

RESOLUTION AMENDING THE 2017 PROP AA STRATEGIC PLAN

WHEREAS, In November 2010, San Francisco voters approved Proposition AA (Prop AA), authorizing the Transportation Authority to collect an additional \$10 annual vehicle registration fee on motor vehicles registered in San Francisco and to use the proceeds to fund transportation projects identified in the Expenditure Plan; and

WHEREAS, The Prop AA Expenditure Plan identifies eligible expenditures in three programmatic categories: Street Repair and Reconstruction, Pedestrian Safety, and Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements, and mandates the percentage of revenues that shall be allocated to each category over the life of the Expenditure Plan; and

WHEREAS, The Prop AA Expenditure Plan requires development of a Strategic Plan to guide the implementation of the program, and specifies that the Strategic Plan include a detailed 5year prioritized program of projects (5YPP) for each of the Expenditure Plan categories as a prerequisite for allocation of funds; and

WHEREAS, In May 2017, through Resolution 17-45, the Transportation Authority Board adopted the 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan, which among other elements, included policies for the administration of the program; screening and prioritization criteria; and a 5YPP for each programmatic category covering Fiscal Years 2017/18 to 2021/22, programming \$20.8 million in Prop AA funds to 12 projects, and

WHEREAS, The Strategic Plan policies state that "Any project programmed within the Prop AA Strategic Plan that does not request allocation of funds in the year of programming may, at the discretion of the Transportation Authority Board, have its funding deobligated and reprogrammed to other projects through a competitive call for Prop AA projects", and



WHEREAS, Transportation Authority staff worked with project sponsors, namely San Francisco Public Works and the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, to update the status of six projects listed in Attachment 1 that have not or do not anticipate requesting allocation of funds in the year of programming (i.e., Fiscal Years 2017/18 or 2018/19), and

WHEREAS, The staff recommendation shown is to delay programming and update scope, schedule, cost and funding information for the six projects as summarized in Attachment 1 and detailed in the revised Project Information Forms included in Attachment 2, and

WHEREAS, Based on the staff recommendation, the amended 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan programming would be as shown in Attachment 3, and

WHEREAS, The Transportation Authority anticipates releasing a Prop AA call for projects in late March 2019, making up to \$3.55 million available for projects with funds primarily available from a reserve in the street resurfacing category for a mid-cycle call for projects, and to a lesser degree from a slight increase in revenues, accrued interest, and a one-time release of unused administrative funds; and

WHEREAS, As part of the Strategic Plan amendment, Transportation Authority staff recommends adding a new screening and prioritization criteria to give priority to projects that directly benefit disadvantaged populations shown in Attachment 3, consistent with recent Transportation Authority Board updates to the prioritization criteria for the Transportation Fund for Clean Air and the San Francisco Lifeline Transportation Program, and

WHEREAS, At its February 27, 2019 meeting, the Citizens Advisory Committee considered the subject amendment and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby approves the amendment to the



2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan as detailed in the Attachments 1 through 4.

Attachments (4):

- 1. Proposed 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan Amendment Programming Revisions
- 2. Prop AA Project Information Forms (6)
- 3. Proposed 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan Amendment Programming and Allocations
- 4. Prop AA Screening and Prioritization Criteria Proposed Revisions



The foregoing Resolution was approved and adopted by the San Francisco County Transportation Authority at a regularly scheduled meeting thereof, this 19th day of March 2019, by the following votes:

> Ayes: Commissioners Brown, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Walton and Yee (9)

Absent: (

Commissioners Fewer and Stefani (2)

4-1-19

Aaron Peskin Chairperson

Date

Une Tilly Chang Date

ATTEST:

Executive Director

Attachment 1 Proposed 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan Amendment - Programming Revisions

District	Project Name	Phase	Sponsor ¹	Fiscal Year Programmed	Amount	Recommendation
Street Rep	Street Repair and Reconstruction					
n Ó	Geary Boulevard Pavement Renovation	Construction	SFPW	2017/18 2019/20	\$ 2,397,129	Proposed amendment to delay programming of funds to Fiscal Year 2019/20. This pavement renovation project is part of the much larger Geary Bus Rapid Transit Phase 1 (Geary Rapid) project, and its schedule is closely coordinated with transit, sever, and pedestrian safety improvements. The design phase of the project is nearly complete, with the construction contract anticipated to be advertised in early FY 2019/20. SFPW intends to apply for additional Prop AA street repair funds in the upcoming call for projects to help cover a \$620,000 cost increase from updating construction cost estimates, and a \$370,000 funding gap from shifting funds to other projects. Project is anticipated to be open for use by June 2021, 12 months after initial open for use date.
8, 9, 10	23rd St, Dolores St, York St and Hampshire St Pavement Renovation	Construction	MdHS	.2018/19 2019/20	\$ 2,397,129	 Proposed amendment to delay programming of funds to Fiscal Year 2019/20. Project leverages Prop K funds, which are programmed in Fiscal Year 2019/20. Project has been delayed due to coordination with the Potrero Roadway Improvement project as this project serves as the second phase of the paving work around Potrero Avenue. Project is anticipated to be open for use by March 2021, 9 months after initial open for use date.
Pedestrian Safety	1 Safety					
10	Potrero Gateway Loop (Pedestrian Safety Improvements)	Design, Construction	MdHS	2 017/18 2018/19	\$ 300,000	 Proposed amendment to delay programming of funds to Fiscal Year 2018/19 and 2019/20. Project is delayed due to prolonged negotiations with design contractor and coordination with multiple agencies. SFPW may request design funds (\$80,000) by June 2019 and plans to request construction funds (\$220,000) in Fiscal Year 2019/20. Project is anticipated to be open for use by June 2021, 24 months after initial open for use date.
9	Vision Zero Coordinated Pedestrian Safety Improvements (Bulbs & Basements)	Construction	SFPW	2017/18 2018/19	\$ 700,000	Proposed amendment to delay programming of funds to Fiscal Year 2018/19.Project is delayed due to coordination with the SFMTA for the bulbouts at Taylor700,000and Turk, which will now be constructed as part of the SFMTA's Safer Taylorproject. Project is anticipated to be open for use by December 2020, 24 months afterinitial open for use date.

car Amount Recommendation	 Proposed amendment to change phase to construction and delay programming of funds to Fiscal Year 2019/20. While initially intended to fund design of additional bulb-outs, SFMTA requests using these funds for the construction phase of up to 41 bulb-outs still under design with a previous Prop AA grant. Potential locations are within Districts 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10 and 11 (see Attachment 4 - project information form). Last year SFMTA was informed by SFPW, which is performing the design work, that a number of the proposed locations needed additional design to address sub-sidewalk basement issues. This contributed to the delay and has increased design costs from \$491,757 to \$792,106. The construction cost impact will be known when design is completed at the end of the fiscal year. As part of the 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan adoption, approved through Resolution 17-45, the Board required SFMTA to obtain concurrence from the relevant district supervisors prior to allocation of Prop AA funds for design. 	Proposed amendment to delay programming of funds to Fiscal Year 2019/20, change project sponsor to SFPW, and add design as an eligible phase. Project is delayed due to SFMTA focusing on other recommendations from the Western Addition Transportation plan and identifying the appropriate implementing agency for this project. SFPW will be the implementing agency and is requesting a portion of the finds be made available for design (\$100,000) in FY 2019/20. The remaining funds will be used for construction. SFPW will consider applying for additional Prop AA funds in the upcoming call for projects to cover a \$660,000 funding gap to install lighting on three blocks, due to higher construction cost than initial open for use date.
r ¹ Fiscal Year Programmed	2019/20	4
Sponsor	SFMT'A	Wetts
Phase	Derign Construction	Design. Construction
Project Name	Bulb-outs at WalkFirst Locations	Western Addition Transportation Plan Implementation (Pedestrian Lighting)
District	TBD	۳

¹ Sponsor abbreviations include: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and San Francisco Public Works (SFPW).

