RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE DOWNTOWN RAIL EXTENSION PEER REVIEW PANEL’S FINAL REPORT ON GOVERNANCE, OVERSIGHT, MANAGEMENT AND PROJECT DELIVERY

WHEREAS, On October 23, 2018, the Transportation Authority Board unanimously voted to suspend the funding agreement with the Transbay Joint Powers Authority (TJPA) for the Downtown Rail Extension (DTX), recognizing the local and regional significance of the project, the technical and institutional complexity, the high investment cost, and limited funding identified to date, the Transportation Authority Board commissioned this review of current and best practices for governance, oversight, management, funding and project delivery of the DTX; and

WHEREAS, Transportation Authority staff convened a multidisciplinary panel of experts with local, national, and international experience; and

WHEREAS, The Peer Review Panel conducted research, expert interviews, and a series of workshops, with participation by key stakeholders: Caltrain, California High-Speed Rail Authority, TJPA, Metropolitan Transportation Commission, Alameda/Contra Costa County Transit District, the SF Mayor’s Office, SF Planning, San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, SPUR and Transportation Authority; and

WHEREAS, The following activities informed the panel’s deliberations:

1) Review of project data, including environmental documentation, cost and funding plans and studies, project delivery studies, conceptual design, construction methodology, property acquisition needs, previous studies, and operations analyses, among others.

2) Stakeholder interviews, conducted by WSP/McKinsey to understand their perception of and interests in the project, level of support, and expectations for the future.

3) Multiple workshops with stakeholders and TJPA staff.

4) Case studies of relevant megaprojects including lessons learned from London Crossrail Program, Gateway Project in New York/New Jersey, San Francisco Oakland Bay Bridge Program, California High Speed Rail Program, and Atocha-
Chamartin High Speed Rail tunnel and station in Madrid.

5) Extensive Expert Panel discussions, analysis, and key findings, leading up to recommendations; and

WHEREAS, The Peer Review Panel held various workshops with stakeholders to review preliminary findings and recommendations, with stakeholders providing feedback and input on the initial recommendations and proposed strategic 24-month “transition period” work program (Attachment 1) to prepare the project for implementation; and

WHEREAS, The Peer Review Panel has prepared a final report (Enclosure 1) detailing the panel’s findings and recommendations on governance, oversight, management and project delivery; and

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby accepts the Downtown Rail Extension Peer Review Panel’s Final Report on Governance, Oversight, Management and Project Delivery.

Attachment:

1. DTX Two-Year Work Plan
2. Presentation - Summary of Findings

Enclosure:

