827			\$1,093,827
Gas Tax		\$4,000,000		\$4,000,000
General Fund			\$960,000	\$960,000
TOTAL	\$3,490,956	\$6,397,129	\$960,000	\$10,848,085

Desired Prop AA Programming Year						
Fiscal Year 2021/22						

## Comments/Concerns



1455 Market Street, 22ND Floor, San Francisco, California 94103 415-522-4800 info@sfcta.org www.sfcta.org

## Memorandum

#### **AGENDA ITEM 7**

DATE: September 23, 2021

**TO:** Transportation Authority Board

FROM: Anna LaForte - Deputy Director for Policy and Programming

SUBJECT: 10/19/21 Board Meeting: Approve the 2022 Prop AA Vehicle Registration Fee

Strategic Plan Policies and Screening and Prioritization Criteria and Amend the

2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan

RECOMMENDATION	$\square$ Information		☐ Fund Allocation
Approve the 2022 Prop AA	□ Fund Programming		
Screening and Prioritization			☐ Policy/Legislation
Amend the 2017 Prop AAS	☐ Plan/Study		
SUMMARY			☐ Capital Project
We have reached the final year	r of the five-year p	rogramming	Oversight/Delivery
period covered by the 2017 Pr seeking guidance to develop t			☐ Budget/Finance
including releasing a call for pr			☐ Contract/Agreement
million available in Fiscal Years are recommending very minor	□ Other:		
Policies (Attachment 1), which	guide staff and pro	oject	
sponsors on administration of t	the program, and:	the Screening	

including releasing a call for projects for approximately \$23 million available in Fiscal Years (FYs) 2022/23 to 2026/27. We are recommending very minor revisions to the Strategic Plan Policies (Attachment 1), which guide staff and project sponsors on administration of the program, and the Screening and Prioritization Criteria (Attachment 2), for Prop AA's three programmatic categories. We also recommend amending the 2017 Strategic Plan to delay programming by one-year for two FY 2020/21 projects that were not able to comply with Prop AA's timely use of funds policy requiring allocation of funds within the year of programming, but that are able to proceed FY 2021/22. These include San Francisco Public Works' (SFPW's) Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation and San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's (SFMTA's) Transit Stop Signage Enhancement Program - Phase 2. As requested by SFPW, we also recommend reprogramming \$2.4 million from the Fillmore Street Pavement Renovation, which is delayed beyond this five-year programming period, to Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation, as detailed in Attachment



Agenda Item 7 Page 2 of 5

## **BACKGROUND**

San Francisco voters approved Proposition AA (Prop AA) on November 2, 2010. Prop AA uses revenues collected from an additional \$10 vehicle registration fee on motor vehicles registered in San Francisco for local road repairs, pedestrian safety improvements, and transit reliability and mobility improvements throughout the city consistent with the Prop AA Expenditure Plan. Given its small size – less than \$5 million in annual revenues – one of Prop AA's guiding principles is to focus on small, high-impact projects that will provide tangible benefits to the public in the short-term. Thus, Prop AA only funds design and construction phases of projects and places a strong emphasis on timely use of funds.

Over the life of the Expenditure Plan, the percentage allocation of vehicle registration fee revenues assigned to each of Prop AA's three programmatic categories is as follows: Street Repair and Reconstruction - 50%, Pedestrian Safety - 25%, and Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements - 25%.

The Prop AA Expenditure Plan requires development of a Strategic Plan to guide the implementation of the program and specifies that the Strategic Plan include a detailed 5-Year Prioritized Program of Projects (5YPP) for each of the Expenditure Plan categories as a prerequisite for allocation of funds. The intent of the 5YPP requirement is to provide the Transportation Authority Board, the public, and Prop AA project sponsors with a clear understanding of how projects are prioritized for funding and an opportunity to weigh in on the resulting 5-year project lists. The 5YPPs also allow project sponsors to better take advantage of coordination opportunities with other transportation projects funded by Prop AA and other funding sources that should result in efficiencies and minimize disruption caused by construction activities.