Project Information Forms





Project Name:	Geary Boulevard Pavement Renovation
Implementing Agency:	SFPW
Project Location:	Geary Boulevard from Van Ness Avenue to Masonic Avenue
Supervisorial District(s):	District 2 and District 5
Project Manager:	Paul Barradas
Phone Number:	415-554-8249
Email:	paul.barradas@sfdpw.org
Brief Project Description for MyStreetSF (50 words max):	This project includes demolition, pavement renovation, new sidewalk construction, curb ramp construction and retrofit, traffic control, and all related and incidental work along Geary Blvd, from Van Ness Ave to Masonic Ave. The average Pavement Condition Index (PCI) score within the project limits is low 50's.
Detailed Scope (may attach Word document): Please describe the project scope, benefits, coordination with other projects in the area (e.g. paving, MuniForward, Vision Zero), and how the project would meet the Prop AA screening and prioritization criteria as well as other program goals (e.g., short- term project delivery to bring tangible benefits to the public quickly). Please describe how this project was prioritized. Please attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project.	The paving scope is planning to join the SFMTA Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) improvements along this corridor. Geary Boulevard is one of the busiest bus corridors west of the Mississippi. Over 52,000 people rely on the 38-Geary local, rapid, and express routes to get where they need to go. However, uneven wait times, overcrowded buses, and inconsistent travel times are a daily reality. These issues persist despite increased service frequency provided by longer 60-foot buses scheduled to run every 2.5 minutes during rush hour and near-term upgrades to bus lanes implemented recently under Muni Forward. To break the cycle and manage crowding, wait times, and traffic congestion, the Geary Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project proposes upgrades to street design, more accessible bus stops with boarding islands, sidewalk extensions, and traffic signals to make travelling for everyone on the corridor more efficient, safe, and vibrant. There will also be upgrades to water and sewer infrastructure. The requested Prop AA grant will fund the paving scope of work which includes demolition, pavement renovation of 28 blocks, new sidewalk construction, curb ramp construction and retrofit, traffic control, and all related and incidental work along Geary Boulevard from Van Ness Avenue to Masonic Avenue. All candidates shown are subject to substitution and schedule changes pending , visual confirmation, utility clearances and coordination with other agencies. Unforeseen challenges such as increased work scope, changing priorities, cost increases or declining revenue may arise causing the candidates to be postponed.
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.).	City agencies have engaged residents, community leaders, advocates and merchants all along the corridor throughout design. The Geary BRT Citizens Advisory Committee (GCAC) typically met every two to three months to advise the Transportation Authority throughout the environmental analysis. The GCAC consists of thirteen members, representing corridor and at-large interests. It provides input on refining BRT alternatives, considers project benefits and tradeoffs for all users of the corridor, and has helped to identify a preferred project alternative. As the project moves closer to implementation, the Transportation Authority and SF Municipal Transportation Agency are partnering with the Office of Economic and Workforce Development on five key construction strategies: Pre-construction survey; Business and community advisory committees; Accessibility, way-finding and advertisement; Notifications and project resources; Business technical assistance and support.
Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency.	San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA): Colin Dentel-Post San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA): Daniel Mackowski San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) Water: Napoleon Calimlim San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) Sewer: Carol Huang
Type of Environmental Clearance Required:	Categorically Exempt



Project Delivery Milestones	Status	Work	Start	Date	End	Date
Phase*	% Complete	In-house, Contracted, or Both	Quarter	Calendar Year	Quarter	Calendar Year
Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design)						
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)						
Design Engineering (PS&E)	30%	In-House	Oct-Dec	2015	<u>Apr-Jun</u>	<u>2019</u>
Right-of-way						
Advertise Construction	0%	N/A	<u>Jul-Sep</u>	<u>2019</u>	N/A	N/A
Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract)	0%	Contracted	Oct-Dec	<u>2019</u>	N/A	N/A
Open for Use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u>Apr-Jun</u>	<u>2021</u>

*Only design engineering (PS&E) and construction (including related procurement) phases are eligible for Prop AA funds.

Comments

Project Name:	.	Geary Boulevard Pavement Renovation	Pavement Ro	enovation	
PROJECT COST ESTIMATE			Fundi	Funding Source by Phase	Phase
Phase	Cost	Prop AA	Prop K	Other	Source of Cost Estimate
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	0\$	N/A			
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	0\$	N/A			
Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$360,300			\$360,300	\$360,300 Engineer's Estimate
Right-of-way	0\$	N/A			
Construction	<u>\$6,300,000</u>	\$2,397,129		\$3,902,871	<u>\$3,902,871</u> Engineer's Estimate
TOTAL PROJECT COST \$6,660,300	\$6,660,300	\$2,397,129		\$4,263,171	
Percent of Total		36%		64%	

PROP AA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)*

	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	Total
Design Engineering (PS&E)								0\$
Construction			\$719,139	\$1,677,990				\$2,397,129
TOTAL BY FISCAL YEAR	0\$	0\$	\$719,139	\$719,139 \$1,677,990	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$0 \$2,397,129

*The 2017 Strategic Plan will program funds in FYs 2017/18 to 2021/22. Cash flow can extend beyond this period.

FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES - ALL SOURCES

\$2,397,129 \$360,300 \$6,660,300	\$360,300		TOTAL \$3,902,871	TOTAL
\$989,603			\$989,603	TBD (e.g. Prop AA)
\$360,300 <u>\$3,273,568</u>	\$360,300		<u>\$2,913,268</u>	General Fund
\$2,397,129		\$2,397,129		Prop AA
TOTAL	Allocated	Programmed Allocated	Planned	Funding Source

Desired Prop AA Programming Year

Fiscal Year 2019/20

Comments/Concerns

fund the project. SFPW intends to apply for Costs are only for the street resurfacing component of the larger BRT project. In order to fully additional Prop AA funds in the upcoming call for projects.





Project Name:	23rd St, Dolores St, York St, and Hampshire St Pavement Renovation	
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Public Works	
Project Location:	On 22nd St from Potrero Ave to Harrison St On 23rd St from Folsom St to Capp St On Cesar Chavez on Ramp from 25th St to Potrero Ave to Hampshire St On Dolores St from Cesar Chavez St to 29th St On Hampshire St from 17th St to Cesar Chavez on Ramp On York St from Mariposa St to 26th St	
Supervisorial District(s):	8, 9, 10	
Project Manager:	Ramon Kong	
Phone Number:	415-554-8249	
Email:	ramon.kong@sfdpw.org	
Brief Project Description for MyStreetSF (50 words max):	This street resurfacing project includes demolition, pavement renovation of 37 blocks, constructions, curb ramp construction, traffic control, and all related and incidental wor Pavement Condition Index (PCI) score within the project limits is in the mid 50's.	
Detailed Scope (may attach Word document): Please describe the project scope, benefits, coordination with other projects in the area (e.g. paving, MuniForward, Vision Zero), and how the project would meet the Prop AA screening and prioritization criteria as well as other program goals (e.g., short- term project delivery to bring tangible benefits to the public quickly). Please describe how this project was prioritized. Please attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project.	 Public Works requests a Prop AA grant in Fiscal Year 2018/2019 2019/20 to fund con Dolores St, Hampshire St, 23rd St, and York St Pavement Renovation. The proposed p On 22nd St from Potrero Ave to Harrison St On 23rd St from Folsom St to Capp St On Cesar Chavez on Ramp from 25th St to Potrero Ave to Hampshire St On Dolores St from Cesar Chavez St to 29th St On Hampshire St from 17th St to Cesar Chavez on Ramp On York St from Mariposa St to 26th St This project was coordinated and set to be completed after the multi-agency Potrero St project. This is phase II of the street resurfacing around the Potrero area. The paving sedemolition, pavement renovation of 37 blocks, new sidewalk constructions, curb ramp traffic control, and all related and incidental work. All candidates shown are subject to substitution and schedule changes pending available confirmation, utility clearances and coordination with other agencies. Unforeseen challe increased work scope, changing priorities, cost increases or declining revenue may arise candidates to be postponed. 	reetscape cope includes construction, <u>e funding, visual</u> :nges such as
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.).	This project was coordinated and set to be completed after the multi-agency Potrero St project, <u>which was completed in May 2018</u> . This is phase II of the street resurfacing arc area.	
Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency.	San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) Sewer: Johnny Wong (415.554.152 San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA): Rob Malone (415.701.2430)	
Type of Environmental Clearance Required:	Categorically Exempt	



Project Delivery Milestones	Status	Work	Start	t Date	End	Date
Phase*	% Complete	In-house, Contracted, or Both	Quarter	Calendar Year	Quarter	Calendar Year
Planning/Conceptual Engineering						
(typically 30% design)						
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)						
Design Engineering (PS&E)	30%	In-house	Oct-Dec	2017	<u>Apr-Jun</u>	<u>2019</u>
Right-of-way						
Advertise Construction	0%	N/A	<u>Jul-Sep</u>	<u>2019</u>	N/A	N/A
Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract)	0%	Contracted	Oct-Dec	<u>2019</u>	N/A	N/A
Open for Use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u>Jan-Mar</u>	<u>2021</u>
*Only design engineering (PS&E) and co	nstruction (inclue	ling related procur	rement) phases a	re eligible for Prop	AA funds.	