1. Peer Review Panel Final Report [pending]
**2-Year Work Plan to get a re-envisioned Rail Program back on schedule, establish the final institutional arrangement with a clear mandate and capability to implement it, and select a project delivery method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Sub-task Description</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decision: path forward informed by expert panel recommendations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Establish Integrated Project Team (IPT), develop transition plans, and stakeholder engagement to re-position the Rail Program</td>
<td>(a) Workshop(s) with stakeholders to establish IPT governance and staffing, develop transition plans and multi-party MOU, incl. seamless shared agreement for the Rail Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Allocate and define roles and responsibilities for all Work Plan tasks, incl. allocation of tasks to be led by IPT vs. tasks to be coordinated with other agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Organize seconded and/or recruited staff for the IPT capable of carrying out the 2-year work plan, including identification of program manager and other support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d) Secure stakeholder commitments for steering committee and conduct stakeholder mapping across level of support and importance to success</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(e) Develop clear statement of objectives as Project of Regional and National Significance with regional priority, and clear objectives and tracking system for stakeholder engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decision: adopt plans and agreements for transitional governance, IPT staffing and organization, and stakeholder engagement plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Ongoing management and stakeholder engagement</td>
<td>(a) Execute and implement transition plan and agreements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Ongoing oversight and management in the transition phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Maintain clear records of stakeholder engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d) Manage key initiatives to address issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(e) Track accountability of all stakeholder engagement processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Task</td>
<td>Sub-task Description</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Identify the full list of STC users, direct and indirect, and relevant plans</td>
<td>Caltrain and CHSR based on their current/updated Business Plans; transit users; and, New Transbay Crossing based on 2018 State Rail Plan, regional rail plan, and current BART/CCIPA planning study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1.2| Prepare re-definition plan to establish an initial operating phase at the earliest possible date and address other program components including PAX, Rail Yards, 22nd Street Station, and the STC through-station concept to support Transbay Rail/BART | (a) Planning, operational, and engineering studies to achieve project re-definition and initial operating phase, incl. planning and environmental permitting requirements  
(b) Conduct PAX pre-environmental/environmental and coordinate w/ Rail Yards development planning (per MOU) and 22nd Street Station study led by SF Planning  
(c) Perform demand vs capacity scenario analysis over time and side-by-side comparison of options in terms of benefits (economic, riders, housing, etc), costs, schedules, operations, etc.  
(d) Develop detailed cost estimates, schedules, and extensive risk register and analysis based on structured workshops, incl. risk management program and independent reviews  
(e) Develop plans for utility relocations and ROW requirements, including risk management and insurance plans, early works packages, and third party agreements as needed  
(f) Resolve critical operational issues for all users of the initial operating phase, conduct operational analysis, and coordinate operators’ plans and requirements |      |      |      |
| 1.3| Develop and confirm Funding Plan strategy for the Rail Program based on realistic funding assumptions and securing of capital and O&M revenues sufficient for the initial phase | (a) Develop funding plan for construction and operations, incl. definition of affordability limit, inter-agency responsibilities, securing commitments, schedule of availability, and tasks to enter FTA funding process  
(b) Conduct assessment of high/low confidence sources of funding with focus on funding initial operating phase and funding strategy of subsequent phases  
(c) Develop new/innovative funding and financing sources including joint development (eg, Rail Yards) enabled by Project Re-Definition strategy  
(d) Develop funding plan for operations phase, incl. funding agreements and commitments to support initial operating phase operating costs |      |      |      |
| 1.4| Prepare a preferred Phasing Plan conforming with evolving policy direction on realistic amounts/timing of funding and stakeholder delivery date expectations - with an explicit goal to deliver rail service to the STC at the earliest possible date | (a) Prepare Rail Program phasing options in response to rail service scenarios, funding sources and availability, and stakeholder requirements  
(b) Develop detailed work plan for ongoing tasks (engineering, planning, permits, etc)  
(c) Develop detailed risk management and assurance plans including ownership, staffing, independent strategic advisor / independent engineer, and management processes |      |      |      |

**Decision:** select project definition, phasing plan, and funding plan strategy
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Sub-task Description</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Ongoing development of technical and funding studies to support Tasks 1 and 3</td>
<td>Funding plan development, engineering analysis and design, cost estimates, scheduling, risk analysis and risk management, operational analysis, planning of future phases, permitting, early works to support initial operating phase, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Define responsibility for final institutional arrangement and preferred structure for optimal governance for and management of the Rail Program from completion of the workplan to at least the point of revenue service for the initial phase.</td>
<td>(a) Detailed study to identify the governance structure to enable stakeholder alignment, effective mega-project delivery, oversight, independent strategic advice, and assurance. Give strong consideration to options such as single purpose construction authority (e.g., those used by LA Metro), Regional rail development and construction management approach (e.g., discussed in organizational assessment of Caltrain’s latest business plan), or others. Stress-test options to maximize opportunities for Federal funding as a Project of Regional and National Significance.&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;(b) Develop management structure, briefs with roles and responsibilities, staffing qualifications, reporting and communication protocols, contracting, and staffing plan&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;(c) Conduct assessment with Strategic, Economic, Commercial, Financial, Management cases and considering responsibilities for O&amp;M of new trackage and the rail portion of STC&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;(d) Develop outcomes-based performance and sourcing management system, regime of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), and stage-gated decision making protocols</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Transition to final institutional arrangement and organization</td>
<td>Preparation for carrying out the Program beyond the 2-Year Work Plan or transferring subsequent responsibilities to a successor entity and management team. Execution and implementation of Task 2.1 outcomes in coordination with the selected project delivery method from Task 3.2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Qualitative delivery options analysis</td>
<td>Conduct market sounding through an RFI with infrastructure industry and update the qualitative delivery options analysis previously completed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Quantitative delivery options analysis</td>
<td>(a) Conduct workshops to allocate risk based on risk analysis from Tasks 1.2 and 1.5, and develop analysis and plans for insurance&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;(b) Conduct project delivery options analysis based on a business case and risk-adjusted financial analysis, including input from the market sounding in Task 3.1&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;(c) Analyze legal framework and issues for delivery options, procurement, and development of contracts&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;(d) Develop a strategic implementation roadmap including a procurement and contracting plan, risk management plan, and organizational requirements&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;(e) Scope pre-procurement engineering and early works contracts tailored to the delivery options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decision: select delivery option for the Rail Program’s initial operating phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start procurement tasks as applicable based on selected project delivery method and scoping</td>
<td>The following to be led by the final institutional arrangement team based on the selected phasing plan and project delivery method for the initial operating phase: For-construction plans and engineering, costing, scheduling, performance specifications, funding, outreach, procurement documents including RFQ/RFP, ongoing planning of later phases, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decision: confirm and adopt final institutional arrangement for project delivery, organization, agreements, and staffing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Stakeholders Involved