The Transportation Authority approved the first Prop AA Strategic Plan in 2012 and the second in 2017. Together, these documents programmed \$52 million in Prop AA funds for 41 projects in the first 10 years of Prop AA (FYs 2012/13 to 2021/22). We are pleased to report that allocations are on-track with the Strategic Plan: to date approximately \$46.3 million in Prop AA funds has been allocated to 38 projects. There are three unallocated projects remaining in the 2017 5YPPs: two SFPW paving projects and one SFMTA transit project.

We are in the last year of the 2017 5YPPs and are preparing to release a call for projects to program funds for the 2022 5YPPs as part of the 2022 Strategic Plan update.

## **DISCUSSION**

**2022** Prop AA Strategic Plan Policies and Screening and Prioritization Criteria. The Strategic Plan Policies provide guidance to staff and project sponsors on the various aspects of managing the program, including the allocation and expenditure of funds. The Strategic Plan Screening and Prioritization Criteria are used to evaluate and prioritize candidate projects for funding within Prop AA's three programmatic categories. We are not recommending substantive changes to either document, but have proposed a few minor revisions to clarify and update language (e.g. replacing Communities of Concern with Equity Priority Communities).



Agenda Item 7 Page 3 of 5

**Funds Available.** In 2016, the Board approved a Prop AA revenue forecast based on actual revenues to date, producing an estimate of approximately \$4.83 million per year. Actual revenues have averaged 0.6% above this projection over the last 10 years. However, we recommend a conservative approach of keeping the same annual revenue forecast for the 2022 Strategic Plan update, particularly given the slight dip in revenues in FYs 2019/20 down 2.7% and 2020/21 down 0.1% below the annual forecast. We recommend maintaining the current Prop AA program reserve of \$500,000, or roughly 10% of annual revenues. Prop AA is a pay as you go program so the capital reserve is helpful as a buffer against fluctuations in revenues.

The recommended revenue projection will result in approximately \$23 million in funds available in the 5YPP period, net five percent for administrative expenses. In addition to new revenues, there is about \$4,075 in interest earnings and \$2,236 in deobligated funds from projects completed under budget that is available for programming. Attachment 3 provides further details on funds available and a comparison of the revenue forecast against actuals.

Call for Projects & Strategic Plan Schedule. We anticipate releasing a call for projects for the 2022 5YPPs covering FYs 2022/23 to 2026/27 following Board approval of the Policies and Screening and Prioritization Criteria. Attachment 4 shows the proposed schedule for the call for projects with applications due January 18, 2022. We anticipate bringing programming recommendations, along with the draft 2022 Strategic Plan and 5YPPs to the Community Advisory Committee and Board for approval in February and March 2022, respectively. Project sponsors could then submit FY 2022/23 Prop AA allocation requests for Board approval as soon as June 2022.

Recommended 2017 Strategic Plan Amendment. The 2017 Strategic Plan spells out a timely-use-of funds policy that is applied to all Prop AA allocations to help avoid situations where Prop AA funds sit unused for prolonged periods of time given Prop AA's focus on quickly delivering tangible benefits to the public. Any project programmed in the Strategic Plan that does not request allocation of funds in the year of programming may, at the discretion of the Board, have its funding deobligated and reprogrammed to other projects through a competitive call for projects. Sponsors have the opportunity to reapply for funds through these competitive calls but will not be guaranteed any priority if other eligible, ready-to-go project applications are received. Consistent with this policy, we have been working with SFMTA and SFPW to review the status and develop recommendations for the three projects with funds programmed but unallocated to date, described below and in Attachment 6. If the Board does not approve any or a portion of the recommended programming revisions, the funds for the subject project(s) would then be deprogrammed and included in the call for projects amount.