Comments

Project Name:	23rd St, Dolores St, York St, and Hampshire St Pavement Renovation	
PROJECT COST ESTIMATE	Funding Source by Phase	

PROJECT COST ESTIMATE			F	Funding Source by Phase	ce by Phase
Phase	Cost	Prop AA	Prop K	Other	Source of Cost Estimate
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	0\$	V/N			
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	0\$	V/N			
Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$464,530			\$464,530	<u>\$464,530</u> <u>Actual cost and cost to complete</u>
Right-of-way	0\$	N/A			
Construction	\$5,397,129	\$2,397,129	\$3,000,000	\$1,602,871	Early Planning Magnitude of Order Estimate
TOTAL PROJECT COST \$5,861,659 \$2,397,129	\$5,861,659	\$2,397,129	\$464,530 \$464,530	\$464,530	
Percent of Total		41%	51%	8%	

PROP AA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)*

	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	Total
Design Engineering (PS&E)						0\$
Construction			\$750,000	\$1,647,129		\$2,397,129
TOTAL BY FISCAL YEAR	0\$	0\$		\$750,000 \$1,647,129	0\$	\$2,397,129

*The 2017 Strategic Plan will program funds in FYs 2017/18 to 2021/22. Cash flow can extend beyond this period.

FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES - ALL SOURCES

Desired Prop AA Programming Year

20

Fiscal Year 2019,

Funding Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	TOTAL
Prop AA		\$2,397,129		\$2,397,129
Prop K		\$3,000,000		\$3,000,000
General Fund	\$2,002,871		\$464,530	\$464,530 \$464,530
TOTAL	\$0	\$0 \$5,397,129		\$464,530 \$5,861,659

Comments/Concerns





Project Name:	I	Potrero Gateway L	oop (Pedestrian Safety Improve	ements)	
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Pul	olic Works			
Project Location:	17th St, Vermont	St, San Bruno Ave	. adjacent to the 101 freeway		
Supervisorial District(s):	10				
Project Manager:	Kelli Rudnick				
Phone Number:	415.558.4489				
Email:	kelli.rudnick@sfd	pw.org			
Brief Project Description for MyStreetSF (50 words max):	project goals inclu around the freewa accessibility impro	ide improving ped- ay; promoting publ ovements, and free	ces along the 101-freeway on P estrian and bicycle circulation b c health, safety, and welfare the way-adjacent maintenance. Prop nont Street, which is a high-inju	etween neighborhoods, belo rough creation of open spac p AA will fund pedestrian s	ow, and es,
Detailed Scope (may attach Word document): Please describe the project scope, benefits, coordination with other projects in the area, and how the project would meet the Prop AA screening and prioritization criteria as well as other program goals. Please describe how this project was prioritized. Please attach	See word docume	nt attached.			
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.).	architecture firm (committee to creat landscape architect park. The neighborhoo in 2014, attended fundraiser, the pro- the D10 Supervise Project sponsors I design and determ Steering Committ collaborated to ob	to lead a 6-month of the a park out of pu- cts, the committee d church opened it by over 100 people occeeds of which we or; and received a 1 have met five times hine which parts of ee, Public Works a otain funding from	trero Gateway Loop Steering C community planning process. Ir blic right-of-way land. After pr chose Bionic Landscape to wor s auditorium so that the neighb c. After conceptual design was of the used to hire firm to provide Program Manager from Public V with Caltrans engineers to pro the project would be approved and Mayor's Office for Housing the <u>Affordable Housing Sustai</u> fit Fund (\$1.75M).	n 2013, the neighborhood fo utting out an RFP and inter the with the community and o porhood could hold four des completed in 2015, the com a construction cost estimat Works to assist the steering ovide a high-level review of the by Caltrans. The landscap cand Community Developm	ormed a viewing design the sign meetings munity held a e; contacted committee. the concept e team, nent
Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency.	Caltrans: Al Lee (a	al.b.lee@dot.ca.gov	Chan (yoyo.chan@sfgov.gov); '); munity Development: Stephen	Ford (stephen.ford@sfgov	.org)
Type of Environmental Clearance Required:	Community Plan	Exemption under	n existing Mitigated Negative I	Declaration	
Project Delivery Milestones	Status	Work	Start Date	End Da	ite
	Clarao	In house		2	

Project Delivery Milestones	Status	Work	Start	Date	End	Date
Phase*	% Complete	In-house, Contracted, or Both	Quarter	Calendar Year	Quarter	Calendar Year
Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design)	100%	n/a				
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	<u>100%</u>	in-house	Jan-Mar	2017	Jan-Mar	2017
Design Engineering (PS&E)	30%	contracted	<u>Apr-Jun</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>Apr-Jun</u>	<u>2020</u>
Right-of-way						
Advertise Construction	0%	N/A	<u>Apr-Jun</u>	<u>2020</u>	N/A	N/A
Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract)	0%	contracted	Oct-Dec	<u>2020</u>	N/A	N/A
Open for Use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u>Apr-Jun</u>	<u>2021</u>
*Only design anging ming (DS & E) and construct	tion (including role	tod ano annon ont)	hagon are clicible.	for Drop AA funds		

*Only design engineering (PS&E) and construction (including related procurement) phases are eligible for Prop AA funds.

Comments

Project Name:	Potrero	Potrero Gateway Loop (Pedestrian Safety Improvements)	Pedestrian Saf	ety Improveme	ents)
PROJECT COST ESTIMATE				Funding Source by Phase	ce by Phase
Phase	Cost	Prop AA	Prop K	Other	Source of Cost Estimate
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	0\$	N/A			
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	\$10,000	N/A		\$10,000	\$10,000 Public Works & SF Planning
Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$640,000	\$80,000		\$560,000	Christine Kiesling Cost Estimating, reviewed by San Francisco Public Works
Right-of-way	0\$	N/A			
Construction	\$2,230,000	\$220,000		\$2,010,000	Christine Kiesling Cost Estimating, reviewed by San Francisco Public Works
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$2,880,000	\$300,000	0\$	\$2,580,000	
Percent of Total		10%	%0	%06	

PROP AA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)*

	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	Total
Design Engineering (PS&E)			\$80,000					\$80,000
Construction				\$220,000				\$220,000
TOTAL BY FISCAL YEAR	\$0	80	\$80,000	\$220,000	\$0	0\$	0\$	\$300,000

*The 2017 Strategic Plan will program funds in FYs 2017/18 to 2021/22. Cash flow can extend beyond this period.

FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES - ALL SOURCES

Desired Prop AA Programming Year

α

Funding Source	Planned	Programmed Allocated	Allocated	TOTAL
Prop AA		\$300,000		\$300,000
Eastern Neighborhoods Impact Fees		\$1,450,000	\$300,000	\$1,750,000
<u>Affordable Housing Sustainable</u> Communities Grant		\$750,000		\$750,000
General Fund D10 addback			\$80,000	\$80,000
TOTAT	0\$	\$2,500,000	\$380,000	\$2,880,000

Comments/Concerns



Project Description Potrero Gateway Loop: Pedestrian Safety Enhancements Phase I Scope

Public Works seeks Prop AA funds to widen sidewalks on Vermont Ave, leveraging improvements to be funded by other sources. The six components of the overall project scope are described below.

A. <u>San Bruno</u>

San Bruno Avenue from 17th Street to Mariposa. The eastern sidewalk only goes half the length of the street while the distance from the sidewalk to the freeway shortens as you travel southward. The right-of-way originally contained many trees which are now gone because of fires and lack of tree maintenance. Once opened, this area can provide additional pathways to the Loop. Elements include:

Landscape:

- Living fence separating sidewalk and freeway
- Planted terraces
- Flat terrace plaza at the corner of San Bruno and 17th Street
- Street trees

Hardscape:

- Bulbouts at San Bruno
- Widen sidewalk
- New sidewalk
- Associated parking changes
- Maintenance path

B. Beneath the Freeway/17th

In an effort to reconnect the neighborhood that was separated by 101 Freeway, and to provide an attractive, safe passageway under a currently dark freeway underpass, the Loop project will widen the sidewalks, remove parking and enhance the bicycle lanes. Additionally the project will add an art program and lighting. The elements of this area are:

Landscape:

- Street trees
- Planted seating area

Hardscape:

- New fence
- •
- Bulb-outs at San Bruno and Vermont streets
- Sidewalk widening and associated parking removal

Project Description Potrero Gateway Loop: Pedestrian Safety Enhancements Phase I Scope

- 17th Street striped bike land/Green Connector/SFBC route
- Widened sidewalk
- Box out space between existing columns, paint and create terrace
- Stadium steps, terrace
- ADA accessible path
- Iconic stair to high point
- Maintenance storage shed
- Art program
- New lighting