- TJPA
- SFCTA
- Caltrain JPB
- CHSRA
- SF Mayor’s Office
- MTC/BATA
- AC Transit
- SFMTA
- SPUR
- CCSF
Expert panelists

Ignacio Barandiaran
Arup, Principal

Francisco Fernandez
SENER, Project Manager

John Porcari
WSP, President, US Advisory Services

Geoff Yarema
Nossaman, Partner

Lou Thompson
Thompson Consulting

Karen Trapenberg Frick
Associate Professor of City and Regional Planning, UC Berkeley

Howard Permut
Permut Consulting

Jose Luis Moscovich
IDS, Managing Director

Alvaro Relano
SENER, Project Manager

John Fisher
WSP, Northern CA District Manager
Methodology and Schedule

Workshop 1: DTX Review Kickoff (April 8)

- Stakeholder Interviews
- International / Domestic Rail Project Best Practices and Case Studies Review

Workshop 2: Governance & Oversight (April 26)

- Panel-TJPA Q&A (May 3)

Workshop 3: Governance & Oversight Discussion Cont.; Project Finance & Delivery (May 17)

- Panel-TJPA Q&A (May 10)

Workshop 4: Testing the Answer (June 5)

- SFCTA Board Update (June 25)

2019
Final Recommendations

The Expert Panel’s final recommendations are focused around five key areas:
1. Program Positioning
2. Governance, Management and Oversight
3. Funding and Financing
4. Program Definition and Phasing
5. Program Development and Delivery

All elements of the Panel’s recommendations, key findings and methodology are described in more detail in the Final Report. In addition, the Panel has developed a recommended 2-year workplan to advance these recommendations.
Rail Program Re-positioning (1/2)
Recommendations

• **Re-position the Rail Program** such that it is developed and delivered by a highly collaborative inter-agency team and viewed as a “project of REGIONAL and NATIONAL significance”

• **Re-define Program value proposition** as critical connectivity link for current and future developing megaregional and state rail services – Caltrain, Muni, BART, CAHSR – and serve as planning platform for future connections like a new Transbay Rail crossing, Diridon intermodal station and new Dumbarton rail service

• **Re-name the Program and the series of complementary projects** that include 4th/King, Pennsylvania Avenue and possible new Caltrain yard to reflect this regional priority and its new positioning
Rail Program Re-positioning (2/2)
Recommendations

• Secure long-term, durable support of key local, regional, state, and federal elected officials and stakeholders

• Engage the public directly to build program support and advance social equity, environmental, economic development and other regional goals

• Identify and empower internal and external program champions to drive progress

• Agree on project scope with Caltrain, CHSRA and other possible rail operators
Refocus the responsibilities of the TJPA’s management team, as currently constituted or in a refined form, on:

• **Closing out the Salesforce Transit Center design and construction contracts and any outstanding claims**

• **Resolving potential third-party liabilities associated with the Salesforce Transit Center and related facilities**