<u>Transit Stop Signage Enhancement Program - Phase 2</u> (SFMTA): This project will upgrade Muni stops with more legible signage. Phase 1 of the project is implementing signage upgrades to a different set of Muni routes and is currently underway after delays due to staff



Agenda Item 7 Page 4 of 5

transitions and bus route alterations due to the COVID-19 pandemic that also then delayed allocation of Phase 2, which was originally planned to move forward after completion of Phase 1. We now expect this project to move forward this fiscal year after SFMTA's anticipated adoption of the 2022 Muni Service Network in December 2021, and SFMTA has said that they expect to have staff capacity to begin work on the Phase 2 routes even as they are completing Phase 1, so we recommend delaying programming from FY 2020/21 to FY 2021/22.

**Fillmore Street Pavement Renovation Project** (SFPW): This project is not likely to move forward this fiscal year, as this project is coordinating with an SFMTA Muni Forward transit improvement project on Fillmore Street, which SFMTA still defining. As requested by SFPW, we recommend reprogramming \$2,397,129 from the Fillmore project to **SFPW's Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation project (see below). SFPW will seek other** funds for the Fillmore project, which may include future Prop AA funds, when it is ready to proceed.

Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation Project (SFPW): As mentioned above, we recommend increasing programming to the Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation project by \$2,397,129 and delaying the programming year for existing Prop AA programming from FY 2020/21 to FY 2021/22. The current construction cost estimate for the Mission Street paving project at 95% design complete is about \$3.5 million higher than the cost estimate from when Prop AA funds were originally programmed to the project in 2017, prior to the start of the detailed design phase and based on an early planning order of magnitude cost estimate (increased from \$6.6 million to \$10.1 million). This cost increase would be funded with the proposed additional Prop AA funds and Prop K funds from projects completed under budget. Prop K and Prop AA funds would leverage \$4.96 million in Gas Tax and General Funds on the project. The project schedule is about six months behind the estimate from 2017, and SFPW is preparing a Prop K allocation request for the construction phase of the safety project for consideration at the October 27, 2021 CAC meeting and the November 16, 2021 Board meeting, so we are confident that the project is advancing at this time. Our recommendation also includes updating the project name (previously Mission Street Transit and Pavement Improvement).

An updated project information form for the Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation project, showing the latest scope, schedule, cost and funding plan, is included as Attachment 7.

#### FINANCIAL IMPACT

The recommended action would not have an impact on the adopted Fiscal Year 2021/22 budget. Allocations of Prop AA funds are the subject of separate Board actions.

## **CAC POSITION**

The CAC considered this item at its September 22, 2021, meeting and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation.



Agenda Item 7 Page 5 of 5

## **SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS**

- Attachment 1 Prop AA Strategic Plan Policies
- Attachment 2 Prop AA Strategic Plan Screening and Prioritization Criteria
- Attachment 3 Summary of Funds Available
- Attachment 4 Draft 2022 Prop AA Strategic Plan Adoption Timeline
- Attachment 5 Prop AA Delivery Report
- Attachment 6 Proposed amendments to 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan
- Attachment 7 Project Information Form for Mission and Geneva Pavement Renovation project

## Attachment 5 Prop AA Project Delivery Report

Table 1. Prop AA Funds Allocated

Strategic Plan Period		Programmed (Available for Allocation)		Total Allocated or Pending as of 9/14/2021	% Allocated
2012 Strategic Plan (FY2012/13 - FY2016/17)	\$	24,827,030	\$	24,827,030	100%
2017 Strategic Plan (FY2017/18 - FY2021/22)	\$	27,261,113	\$	21,445,834	79%
Total	\$	52,088,143	\$	46,272,864	

## Table 2. Completed Projects

Projects are sorted by Expenditure Plan category, then allocation year, then sponsor, then project name