C. Vermont

The Vermont street right-of-way is separated from the freeway by a sound wall that reduces sound in lower area considerably, due to its being on top of a hill. This area, with great views of the city, offers significant open space. The project will also install bulbouts and sidewalk widening to increase safety and the intersection of Vermont and 17th streets, a high collision intersection. Project elements are:

Landscape:

- New street trees
- Grassland meadow
- California wildflowers
- Sensory Art Installation
- Flat terrace

Hardscape:

- ADA accessible path
- Informal hiking trail
- Widened sidewalk along Vermont
- Corner bulbouts
- New fence between freeway and park
- Trail benches
- Steps to terrace



Project Name:	Vision Zero Coordinated Pedestrian Safety Improvements: Bulbs & Basements
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Public Works
Project Location:	Jones and Ellis, 8th and Minna
Supervisorial District(s):	6
Project Manager:	Marci Camacho
Phone Number:	415-558-4015
Email:	marcia.camacho@sfdpw.org
Brief Project Description for MyStreetSF (50 words max):	Adding curb ramps on or adjacent to sub-sidewalk basements using bulbouts as a method to mitigate the costly sub-sidewalk basement conflicts. Includes intersections in District 6: Jones and Ellis (2 bulbouts), and 8th and Minna (1 raised crosswalk). Bulbouts at Taylor and Turk (3 bulbouts) would be added pending coordination with the Safer Taylor Street project (non Prop AA funded).
Detailed Scope (may attach Word document): Please describe the project scope, benefits, coordination with other projects in the area (e.g. paving, MuniForward, Vision Zero), and how the project would meet the Prop AA screening and prioritization criteria as well as other program goals (e.g., short- term project delivery to bring tangible benefits to the public quickly). Please describe how this project was prioritized. Please attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project.	Accessibility improvements coordinated with planned construction projects in the right-of-way to maximize efficiency and minimize disturbances to neighborhoods. Emphasis on improvements on the high-injury Vision Zero network. Locations will be at corners with sub-sidewalk basements with requests from people with disabilities as listed in the Transition Plan Prioritization. Supervisor Kim is in strong support of this work. Bulbouts are a method to shorten pedestrian crossing distances and enable the installation of curb ramps without touching costly sub-sidewalk basements. A raised crosswalk is another method to slow traffic for pedestrians, used in lieu of a curb ramp, and also enables construction without touching a sub-sidewalk basement. Sub-sidewalk basements occur all over the city and structural conditions vary greatly. Additionally, some roofs of a subsidewalk basement may double as the sidewalk. This means curb ramp installation on a sub-sidewalk basement may necessitate expensive structural work, waterproofing, and unknown expenses related to the basements' being private property. This project achieves two important citywide goals: it improves accessibility at locations with requests from people with disabilities and reduces the likelihood of additional pedestrian collisions along the Vision Zero high-injury network. Without the bulbout and crosswalk solution, curb ramps alone may be cost prohibitive at these intersections. Public Works has been making great strides towards reaching full saturation of accessible, up-to-date curb ramps citywide. However, as more ramps are constructed throughout the City, the more difficult locations remain, which increases the average cost.
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.).	Met with Tenderloin neighborhood group, Central City SRO Collaborative at 48 Turk Street, and Supervisor Kim in 2015 to identify locations. This project will also fall within the City and County of San Francisco's Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan for Curb Ramps and Sidewalks, the goal of which is to ensure that the City creates accessible paths of travel in the public right of way for people with disabilities. The City & County of San Francisco has made a significant and long-term commitment to improving the accessibility of the public right of way. The Department of Public Works has been the primary leader in these efforts, with collaboration and funding from the Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD) in prioritizing and funding curb ramp construction under the ADA Transition Plan for Curb Ramps and Sidewalks. This Transition Plan describes CCSF's existing policies and programs to enhance accessibility in the public right of way. There is a yearly prioritizing process which reviews requests for curb ramps. In FY 2016/17, the list primarily included locations identified through citizen complaints and requests, locations identified during Federal Transit Administration audits of Muni Key stations, and other locations vital to transit access identified by Muni.
Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency.	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA): Damon Curtis; San Francisco Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD): Arfaraz Khambatta
Type of Environmental Clearance Required:	Categorical Exclusion (CE).



Project Delivery Milestones	Status	Work	Start	t Date	End	Date
Phase*	% Complete	In-house, Contracted, or Both	Quarter	Calendar Year	Quarter	Calendar Year
Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design)	100%	In-house	Jan-Mar	2015	Apr-Jun	2016
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	25%	In-house	Apr-Jun	2016	<u>Apr-Jun</u>	<u>2019</u>
Design Engineering (PS&E)	<u>95%</u>	In-house	Apr-Jun	2016	<u>Apr-Jun</u>	<u>2019</u>
Right-of-way	0%	N/A		N/A		N/A
Advertise Construction	0%	In-house	<u>Apr-Jun</u>	<u>2019</u>		
Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract)	0%	Contracted	Oct-Dec	<u>2019</u>	N/A	N/A
Open for Use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Oct-Dec	<u>2020</u>

*Only design engineering (PS&E) and construction (including related procurement) phases are eligible for Prop AA funds.

Comments

Project Name:	Vision Zero (Coordinated Pede	estrian Safety Im	provements: B	Vision Zero Coordinated Pedestrian Safety Improvements: Bulbs & Basements
			•	4	
PROJECT COST ESTIMATE			Fune	Funding Source by	r Phase
Dhace	000	A dond A A dond	$D_{acc} V$	$\Omega^{\pm h_{\Omega}}$	Source of Cost Ferima

Phase	Cost	Prop AA	Prop K	Other	Source of Cost Estimate
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	\$50,000	N/A		\$50,000	\$50,000 Engineer's estimate
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	\$20,000	N/A		\$20,000	\$20,000 Regulatory Affairs estimate
Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$300,000	0\$		\$300,000	\$300,000 Engineer's estimate
Right-of-way	0\$	N/A			
Construction	\$1,632,000	\$700,000		<u>\$932,000</u>	\$932,000 Engineer's estimate
TOTAL PROJECT COST \$2,002,000	\$2,002,000	\$700,000	0\$	\$0 <u>\$1,302,000</u>	
Percent of Total		35%	%0	65%	

PROP AA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)*

	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	Total
Design Engineering (PS&E)								0\$
Construction			\$500,000	<u>\$200,000</u>				\$700,000
TOTAL BY FISCAL YEAR	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$200,000	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$700,000

*The 2017 Strategic Plan will program funds in FYs 2017/18 to 2021/22. Cash flow can extend beyond this period.

FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES - ALL SOURCES

Desired Prop AA Programming Year

Funding Source	Planned	Planned Programmed	Allocated	TOTAL
Prop AA		\$700,000		\$700,000
General Fund		\$932,000	<u>\$370,000</u>	\$1,302,000
TBD (See below)	000'006\$			8900,000
TOTAL	\$0	\$1,632,000		\$370,000 \$2,002,000

Comments/Concerns

The Transportation Authority will work with SFMTA and SFPW to fully fund the Taylor and Turk bulbs with Prop K or other SFMTA controlled

funding sources when they are ready to advance.