• **Operating and managing the STC** and related facilities
Subject to direction on policy and legal issues, enter into a memorandum of understanding (MOU) among the TJPA, Caltrain, MTC, CHSRA, CCSF and SFCTA pursuant to which:

- Day-to-day responsibility and staffing of DTX program and program level decision-making authority would be transferred to an Integrated Program Team (IPT)

- Transfer would become effective no later than end of calendar year 2019, with an appropriate IPT management structure and brief based on rail megaproject development and delivery best practices

- The IPT would be composed of staff seconded from or recruited by MTC, SFCTA, TJPA, CHRSA, CCSF and Caltrain, with proven leadership, organizational acumen, and relevant experience in delivering tasks in the transitional 2-Year Work Plan, with additional support as needed
The IPT actions would be subject to an **organizational matrix specifying approvals the IPT would** need for decisions and reporting to the TJPA Board through:

- An **Executive Steering Committee (ESC)** comprised of the Executive Directors of IPT member agencies, with the ability to appoint a **technical advisory committee (TAC) or group of deputy directors** reporting to the ESC to advance decisions / provide oversight of project funding and delivery

- An **IPT program director** reporting to the ESC with management responsibility of all activities contemplated in the 2-Year Work Plan
Governance, Management and Oversight Recommendations (4/5)

• Explicit requirement of concurrence by MTC, SFCTA, Caltrain, CCSF and CHSRA on at least the following key items, without which the TJPA could not act and funding contributions could not flow into the project:
  • Recruitment and selection of an IPT program director with demonstrated experience planning and delivering urban rail megaprojects
  • Scoping of the study to produce the definition of an initial phase and final phase of the Rail Program, its optimal delivery methodology, and a clarification of the relationship to the larger regional rail context
  • Approval of the study once finalized
  • Development of the funding and financing plan within affordability limits to ensure delivery of the initial rail access to the STC at the earliest possible time, ensuring access for high-speed rail service when needed
  • Resolution of design criteria across operators
  • Determination of operation and maintenance responsibilities
  • Selection of a Lead Agency and Project Manager for the delivery phase after the 2-Year Work Plan is complete, with demonstrated experience in planning and delivering urban rail megaprojects including tunnel structures
Governance, Management and Oversight
Recommendations (5/5)

The IPT would:

• **Execute the two-year workplan**, which outlines the activities necessary to reposition the Rail Program and advance it to either:
  • Procurement (if delivery model is DB, DBM or DBFM)
  • Prepare for final design (if Design-Bid-Build)
• **Establish the final management structure for project delivery**

The IPT would be structured to operate with transparency, to ensure better decision-making at all levels and to build public confidence in the program.
Funding and Financing
Recommendations

• **Strengthen the program’s strong claim** on revenues from existing and emerging sources

• **Re-evaluate and strengthen existing funding plan** to:
  – Separate high confidence level from low confidence level revenue sources
  – Establish an affordability limit for the initial operating phase
  – Seek new grant opportunities to support development

• **Establish a credible long-term financial plan, with stakeholder input**, to secure the amount and timing of capital and operating funding needed to deliver each element of the program, accommodating capacity and operational needs over time

• Provide **clear direction to Congressional Delegation** on program benefits and eligible sources of federal funds/financing support for the program
Program Definition and Phasing Recommendations

• Establish an Initial Operating Phase that will achieve Caltrain rail service to the STC (and accommodate CHSR) by a date certain (2028) set by stakeholders, and within a budget supported by higher confidence level revenues

• This initial phase would be consistent with NEPA/CEQA approvals and maintain eligibility with FTA and other federal funding programs and incorporate a new risk adjusted cost estimate

• Conduct a new systems capacity and operations analysis for Caltrain and CAHSR to help inform capital/operational needs and timing of these investments with a goal of developing funding and operational agreements with both operators as soon as feasible

• Initiate planning process with other related adjacent projects, including potential long term service connections with a second Transbay crossing
Program Development and Delivery Recommendations

