FY of Allocation Action:	2015/16							
Project Name:	Bessie Carmichael Crosswalk [NTIP Capital]							
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency							
EXPENDITURE PLAN INFORMATION								
Prop KEP Project/Program:	c. Pedestrian Circulation/Safety							
Prop K EP Line Number (Primary): Prop K Other EP Line Numbers:	40 Current Prop K Request: \$ 28,000							
Prop AA Category:								
	Current Prop AA Request: \$ -							
	Supervisorial District(s): 6							
	SCOPE							
included in the scope. Long scopes may  If a project is not already name Project sp highlighting: 1) project benefits, 2) level o any adopted plans, including Prop K/Pro adopted Prop K/Prop AA Strategic Plans  Indicate whether work is to be performed	the same project, provide an update on progress. Describe any outreach activities be provided in a separate Word file. Maps.  consors shall provide a brief explanation of how the project was prioritized for funding, of public input into the prioritization process, and 3) whether the project is included in ap AA 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPPs). Justify any inconsistencies with the sand/or relevant 5YPPs.  I by outside consultants and/or by force account.							
Please see the next page.								

# San Francisco County Transportation Authority Proposition K Sales Tax Program Allocation Request Form Bessie Carmichael Crosswalk Project

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) requests \$28,000 in a Prop K Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP) capital funding for the planning, detailed design, and construction phases of a crosswalk project at Bessie Carmichael Elementary School.

#### NTIP Background

The Transportation Authority's NTIP is intended to strengthen project pipelines and advance the delivery of community-supported neighborhood-scale projects, especially in Communities of Concern and other neighborhoods with high unmet needs. NTIP capital funding is intended to advance one small and one mid-sized neighborhood scale project toward implementation in the next five years in each district.

## Scope

This project proposes to open a crosswalk across Sherman Street between the entrance to the school yard and the pathway through the Victoria Manalo Draves Park. This project will require two new curb ramps, a painted crosswalk, and school crossing signage.

The project emerged from a site visit with school administrators and community members. The site visit identified both short and long-term engineering measures to improve safety and encourage students to walk and bike to school. The SFMTA implemented several short-term improvements such as improved signage, daylighting, and traffic signal timing changes. One of the long-term projects identified was to open a midblock crosswalk to the school yard from the park. This Prop K allocation will provide the funding to complete the last component the project. The work will be performed by the SFMTA and the San Francisco Department of Public Works (SFDPW).

- Preliminary design: SFMTA will determine and establish a footprint of the crosswalk; this work includes field surveys, legislative clearances for parking or traffic modifications, environmental clearances, and drawings of potential alignment.
- Detailed design: SFDPW will design the physical changes needed for the crosswalk; this work includes hydraulic assessments, curb ramp design, and potential utility relocation (if needed).
- Construction: SFMTA and SFDPW will build the crosswalks; this work includes physical construction, potential traffic routing, interim parking modifications, and field assessments.

#### Environmental

As a condition of this allocation, the SFMTA acknowledges that environmental review has not been done. Prior to approval of the project, SFMTA will conduct review under the California Environmental Protection Act (CEQA). SFMTA shall not proceed with the approval of the project until there has been complete compliance with CEQA. Prior to billing for any construction funds, if requested by the Transportation Authority, the SFMTA will provide the Authority with documentation confirming that CEQA review has been completed.

FY	2015/16	
1 1	2013/10	

Project Name:	Bessie Carmichael Crosswalk [NTIP Capital]
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
	ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE
Type:	Categorically Exempt Projected
Status:	TBD
	PROJECT DELIVERY MILESTONES

Enter dates for ALL project phases, not just for the current request. Use July 1 as the start of the fiscal year. Use 1, 2, 3, 4 to denote quarters and XXXX/XX for the fiscal year (e.g. 2010/11). Additional schedule detail may be provided in the text box below.

Planning/Conceptual Engineering
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)
R/W Activities/Acquisition
Design Engineering (PS&E)
Prepare Bid Documents
Advertise Construction
Start Construction (e.g., Award Contract)
Procurement (e.g. rolling stock)
Project Completion (i.e., Open for Use)
Project Closeout (i.e., final expenses incurred)

Start Date						
Quarter	Fiscal Year					
4	FY 2015/16					
1	FY 2016/17					
2	FY 2016/17					
4	FY 2016/17					

End Date						
Quarter	Fiscal Year					
2	FY 2016/17					
2	FY 2016/17					
4	FY 2016/17					
2	FY 2017/18					

#### **SCHEDULE COORDINATION/NOTES**

Provide project delivery milestones for each sub-project in the current request and a schedule for public involvement, if appropriate. For planning efforts, provide start/end dates by task here or in the scope (Tab 1). Describe coordination with other project schedules or external deadlines (e.g., obligation deadlines) that impact the project schedule, if relevant.