Project Name:		Bulb-ou	ts at WalkFirst	Locations		
Implementing Agency:	SFMTA					-
Project Location:	2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10 at	nd 11				
Supervisorial District(s):		nd 11 (see attached	l list of potentia	l intersections)		
Project Manager:	Damon Curtis	×	L	<i>,</i>		
Phone Number:	701-4674					
Email:	Damon.Curtis@st	feta com				
Eman:	-					
Brief Project Description for MyStreetSF (50 words max):		the City's Vision Z		its on existing temp the highest need str		
Detailed Scope (may attach Word document): Please describe the project scope, benefits, coordination with other projects in the area (e.g. paving, MuniForward, Vision Zero), and how the project would meet the Prop AA screening and prioritization criteria as well as other program goals (e.g., short- term project delivery to bring tangible benefits to the public quickly). Please describe how this project was prioritized. Please attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project.	improvements, tl safety improvem painted safety zo the highest priori These bulb-outs providing increas crosswalks. All o <u>data-driven plann</u> 12% of city stree on these high inj that comprise qu project. The insta	he SFMTA anticip ents. This project nes for upgrade to ity collision pattern will improve pede sed visibility for pe f the potential bull <u>ting process that is</u> ts that accout for ury corridors, the ick, inexpensive, a allation of these im n Zero goal. This	ates additional would provide permanent bu as that warrant strian safety at a destrians, and a bouts emerged dentified the Sa 70% of severe a WalkFirst Inves ind effective too provements wi project also sup	lb-outs (see attached permanent bulb-ou intersections by red educing the speed of out of the WalkFir <u>n Francisco Vision</u> and fatal traffic injur stment Strategy iden ols, including the co ill also work toward oports Plan Bay Are	s to be installed design constru- d list). Painted s ts will be consid- ucing the crossi of turning vehic st planning proo Zero High Inju- ties. To improve- tified a suite of untermeasures City and Count a's Goal 3 to re-	as tempoary <u>ction</u> of up to 25 afety zones with lered for upgrade. ng distance, les through cess. <u>WalkFirst is a</u> <u>ry Networkthe</u> e pedestrian safety countermeasures proposed in this ty of San
projecti	 any designed in this phase include the 2016 SFCTA-led Vision Zero ramps study or the 2016 W Addition Community Based Transportation Plan. Each project should have robust communion outreach to ensure the bulb is a context sensitive solution in the neighborhood. At its May 9, 2017 meeting, the Transportation Authority Board amended the Bulb-outs at Locations project programmed in the 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan to require that the San F 		Juanty, roue our	ety, and physical act	ivity.	
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans,	extensions. Exan designed in this f Addition Commo outreach to ensu At its May 9, 201 Locations projec Municipal Transf	cipates future plan nples of types of p phase include the 2 unity Based Transp re the bulb is a con 7 meeting, the Tra t programmed in t	ning efforts tha rojects that ma 2016 SFCTA-le portation Plan. ntext sensitive s ansportation At he 2017 Prop / obtain concurre	t will determine the y lead to temporary d Vision Zero ramp Each project should solution in the neigh thority Board amer	locations of ter curb extension s study or the 2 l have robust co borhood. nded the Bulb-o require that the	that will be 2016 Western ommunity <u>outs at WalkFirst</u> e San Francisco
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any	extensions. Exan designed in this f Addition Commo outreach to ensu At its May 9, 201 Locations projec Municipal Transf	cipates future plan nples of types of p phase include the 2 unity Based Transp re the bulb is a cor 7 meeting, the Tra t programmed in t portation Agency of p AA funds for th	ning efforts tha rojects that ma 2016 SFCTA-le portation Plan. ntext sensitive s ansportation At he 2017 Prop / obtain concurre	t will determine the y lead to temporary d Vision Zero ramp Each project shouk solution in the neigh thority Board amer AA Strategic Plan to	locations of ter curb extension s study or the 2 l have robust co borhood. nded the Bulb-o require that the	that will be 2016 Western ommunity <u>outs at WalkFirst</u> e San Francisco
 Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. Type of Environmental Clearance Required: 	extensions. Exan designed in this p Addition Commo outreach to ensu <u>At its May 9, 201</u> <u>Locations projec</u> <u>Municipal Transp</u> <u>allocation of Pro</u> None identified Existing painted a case-by-case ba be Categorical E	cipates future plan nples of types of p ohase include the 2 unity Based Transj re the bulb is a cor 7 meeting, the Tra t programmed in t portation Agency of p AA funds for th I to date. safety zones likely usis pending final d xemption.	ning efforts tha rojects that ma 2016 SFCTA-lee portation Plan. ntext sensitive s insportation Au the 2017 Prop / obtain concurre e project.	t will determine the y lead to temporary d Vision Zero ramp Each project should solution in the neigh ithority Board amer AA Strategic Plan to nce from the distric	locations of ter curb extension s study or the 2 l have robust co borhood. ided the Bulb-o require that the st supervisor pri	that will be 2016 Western ommunity <u>outs at WalkFirst</u> <u>e San Francisco</u> <u>for to seeking</u> ecision is made on e type would likely
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. Type of Environmental Clearance Required:	extensions. Exan designed in this p Addition Commo outreach to ensu <u>At its May 9, 201</u> <u>Locations projec</u> <u>Municipal Transp</u> <u>allocation of Pro</u> None identified Existing painted a case-by-case ba	cipates future plan nples of types of p phase include the 2 unity Based Transp re the bulb is a cor 7 meeting, the Tra t programmed in t portation Agency of p AA funds for th l to date.	ning efforts tha rojects that ma 2016 SFCTA-lee portation Plan. ntext sensitive s insportation Au the 2017 Prop / obtain concurre e project.	t will determine the y lead to temporary d Vision Zero ramp Each project should solution in the neigh uthority Board amer A Strategic Plan to nce from the distric	locations of ter curb extension s study or the 2 l have robust co borhood. ided the Bulb-o require that the st supervisor pri	that will be 2016 Western ommunity <u>outs at WalkFirst</u> . <u>e San Francisco</u> <u>ior to seeking</u> ecision is made on
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. Type of Environmental Clearance Required: Project Delivery Milestones	extensions. Exan designed in this p Addition Commo outreach to ensu <u>At its May 9, 201</u> <u>Locations projec</u> <u>Municipal Transp</u> <u>allocation of Pro</u> None identified Existing painted a case-by-case ba be Categorical E	cipates future plan nples of types of p ohase include the 2 unity Based Transj re the bulb is a cor 7 meeting, the Tra t programmed in t portation Agency of p AA funds for th I to date. safety zones likely usis pending final d xemption.	ning efforts tha rojects that ma 2016 SFCTA-lee portation Plan. ntext sensitive s insportation Au the 2017 Prop / obtain concurre e project.	t will determine the y lead to temporary d Vision Zero ramp Each project should solution in the neigh ithority Board amer AA Strategic Plan to nce from the distric	locations of ter curb extension s study or the 2 l have robust co borhood. ided the Bulb-o require that the st supervisor pri	that will be 2016 Western ommunity <u>outs at WalkFirst</u> <u>e San Francisco</u> <u>for to seeking</u> ecision is made on e type would likely
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. Type of Environmental Clearance Required: Project Delivery Milestones Phase* Planning/Conceptual Engineering	extensions. Exan designed in this f Addition Commo outreach to ensu <u>At its May 9, 201</u> <u>Locations projec</u> <u>Municipal Transf</u> allocation of Pro None identified Existing painted a case-by-case ba be Categorical E <u>Status</u>	cipates future plan nples of types of p phase include the 2 unity Based Transj re the bulb is a cor 7 meeting, the Tra t programmed in t portation Agency of p AA funds for th I to date. safety zones likely usis pending final d xemption. Work In-house, Contracted, or	ning efforts tha rojects that ma 2016 SFCTA-le portation Plan. ntext sensitive s ansportation At he 2017 Prop / obtain concurre e project.	t will determine the y lead to temporary d Vision Zero ramp Each project should solution in the neigh thority Board amer AA Strategic Plan to nce from the distric er environmental rev permanent bulbout.	locations of ter curb extension s study or the 2 l have robust co borhood. ded the Bulb-o require that the t supervisor pri riew, but this de If required, the En	that will be 016 Western ommunity outs at WalkFirst e San Francisco for to seeking ecision is made on e type would likely d Date
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. Type of Environmental Clearance Required: Project Delivery Milestones Phase* Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design)	extensions. Exan designed in this p Addition Commo outreach to ensu At its May 9, 201 Locations projec Municipal Transp allocation of Pro None identified Existing painted a case-by-case ba be Categorical E Status % Complete 100%	cipates future plan nples of types of p phase include the 2 unity Based Transp re the bulb is a cor 7 meeting, the Tra t programmed in t portation Agency of p AA funds for th to date. safety zones likely usis pending final d xemption. Work In-house, Contracted, or Both In-house	ning efforts tha rojects that ma 2016 SFCTA-le portation Plan. ntext sensitive s ansportation At he 2017 Prop / obtain concurre e project.	t will determine the y lead to temporary d Vision Zero ramp Each project should solution in the neigh thority Board amer AA Strategic Plan to nce from the distric er environmental rev permanent bulbout.	locations of ter curb extension s study or the 2 l have robust co borhood. ded the Bulb-o require that the t supervisor pri riew, but this de If required, the En	that will be 016 Western ommunity outs at WalkFirst e San Francisco for to seeking ecision is made on e type would likely d Date
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. Type of Environmental Clearance Required: Project Delivery Milestones Phase* Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design) Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	extensions. Exan designed in this p Addition Commo outreach to ensu At its May 9, 201 Locations projec Municipal Transp allocation of Pro None identified Existing painted a case-by-case ba be Categorical E Status % Complete 100%	cipates future plan nples of types of p phase include the 2 unity Based Trans re the bulb is a cor 7 meeting, the Tra t programmed in t portation Agency of p AA funds for th l to date. safety zones likely usis pending final d xemption. Work In-house, Contracted, or Both In-house In-house	ning efforts tha rojects that ma 2016 SFCTA-le portation Plan. ntext sensitive s ansportation At the 2017 Prop / obtain concurre e project. need no furthe lesign for each Sta Quarter	t will determine the y lead to temporary d Vision Zero ramp Each project should solution in the neigh ithority Board amer A Strategic Plan to nce from the distric er environmental rev permanent bulbout.	locations of ter curb extension s study or the 2 l have robust co iborhood. ided the Bulb-o require that the st supervisor pri riew, but this de If required, the En Quarter	that will be 1016 Western 2016 Western 2016 Western 2016 Western 2017 Sector 2017 Sector
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. Type of Environmental Clearance Required: Project Delivery Milestones Phase* Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design) Environmental Studies (PA&ED) Design Engineering (PS&E)	extensions. Exan designed in this p Addition Commo outreach to ensu At its May 9, 201 Locations projec Municipal Transp allocation of Pro None identified Existing painted a case-by-case ba be Categorical E Status % Complete 100%	cipates future plan nples of types of p phase include the 2 unity Based Transp re the bulb is a cor 7 meeting, the Tra t programmed in t portation Agency of p AA funds for th to date. safety zones likely usis pending final d xemption. Work In-house, Contracted, or Both In-house	ning efforts tha rojects that ma 2016 SFCTA-le portation Plan. ntext sensitive s ansportation At he 2017 Prop / obtain concurre e project.	t will determine the y lead to temporary d Vision Zero ramp Each project should solution in the neigh thority Board amer AA Strategic Plan to nce from the distric er environmental rev permanent bulbout.	locations of ter curb extension s study or the 2 l have robust co borhood. ded the Bulb-o require that the t supervisor pri riew, but this de If required, the En	that will be 016 Western ommunity outs at WalkFirst e San Francisco for to seeking ecision is made on e type would likely d Date
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. Type of Environmental Clearance Required: Project Delivery Milestones Phase* Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design) Environmental Studies (PA&ED) Design Engineering (PS&E) Right-of-way	extensions. Exan designed in this p Addition Commo outreach to ensu At its May 9, 201 Locations projec Municipal Transp allocation of Pro None identified Existing painted a case-by-case ba be Categorical E Status % Complete 100% 100%	cipates future plan nples of types of p phase include the 2 unity Based Transp re the bulb is a cor 7 meeting, the Tra- t programmed in to portation Agency of p AA funds for th l to date. safety zones likely usis pending final d xemption. Work In-house, Contracted, or Both In-house In-house In-house	ning efforts tha rojects that ma 2016 SFCTA-lee portation Plan. ntext sensitive s ansportation Au the 2017 Prop / obtain concurre e project. need no furthe lesign for each Sta Quarter Jul-Sep	t will determine the y lead to temporary d Vision Zero ramp Each project should solution in the neigh thority Board amer AA Strategic Plan to nce from the distric er environmental rev permanent bulbout.	locations of ter curb extension s study or the 2 l have robust co borhood. ded the Bulb-o require that the it supervisor pri riew, but this de If required, the Quarter <u>Apr-Jun</u>	that will be 1016 Western 2016 Western 2016 Western 2017 WalkFirst 2 San Francisco 2 ior to seeking 2 cision is made on 2 type would likely d Date Calendar Year 2019
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. Type of Environmental Clearance Required: Project Delivery Milestones Phase* Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design) Environmental Studies (PA&ED) Design Engineering (PS&E) Right-of-way Advertise Construction	extensions. Exan designed in this p Addition Commo outreach to ensu At its May 9, 201 Locations projec Municipal Transp allocation of Pro None identified Existing painted a case-by-case ba be Categorical E Status % Complete 100%	cipates future plan nples of types of p phase include the 2 unity Based Trans re the bulb is a cor 7 meeting, the Tra t programmed in t portation Agency of p AA funds for th l to date. safety zones likely usis pending final d xemption. Work In-house, Contracted, or Both In-house In-house	ning efforts tha rojects that ma 2016 SFCTA-le portation Plan. ntext sensitive s ansportation At the 2017 Prop / obtain concurre e project. need no furthe lesign for each Sta Quarter	t will determine the y lead to temporary d Vision Zero ramp Each project should solution in the neigh ithority Board amer A Strategic Plan to nce from the distric er environmental rev permanent bulbout.	locations of ter curb extension s study or the 2 l have robust co iborhood. ided the Bulb-o require that the st supervisor pri riew, but this de If required, the En Quarter	that will be 1016 Western 2016 Western 2016 Western 2016 Western 2017 Sector 2017 Sector
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. Type of Environmental Clearance Required: Project Delivery Milestones Phase* Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design) Environmental Studies (PA&ED) Design Engineering (PS&E) Right-of-way	extensions. Exan designed in this p Addition Commo outreach to ensu At its May 9, 201 Locations projec Municipal Transp allocation of Pro None identified Existing painted a case-by-case ba be Categorical E Status % Complete 100% 100%	cipates future plan nples of types of p phase include the 2 unity Based Transp re the bulb is a cor 7 meeting, the Tra- t programmed in to portation Agency of p AA funds for th l to date. safety zones likely usis pending final d xemption. Work In-house, Contracted, or Both In-house In-house In-house	ning efforts tha rojects that ma 2016 SFCTA-lee portation Plan. ntext sensitive s ansportation Au the 2017 Prop / obtain concurre e project. need no furthe lesign for each Sta Quarter Jul-Sep	t will determine the y lead to temporary d Vision Zero ramp Each project should solution in the neigh thority Board amer AA Strategic Plan to nce from the distric er environmental rev permanent bulbout.	locations of ter curb extension s study or the 2 l have robust co borhood. ded the Bulb-o require that the it supervisor pri riew, but this de If required, the Quarter <u>Apr-Jun</u>	that will be 1016 Western 2016 Western 2016 Western 2017 WalkFirst 2 San Francisco 2 ior to seeking 2 cision is made on 2 type would likely d Date Calendar Year 2019