- **Conduct a structured market sounding program** to gain direct input on technical, financial, operational interface and risk drivers from the private sector
- **Perform a robust delivery options analysis** considering Design-Bid-Build (DBB), Design-Build (DB), Design-Build-Maintain (DBM) and Design-Build-Finance-Maintain (DBFM) to determine which combination optimizes “value for money”
- **Scope preliminary engineering** to align with selected project delivery method and revised available funding to mitigate cost/schedule risk and support an initial operating phase
- **Finalize and incorporate comprehensive agreements** with Caltrain and CHSRA, on issues such as project scope, operations specifications, capacity requirements, and amount and timing of capital and O&M funding
## 2-Year Workplan

Re-define the program, identify the governing entity, and select delivery method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **(0) Establish ITP, Transition Plans, and Stakeholder Engagement Plan** | Workshop(s) based on expert panel recommendations, allocate roles/responsibilities, and development of agreements and inter-agency staffing plan  
**Decision: adopt plans and agreements for transitional governance, IPT staffing, and stakeholder engagement plan** | | | | | | | | | |
|      | On-going Rail Program management and stakeholder engagement | | | | | | | | | |
| **(1) Re-Definition of a Fundable and Deliverable Rail Program** | Develop Project Definition, Funding Plan, and Phasing Plan for the Rail Program's components, coordinated with related projects (eg, PAX, Rail Yards, 22<sup>nd</sup> St. Station)  
**Decision: select project definition, phasing, and funding** | | | | | | | | | |
|      | On-going development of technical and funding studies | | | | | | | | | |
| **(2) Final Institutional Arrangement** | For the final institutional arrangement: define responsibilities, governance and oversight plan, agreements, performance management plan, KPI's, and staffing plan  
**Decision: adopt plans and agreements for final institutional arrangement for project delivery, including organizational structure and staffing** | | | | | | | | | |
|      | Transition and implementation of final institutional arrangement and organization, as applicable | | | | | | | | | |
| **(3) Selection of Project Delivery Option** | Delivery options analysis incl. market sounding, risk workshops, business case, procurement framework, and operator agreements  
**Decision: select delivery option for the Rail Program's initial operating phase** | | | | | | | | |
| **(4) Start of Procurement** | Start procurement tasks as applicable based on selected project delivery method and led by final institutional arrangement | | | | | | | | |
Thank You

Questions?
Memorandum

AGENDA ITEM 6

DATE: October 2, 2019

TO: Transportation Authority Board

FROM: Eric Cordoba - Deputy Director for Capital Projects

SUBJECT: 10/08/19 Board Meeting: Accept the Downtown Rail Extension Peer Review Panel’s Final Report on Governance, Oversight, Management and Project Delivery

RECOMMENDATION ☒ Action

Accept the Downtown Rail Extension Peer Review Panel’s Final Report on Governance, Oversight, Management and Project Delivery.

SUMMARY

At the request of the Board, Transportation Authority staff convened a multi-disciplinary expert peer review panel to assess the current and alternative governance, management, oversight, finance and project delivery of the Downtown Rail Extension (DTX) project. This direction stemmed from the Board’s recognition of the significance of the project and the desire to ensure its success. Panel members provided an update on the panel’s preliminary findings at the June 25 Board meeting. Members of the expert panel will present the final findings at the October 8 Board meeting. The findings are summarized in Attachment 2.

DISCUSSION

On October 23, 2018, the Transportation Authority Board unanimously voted to suspend the funding agreement with the Transbay Joint Powers Authority (TJPA) for the DTX. Recognizing the local and regional significance of the project, the technical and institutional complexity, the high investment cost, and limited funding identified to date, the Transportation Authority Board commissioned this review of current and best practices for governance, oversight, management, funding and project delivery of the DTX. To that effect, staff convened a
multidisciplinary panel of the following experts with local, national, and international experience:

- Geoff Yarema, Nossaman
- John Porcari, WSP
- Francisco Fernandez, SENER
- Ignacio Barandiaran, ARUP
- Lou Thompson, Thompson Consulting
- Alvaro Relano, SENER
- Howard Permut, Permut consulting
- José Luis Moscovich, IDS
- John Fisher, WSP
- Karen Frick, UC Berkeley

The study team and peer review panel was additionally supported by WSP and McKinsey & Company.