Sponsor <sup>1</sup>	Fiscal Year of	Project Name	Phase(s) Funded	Total Allocated	Open for Use <sup>2</sup>				
oponsor	Allocation	2 10,000 1 1,000	111100(0) 1 011000	as of 9/14/2021					
Street Repair and Reconstruction									
SFPW	2012/13	28th Ave Pavement Renovation	Construction	\$ 1,169,843	2014				
SFPW	2012/13	9th Street Pavement Renovation	Construction	\$ 2,101,136	2015				
SFMTA	2013/14	Mansell Corridor Improvement Project	Design	\$ 199,997	2017				
SFPW	2013/14	Chinatown Broadway Streetscape Improvements	Design	\$ 650,000	2018				
SFPW	2013/14	McAllister St Pavement Renovation	Construction	\$ 1,995,132	2015				
SFMTA	2014/15	Mansell Corridor Improvement Project	Construction	\$ 2,325,624	2017				
SFPW	2014/15	Dolores St Pavement Renovation	Construction	\$ 2,145,024	2016				
SFPW	2016/17	Brannan Street Pavement Renovation	Construction	\$ 2,540,359	2019				
Pedestrian S	afety								
SFMTA	2012/13	Pedestrian Countdown Signals (PCS) #1	Construction	\$ 1,380,307	2014				
Presidio	2013/14	Arguello Gap Closure	Construction	\$ 350,000	2014				
SFMTA	2013/14	Ellis/Eddy Traffic Calming Improvements	Design	\$ 241,106	2016				
SFMTA	2013/14	Franklin and Divisadero Signal Upgrades	Design	\$ 260,270	2017				
SFMTA	2013/14	Mid-Block Crossing on Natoma/8th	Design	\$ 54,578	2016				
UC Hastings	2013/14	McAllister St Campus Streetscape	Design	\$ 83,000	2015				
SFMTA	2014/15	Franklin and Divisadero Signal Upgrades	Construction	\$ 634,244	2017				
SFMTA	2014/15	Mid-Block Crossing on Natoma/8th (Contract 62)	Construction	\$ 310,000	2016				
SFMTA	2014/15	Webster Street Pedestrian Countdown Signals	Design	\$ 196,021	2018				
UC Hastings	2014/15	McAllister St Campus Streetscape	Construction	\$ 1,619,035	2015				
SFMTA	2015/16	Mansell Corridor Improvement Project	Construction	\$ 163,358	2017				
SFPW	2015/16	Chinatown Broadway Streetscape Improvements	Construction	\$ 1,029,839	2018				
SFMTA	2015/16	Gough Corridor Signal Upgrade	Construction	\$ 300,000	2021				
SFMTA	2016/17	Webster Street Pedestrian Countdown Signals	Construction	\$ 141,794	2017				
SFPW	2017/18	Haight Street Resurfacing and Pedestrian Lighting	Construction	\$ 2,052,000	2021				
SFMTA	2017/18	Arguello Boulevard Traffic Signal Upgrade	Construction	\$ 655,000	2021				

Att 5 - Prop AA Project Delivery Report 1 of 3

## Attachment 5 Prop AA Project Delivery Report

Table 2. Completed Projects - continued

Sponsor <sup>1</sup>	Fiscal Year of Allocation	Project Name	Phase(s) Funded	Total Allocated as of 9/14/2021	Open for Use <sup>2</sup>				
Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements									
BART	2012/13	24th Street Mission BART SW Plaza and Pedestrian Improvements	Construction	\$ 713,831	2014				
BART	2013/14	Civic Center BART/Muni Bike Station	Construction	\$ 248,000	2015				
MOHCD	2013/14	Hunters View Transit Connection	Construction	\$ 1,844,994	2017				
SFMTA	2013/14	City College Pedestrian Connector	Design	\$ 42,000	2016				
SFMTA	2014/15	City College Pedestrian Connector	Construction	\$ 800,802	2016				
BART	2015/16	Muni Bus Layover Area at BART Daly City Station	Construction	\$ 507,980	2017				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sponsor abbreviations include: Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART), Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD), San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), San Francisco Public Works (SFPW), The Presidio Trust (Presidio), University of California Hastings College of the Law (UC Hastings)

Att 5 - Prop AA Project Delivery Report 2 of 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Open for use refers to the year the construction phase of the project was completed.