Comments

Project Name:		Bulb-outs at WalkFirst Locations	WalkFirst Lo	ocations	
PROJECT COST ESTIMATE				Funding So	Funding Source by Phase
Phase	Cost	Prop AA	Prop K	Other	Source of Cost Estimate
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	0\$	N/A	0\$	0\$	\$0 Actual
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	\$10,000	N/A	0\$	\$10,000 Actual	Actual
Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$792,106	\$491,757	0\$	\$300,349	\$300,349 Actual cost and cost to complete
Right-of-way	\$0	N/A	0\$	0\$	
Construction	\$5,500,000	\$500,000	0\$	\$5,000,000	Engineer's estimate at 35% design. See Comments/Concerns below
TOTAL PROJECT COST \$6,302,106	\$6,302,106	\$991,757	0\$	\$0 \$5,310,349	
Percent of Total		16%	0%0	84%	

PROP AA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)*

	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	Total
Design Engineering (PS&E)								0\$
Construction			\$250,000	\$250,000				\$500,000
TOTAL BY FISCAL YEAR	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$250,000	\$250,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500,000

*The 2017 Strategic Plan will program funds in FYs 2017/18 to 2021/22. Cash flow can extend beyond this period.

FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES - ALL SOURCES

Desired Prop AA Programming Year

Fiscal Year 2019/

Funding Source	Planned	Programmed Allocated	Allocated	TOTAL
$\operatorname{Prop} AA$		\$500,000	\$500,000 \$491,757	\$991,757
Prop B General Funds			\$10,000	\$10,000
Prop A GO Bond			\$300,349	\$300,349
TBD (e.g. Prop A GO Bond, Prop B, Transit Sustainability Fee)	\$5,000,000			<u>\$5,000,000</u>
TOTAL	TOTAL \$5,000,000		\$802,106	\$500,000 $$802,106$ $$6,302,106$

Comments/Concerns

ssumes average cost per bulbout of \$134,000 (41 individual bulbs at 23 corners at 15 intersections). If final construction cost at 100% design exceeds available inal construction cost and funding plan is contingent on cost estimate at 100% design (anticipated by June 30, 2019). Current construction cost estimate unding, the SFMTA will prioritize the locations based on Vision Zero crash data.