**Approach.**

The effort consisted of research, expert interviews, and a series of workshops, with participation by key stakeholders: Caltrain, California High-Speed Rail Authority (CHSRA), TJPA, Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), Alameda/Contra Costa County Transit District (AC Transit), the SF Mayor’s Office, SF Planning, San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), SPUR and San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA or Transportation Authority).

The following activities informed the panel’s deliberations:

1) Review of project data, including environmental documentation, cost and funding plans and studies, project delivery studies, conceptual design, construction methodology, property acquisition needs, previous studies, and operations analyses, among others.

2) Stakeholder interviews, conducted by WSP/McKinsey to understand their perception of and interests in the project, level of support, and expectations for the future.

3) Multiple workshops with stakeholders and TJPA staff.

4) Case studies of relevant megaprojects including lessons learned from London Crossrail Program, Gateway Project in New York/New Jersey, San Francisco Oakland Bay Bridge Program, California High Speed Rail Program, and Atocha-Chamartin High Speed Rail tunnel and station in Madrid.

5) Extensive Expert Panel discussions, analysis, and key findings, leading up to recommendations.

The panel held various workshops with stakeholders to review preliminary findings and recommendations. Stakeholders provided feedback and input on the initial recommendations and proposed strategic 24-month “transition period” work program (Attachment 1) to prepare the project for implementation. This was followed by a series of staff meetings with stakeholders, both individually and as a group, to further discuss their comments and observations.

**Final Recommendations.**
The final panel recommendations cover the following areas:

1) Program Re-Positioning
2) Program Governance, Management and Oversight
3) Program Funding and Financing
4) Program Definition and Phasing
5) Program Development and Delivery

**Two-Year Work Plan.**

The expert panel believes that certain activities need to take place over the next two years to better position the project for success, regardless of the governance and oversight structures chosen. Key activities include:

1. Development of realistic funding assumptions and securing of capital and operating and maintenance revenues sufficient for the initial phase
2. Resolution of design criteria, capacity requirements, and access and schedule commitments
3. Refining Rail Program scope and any indicated phasing
4. Recommending the preferred structure for optimal governance for and management of the Rail Program
5. Preparation for carrying out the Program beyond the 2-Year Work Plan or transferring subsequent responsibilities to a successor entity and management team.

This Work Plan is included as Attachment 1.

**Implementation.**

Over the last few months, we have been discussing with the city and regional stakeholders the means by which the panel’s recommendations may be implemented, as well as the barriers that could affect such implementation. As a result, we have developed draft principles under which a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) among the participating agencies may be enacted and are working on refining the principles with input from the other stakeholders.

Understanding that it may take some time for the parties to settle on the appropriate language for the MOU, we hope that an agreement by the parties to enter into an MOU based on the said set of principles will enable the Integrated Project Team and the Executive Steering Committee to be instituted so that work can proceed without further delay. To that effect, we are working with relevant stakeholders on a suite of related funding actions to support DTX and the broader regional rail program, complementing the existing allocation of Prop K funds to the Planning Department for the Caltrain 22nd Street Study. These actions, which will enable the program to move forward, include funding for: planning, funding support and program management support as well as phasing, review and engineering design for DTX; pre-environmental engineering for the Pennsylvania alignment; Caltrain’s
systemwide storage and needs assessment to inform the needs at the 4th and King railyard; and overall rail program coordination and oversight.

**Next Steps.**

1. Presentation to TJPA and TJPA CAC at upcoming meetings.
2. Develop and execute the MOU.
3. Prepare and support preparation of allocations and appropriations to move the program of projects forward. These requests would be presented to the Transportation Authority CAC and Board for approval.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT**

There are no impacts associated with acceptance of the final report. Implementation of recommendations related to allocation or appropriation of Transportation Authority funds would be the subject of future Board actions.

**CAC POSITION**

The CAC will be briefed on this item at its October 23 meeting.

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS**

- Attachment 1 - DTX Two-Year Work Plan
- Attachment 2 - Presentation - Summary of Findings
- Enclosure 1 - Peer Review Panel Final Report