## Attachment 5 **Prop AA Project Delivery Report**

Table 3. Projects Underway

Projects are sorted by Expenditure Plan category, then allocation year, then sponsor, then project respect to the project of the

Sponsor <sup>1</sup>	Fiscal Year of Allocation	Project Name	Phase(s) Funded	Total Allocated (as of 09/14/2021)		% Complete (as of 9/14/2021)	Open for Use <sup>2</sup> (at time of allocation)	Open for Use <sup>2</sup> (anticipated)
Street Repair	r and Reconstr	ruction						
SFPW	2019/20	23rd St, Dolores St, York St, and Hampshire St Pavement Renovation	Construction	\$	2,397,129	38%	Apr-2021	Feb-2024
SFPW	2019/20	Geary Boulevard Pavement Renovation	Construction	\$	3,386,732	95%	Sep-2021	Sep-2021
SFPW	2021/22	Richmond Residential Streets Pavement Renovation	Construction	\$	2,020,000	0%	Sep-2022	Sep-2022
Pedestrian S	afety		•	•			•	•
SFMTA	2015/16	Bulb-outs at WalkFirst Locations	Design	\$	491,757	98%	Jun-2020	Jun-2020
SFPW	2019/20	Vision Zero Coordinated Pedestrian Safety Improvements	Construction	\$	700,000	95%	Nov-2020	Aug-2022
SFPW	2019/20	Bayshore Blvd/Cesar Chavez St/Potrero Ave Intersection (The Hairball - Segments F & G) - Additional Funds	Construction	\$	368,519	50%	Jan-2020	Sep-2021
SFPW	2019/20	Potrero Gateway Loop Pedestrian Safety Improvements	Design	\$	80,000	70%	Oct-2022	Oct-2022
SFMTA	2020/21	3rd Street Transit and Safety Phase 2	Construction	\$	378,372	1%	Dec-2021	Apr-2022
SFMTA	2020/21	Page Street Neighborway (Webster to Market)	Construction	\$	144,005	1%	Apr-2022	Apr-2022
SFPW	2020/21	Western Addition Pedestrian Lighting	Design	\$	60,000	95%	Jun-2022	Jun-2022
SFPW	2021/22	Western Addition Pedestrian Lighting	Construction	\$	926,928	0%	Jun-2022	Jun-2022
SFPW	2021/22	Joice Alley Lighting Improvements	Design	\$	90,000	0%	Oct-2022	Oct-2022
SFPW	2021/22	Joice Alley Lighting Improvements	Construction	\$	410,000	0%	Oct-2022	Oct-2022
SFPW	Pending	Potrero Gateway Loop Pedestrian Safety Improvements (pending)	Construction	\$	220,000	0%	Oct-2022	Oct-2022
Transit Relia	ability and Mol	bility Improvements						
SFMTA	2015/16	Elevator Safety and Reliability Upgrades	Construction	\$	287,000	50%	Mar-2020	Oct-2023
SFMTA	2017/18	Muni Metro Station Enhancements Phase 1	Construction	\$	2,465,316	15%	Mar-2019	Jun-2022
SFMTA	2020/21	3rd Street Transit and Safety Phase 2	Construction	\$	383,776	1%	Dec-2021	Dec-2021
SFMTA	2020/21	Transit Stop Signage Enhancement Program - Phase 1	Design	\$	18,898	8%	Jun-2022	Jun-2022
SFMTA	2020/21	Transit Stop Signage Enhancement Program - Phase 1	Construction	\$	1,025,000	8%	Jun-2022	Jun-2022
SFMTA	2020/21	L-Taraval Transit Enhancements (Segment B) (Prop AA)	Construction	\$	3,664,159	5%	Sep-2023	Sep-2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sponsor abbreviations include: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), San Francisco Public Works (SFPW)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Open for use refers to the year the construction phase of the project would be completed.