Permanent Painted Safety Zones

Painted Safety Zone Conversion List of Potential Intersections, February 2019

	PSZ		
Intersection	Locations	District	Bulbs
1027 Jones and O'Farrell	NE	6	1
1030 Mission and Virginia	N, SW, SE	9	5
1034 Mission and Santa Rosa	SW	11	2
1042 3rd St and Williams	SW	10	1
1044 Plymouth-Sagamore-Sickles-San Jose	SW	11	2
1059 Eddy and Mason	NE	3,6	2
1092 17th St and South Van Ness	NE, SW	9	4
1093 Post and Webster	All corners	5	7
1105 Bush and Hyde	NE, SW	3	4
1110 Eddy and Leavenworth	SE	6	1
1114 Golden Gate and Larkin	NW, SE	6	3
1118 Larkin and Sutter	NE, SW	3	4
1130 3rd and Harrison	NE, SW	6	2
1131 Bush and Franklin	NW	2	1
1167 Leavenworth and Turk	SW	6	2
			41



Typical Before – Painted Safety Zone

Typical After – Bulb-out





Project Name:	Western Addition Transportation Plan Implementation (Pedestrian Lighting)
Implementing Agency:	SFMTA SFPW
Project Location:	May include Webster, McAllister, Eddy, Golden Gate and Laguna streets in the Western Addition.
Supervisorial District(s):	District 5
Project Manager:	Chava Kronenberg Edmund Lee
Phone Number:	7 01_4451_(415)_554-8258
Email:	chava.kronenberg@sfmta.com
Brief Project Description for MyStreetSF (50 words max):	This project will improve pedestrian safety, enhance community connections to recreational spaces and the overall walkability of community-identified priority streets in the Western Addition. Project improvements include pedestrian lighting to promote greater walking and biking in the Western Addition.
Detailed Scope (may attach Word document): Please describe the project scope, benefits, coordination with other projects in the area (e.g. paving, MuniForward, Vision Zero), and how the project would meet the Prop AA screening and prioritization criteria as well as other program goals (e.g., short- term project delivery to bring tangible benefits to the public quickly). Please describe how this project was prioritized. Please attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project.	This project proposes pedestrian safety and walkability improvements to community-identified priority streets in the Western Addition neighborhood. Beyond the scope of nearer-term improvements, the Western Addition Community-Based Transportation Plan (CBTP) specifically calls out to pedestrian lighting to address the community's pedestrian safety and security concerns as well as provide a decorative, human-scale element in the streetscape, fostering neighborhood identity and improving neighborhood aesthetics. Pedestrian lighting will promote greater walking and biking throughout the Western Addition. The network was developed using pedestrian path of travel results from community outreach, reported pedestrian collisions, crime data and Muni routes. This network will connect community members to major community destinations like Safeway, Ella Hill Hutch Community Center and the Fillmore Street commercial district. The pedestrian lighting network will facilitate safe connections to Muni service provided by the 5/5R-Fulton/Rapid, 22-Fillmore, 24- Divisadero, 31-Balboa, 38/38R-Geary Rapid, 47-Van Ness and 49-Mission. Proposed network locations are: • Laguna, between Eddy and McAllister • Webster Street between O'Farrell and Grove • McAllister Street between Fillmore and Gough • Eddy Street between Fillmore and Gough • Eddy Street between Scott and Webster Street • Golden Gate Avenue between Fillmore and Gough Prop AA funds will be used to implement pedestrian lighting along one or more of these corridors. Corridors will be prioritized based on feasibility, community input, and availability of funding. The proposal excludes walking connections proposed under the Buchanan Mall Community Connections projects.
Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.).	This project is recommended as part of the Western Addition CBTP (funded in part with District 5 Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP) planning funds), and was developed based on the plan's year-long community outreach process. Ten community meetings were conducted by the SFMTA and community-based organization, Mo'MAGIC. As part of the outreach process, community members developed transportation goals, identified issue locations and assessed streetscape designs.
Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency.	SF Public Utilities Commission, SF Recreation and Parks Department (RPD)
Type of Environmental Clearance Required:	CEQA



Project Delivery Milestones	Status	Work	Start	Date	End	Date
Phase*	% Complete	In-house, Contracted, or Both	Quarter	Calendar Year	Quarter	Calendar Year
Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design)	95%	In-house	Oct-Dec	2014	Jan-Mar	2017
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	0%	In-house	Apr-Jun	2017	Apr-Jun	2018
Design Engineering (PS&E)	0%	In-house	<u>Jan-Mar</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>Apr-Jun</u>	<u>2020</u>
Right-of-way						
Advertise Construction		N/A	Jul-Sep	<u>2020</u>	N/A	N/A
Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract)	0%	Contracted	Oct-Dec	<u>2020</u>	N/A	N/A
Open for Use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Jul-Sep	2021

*Only design engineering (PS&E) and construction (including related procurement) phases are eligible for Prop AA funds.

Comments

SFMTA will coordinate closely with the SFPUC to determine the most appropriate agency to implement this project (i.e., SFMTA or SFPUC).

Project Name:	Western	Addition Transp	ortation Plan	Implementation	Western Addition Transportation Plan Implementation (Pedestrian Lighting)
PROJECT COST ESTIMATE			F	Funding Source by Phase	by Phase
Phase	Cost	Prop AA	Prop AA Prop K	Other	Source of Cost Estimate
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	\$ 300,000	V/N	\$ 240,000	240,000 \$ 60,000 Actual	Actual
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	' \$	V/N	- \$	۔ چ	
Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	- \$	۔ ج	<u>Engineer's estimate</u>
Right-of-way	- \$	N/A	- \$	- \$	
Construction	\$ 1,550,000 \$	\$ 886,928	- \$	\$ 663,072	663,072 Engineer's estimate

Percent of Total

PROP AA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)*

37% 723,072

Ś

240,000 12%

Ś

Ś

\$ 1,950,000

TOTAL PROJECT COST

51%986,928

	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	Total
Design Engineering (PS&E)			\$ 100,000					\$100,000
Construction				<u>\$886,928</u>				\$886,928
TOTAL BY FISCAL YEAR \$	، \$	۰ \$	\$ 100,000	\$ 886,928	۰ \$	ہ ج	י \$	\$986,928

*The 2017 Strategic Plan will program funds in FYs 2017/18 to 2021/22. Cash flow can extend beyond this period.

FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES - ALL SOURCES

Desired Prop AA Programming

Fiscal Year 2019/20 Year

Funding Source	Planned	Planned Programmed Allocated	Allocated		TOTAL
Prop AA		\$ 240,000		\$	\$ 240,000
TBD	\$ 663,072				
MTC CBTP			\$ 60,000 \$ 60,000	\$ (60,000
Prop K			\$ 240,000 \$ 240,000	\$ (240,000
TOTAL	\$ 663,072	TOTAL \$ 663,072 \$ 240,000 \$ 300,000 \$ 540,000	\$ 300,000	\$ (540,000

Comments/Concerns

bedestrian lights to be installed. The projected shortfall is \$663,072 which would fully fund the cost of installing lighting on three blocks. SFPW will construction phases upon final selection of the project corridor and the corresponding number of educe scope if additional funding sources are not secured. Peron and finalize the budget for the d T MA



Proposed 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan Amendment

Programming and Allocations As Proposed, pending 03/19/2019

		•						
Project Name	Phase	Sponsor	Fiscal Year 2017/18	⁷ iscal Year Fiscal Year 2017/18 2018/19 2019/20	Fiscal Year 2019/20	Fiscal Year Fiscal Year Fiscal Year Fiscal Year 2017/18 2018/19 2019/20 2020/21 2021/22	r Fiscal Year 2021/22	5-Year Total
Street Repair and Reconstruction								
	Target Funds Availa	unds Available in Category \$3,294,247 \$2,189,097 \$2,189,097 \$2,189,097 \$2,189,097 \$2,189,097	\$ 3,294,247	\$ 2,189,097	\$ 2,189,097	\$ 2,189,097	\$ 2,189,097	\$ 12,050,635
	Constantion	CEDW/			¢ 3307130			0.07100

Geary Boulevard Pavement Renovation ¹	Construction	SFPW			\$	2,397,129					\$	2,397,129
23rd St, Dolores St, York St and Hampshire St Pavement Renovation ¹	Construction	SFPW			\$	2,397,129					\$	2,397,129
Mission Street Transit and Pavement Improvement	Construction	MdHS					\$	2,397,129			\$	2,397,129
Fillmore Street Pavement Renovation	Construction	SFPW							⇔	2,397,129	\$	2,397,129
Subtotal Programmed to Category (% all time) <i>Cumulative Remaining Capacity</i>	47.4%		\$ - \$ \$ 3,294,247 \$	\$ 5,483,344	↔ \$43.	4,794,258 \$ <i>2,878,183 \$</i>	⇔ %	2,397,129 \$ <i>2,670,151 \$</i>		2,397,129 \$ <i>2,462,119 \$</i>	<i>⊌</i> , 6 43,	9,588,516 <i>2,462,119</i>

Pedestrian Safety

Tar	Target Funds Available in Category \$ 1,414,490 \$	ole in Category	\$ 1	,414,490		939,958 \$		939,958 \$	939,958 \$	Ş	939,958	\$	5,174,324
Haight Street Streetscape (Pedestrian Lighting)	Construction	SFPW	(1 \$€	2,052,000								\$	2,052,000
Potrero Gateway Loop (Pedestrian Safety	Design,	SEDW			۲ ب	300.000						3	300.000
Improvements) ¹	Construction	M 1.10			¢=	000,000						€	000,000
Vision Zero Coordinated Pedestrian Safety	Construction	CEDIAL			٦ پ	000 002						9	700.000
Improvements (Bulbs & Basements) ¹	CONSURACION	OFF W			~ ≎	000,000						€	1 00,000
Arguello Boulevard Traffic Signal Upgrade	Construction	SFMTA			9	655,000						\$	655,000
Bulb-outs at WalkFirst Locations ¹	Construction	SFMTA					\$ 500	500,000				\$	500,000
Western Addition Transportation Plan Implementation		C L'ANG					100 4	000				6	000 200
(Pedestrian Lighting) ¹	Construction	SFFW					006 €	yoo,yzo				A	980,928
Subtotal Programmed to Category (% all time)	26.3%		\$ 2	2,052,000 \$ 2,155,000 \$	\$ 2,1	55,000		986,928 \$		÷	'	\$	5,193,928
Cumulative Remaining Capacity			\$	(637,510) \$ (1,852,552) \$ (1,899,521) \$	\$ (1,8	52,552)	\$ (1,899	521) \$	(959,563) \$	\$ ()	(19,604)	ŝ	(19,604)
Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements													

Tary	Target Funds Available in Category \$2,202,022 \$1,463,291 \$1,463,291 \$1,463,291 \$1,463,291	ole in Category	\$ 2,202,022	\$,463,291	\$,463,291	ŝ	1,463,291	\$,463,291	÷	8,055,185
Muni Metro Station Enhancements - Phase 1	Construction	SFMTA	\$ 2,465,316								\$	2,465,316
Muni Metro Station Enhancements - Phase 2	Construction	SFMTA				\$ 3,503,099					\$	3,503,099
Transit Stop Enhancement Program	Design, Construction	SFMTA					\$	2,064,919			\$₽	2,064,919
Subtotal Programmed to Category (% all time) <i>Cumulative Remaining Capacity</i>	26.2%		\$ 2,465,316 \$ (263,294)	\$ \$4 6	2,465,316 \$ - \$ (263,294) \$ 1,199,997 \$	 3,503,099 \$ (839,811) \$	÷÷ •••	2,064,919 \$ (1,441,440) \$	⊌ , 649,	- 21,851	69 69	8,033,334 <i>21,851</i>

25, 280, 14322,815,778 ∽ (3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 75) (3, 4, 592, 346) (3, 4, 592, 346) (3, 4, 592, 346)2,397,129 269,148 \$ 2,464,365 4,462,048 \$ 2,155,000 \$ 9,284,285 \$ 138,850 \$ **\$** 2,393,443 **\$** 4,830,789 **\$** \$ 4,517,316 \$ Pending allocation Total Available Funds **Total Programmed** Allocated Cumulative Remaining Capacity

Notes

¹ Comprehensive 2017 Strategic Plan Amendment (Reso XX, approved MMDDYY).

Prop AA Vehicle Registration Fee Strategic Plan Screening and Prioritization Criteria – Proposed Revisions¹

The Prop AA Expenditure Plan requires that the Strategic Plan include a prioritization mechanism to rank projects within each of the three programmatic categories. The intent of this requirement is to provide the Transportation Authority Board, the public, and Prop AA project sponsors with a clear understanding of how projects are prioritized for funding within program. Having a transparent and well-documented prioritization methodology in place allows for an open, inclusive and predictable project development process, intended to result in a steady stream of projects that are ready to compete for Prop AA, Prop K, and other discretionary (i.e., competitive) fund sources for implementation. In addition, a robust prioritization methodology helps to ensure that projects programmed for Prop AA funds can deliver near-term, tangible benefits to the public as intended by the Expenditure Plan. Finally, it allows project sponsors to better take advantage of coordination opportunities with other transportation projects funded by Prop AA and other funding sources that should result in efficiencies and minimize disruption caused by construction activities.

I. SCREENING

Projects must meet all screening criteria in order to be considered further for Prop AA funding. The screening criteria focus on meeting the eligibility requirements for Prop AA funds and include, but are not limited to, the following factors:

- Project sponsor is an eligible administering agency per the Prop AA Expenditure Plan guidelines.
- Project is eligible for funding from one or more of Prop AA's three programmatic categories.
- Project is seeking Prop AA funds for design or construction phases only.
- Project is consistent with the regional transportation plan.
- Project is consistent with agency adopted plans; existing and planned land uses; and adopted standards for urban design and for the provision of pedestrian amenities; and supportive of planned growth in transit friendly housing, employment and services.

II. GENERAL PRIORITIZATION

Projects that meet all of the Prop AA screening criteria will be prioritized for Prop AA funding based on, but not limited to the factors listed below. Neither the general prioritization criteria listed below nor category-specific criteria listed in Section III are in any particular order nor are they weighted. In general, the more criteria a project satisfies and the better it meets them, the higher a project will be ranked.

- **Project Readiness:** Priority shall be given to projects that can implement the funded phase(s) within twelve months of allocation. Implementation includes issuance of a purchase order to secure project components, awarding a contract, or encumbrance of staff labor charges by project sponsor.
- **Time Sensitivity:** Priority shall be given to projects that are trying to take advantage of time sensitive construction coordination opportunities and whether the project would leverage other funding sources with timely use of funds requirements.

• **Community Engagement/Support:** Priority shall be given to projects with clear and ¹ Proposed revisions are shown in track changes.

diverse community support and/or developed out of a community-based planning process (e.g., community based transportation plan, the Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program, corridor improvement study, campus master plan, station area plans, etc.).

- Benefits Communities of Concern: Priority will be given to projects that directly benefit disadvantaged populations, whether the project is directly located in a Community of Concern or can demonstrate benefits to disadvantaged populations.
- **Fund Leveraging:** Priority shall be given to projects that can demonstrate leveraging of Prop AA funds, or that can justify why they are ineligible, have very limited eligibility, or compete poorly to receive Prop K or other discretionary funds.
- **Geographic Equity:** Prop AA programming will reflect fair geographic distribution that takes into account the various needs of San Francisco's neighborhoods. This factor will be applied program-wide and to individual projects, as appropriate.
- **Project Sponsor Priority:** For project sponsors that submit multiple Prop AA applications, the Transportation Authority will consider the project sponsor's relative priority for its applications.
- **Project Delivery Track Record:** The Transportation Authority will consider the project sponsor(s)' past project delivery track record of prior Prop AA and other Transportation Authority-programmed funds when prioritizing potential Prop AA projects. For sponsors that have not previously received Transportation Authority-funds, the Transportation Authority will consider the sponsors' project delivery track record for capital projects funded by other means.

III. PROGRAMMATIC CATEGORY PRIORITIZATION

In addition to the general prioritization criteria detailed in Section II, listed below are prioritization criteria specific to each programmatic category.

Street Repair and Reconstruction

- Priority will be given to projects based on an industry-standard pavement management system designed to inform cost effective roadway maintenance.
- Priority will be given to streets located on San Francisco's bicycle and transit networks.
- Priority will be given to projects that include complete streets elements. Specifically, priority will be given to projects that include at least a minimal level of enhancement over previous conditions and that directly benefit multiple system users regardless of fund source (e.g. Street Repair and Reconstruction category, other Prop AA category or non-Prop AA fund source). Enhancements include complete streets elements for pedestrians, cyclists, or transit passengers that are improvements above and beyond those triggered by the street repair and reconstruction work (e.g. ADA compliant curb ramps required because of the street repair and reconstruction work).

Pedestrian Safety

• Priority will be given to projects that shorten crossing distances, minimize conflicts with other modes, and reduce pedestrian hazards.

- Priority will be given to projects on corridors that are identified through or are consistent with WalkFirst, Vision Zero, or successor efforts (e.g. pedestrian master plan).
- Priority will be given to infrastructure projects that improve access to transit and/or schools.

Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements

- Priority will be given to projects that support existing or proposed rapid transit, including projects identified in transit performance plans or programs such as the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's Muni Forward program and Rapid Network initiative.
- Priority will be given to projects that increase transit accessibility, reliability, and connectivity (e.g. stop improvements, transit stop consolidation and relocation, transit signal priority, traffic signal upgrades, travel information improvements, wayfinding signs, bicycle parking, and improved connections to regional transit).
- Priority will be given to travel demand management projects that aim to reduce congestion and transit crowding and are aligned with San Francisco's citywide travel demand management goals.
- Priority will be given to projects that address documented safety issues.