Crosswalk needs to be legislated, which requires an approval by the SFMTA board. The process for getting board approval generally takes three months.

FY 2015/16

Project Name: Bessie Carmichael Crosswalk [NTIP Capital]

Implementing Agency: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

#### **COST SUMMARY BY PHASE - CURRENT REQUEST**

Allocations will generally be for one phase only. Multi-phase allocations will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Enter the total cost for the phase or partial (but useful segment) phase (e.g. Islais Creek Phase 1 construction) covered by the CURRENT funding request.

Planning/Conceptual Engineering Environmental Studies (PA&ED) Design Engineering (PS&E) R/W Activities/Acquisition Construction Procurement (e.g. rolling stock)

Yes/No
Yes
No
Yes
No
Yes

Cost for Current Request/Phase								
	Prop AA -							
Total Cost	<b>Current Request</b>	Current Request						
\$ 7,500	\$ 7,500							
\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000							
\$ 14,500	\$ 14,500							
\$28,000	\$28,000	\$0						

#### **COST SUMMARY BY PHASE - ENTIRE PROJECT**

Show total cost for ALL project phases based on best available information. **Source of cost estimate** (e.g. 35% design, vendor quote) is intended to help gauge the quality of the cost estimate, which should improve in reliability the farther along a project is in its development.

Planning/Conceptual Engineering Environmental Studies (PA&ED) Design Engineering (PS&E) R/W Activities/Acquisition Construction Procurement (e.g. rolling stock) Total Cost

\$ 7,500

\$ 6,000

\$ 14,500

Total: \$ 28,000

Source of Cost Estimate
Legislation of similar projects
Design of similar projects
Construction of similar projects

% Complete of Design: Expected Useful Life: 0 as of 20 Years 2/5/16

#### MAJOR LINE ITEM BUDGET

- 1. Provide a major line item budget, with subtotals by task and phase. More detail is required the farther along the project is in the development phase. Planning studies should provide task-level budget information.
- 2. Requests for project development should include preliminary estimates for later phases such as construction.
- 3. Support costs and contingencies should be called out in each phase, as appropriate. Provide both dollar amounts and % (e.g. % of construction) for support costs and contingencies.
- 4. For work to be performed by agency staff rather than consultants, provide base rate, overhead multiplier, and fully burdened rates by position with FTE (full-time equivalent) ratio. A sample format is provided below.
- 5. For construction costs, please include budget details. A sample format is provided below. Please note if work will be performed through a contract.
- 6. For any contract work, please provide the LBE/SBE/DBE goals as applicable to the contract.

FEASIBILITY STUDY (PHASE 1) - SUMMAR								
Task		Totals		SFMTA		SFDPW	% of Project	
Conceptual Design and Legislation	\$	7,500	\$	7,500	\$	-	26.8%	
Detailed Design	\$	6,000	\$	-	\$	6,000	21.4%	
3. Construction (Hard Cost)	\$	11,217	\$	3,717	\$	7,500	40.1%	
Construction (Labor)	\$	3,283	\$	-	\$	3,283	11.7%	
TOTAL	\$	28,000	\$	11,217	\$	16,783		

#### MFB = Mandatory Fringe Benefits, FTE = Full Time Equivalent

MFB = Mandatory Fringe Benefits, FTE = Full Til	ne Equivalent						
Conceptual Design and Legislation (Phase 1) Co	urrent Request						
Position	Unburdened Salary	MFB	Overhead = 0.901* (Salary + MFB)	Burdened Salary	FTE Ratio	Hours	Cost
SFMTA Sustainable Streets Division							
Associate Engineer (5207) - Livable Streets	\$ 120,085	\$ 65,513	167,225	\$ 352,824	0.019	40	\$ 7,000
Deputy City Attorney 2 hr x \$250/hr						2	\$ 500
Subtotal Conceptual Design and Legislation	-					42	\$ 7,500
Detailed Design (Phase 2) Current Request							
Position	Unburdened Salary		Overhead Rate	Fully Burdened Salary	FTE Ratio	Hours	Cost
SFDPW Design and Engineering							
Assistant Engineer (5203) - Streets and Highways	\$ 103,246		2.70	279,199	0.015	31	\$ 4,161
Associate Engineer (5207) - Streets and Highways	\$ 120,085		2.70	324,735	0.006	12	\$ 1,838
Subtotal Detailed Design						43	\$ 6,000
Construction Labor (Phase 3) Current Request	1	1					 
Position	Unburdened Salary		Overhead Rate	Fully Burdened Salary	FTE Ratio	Hours	Cost
SFDPW Design and Engineering				Galary			
Assistant Engineer (5203) - Streets and Highways	\$ 103,246		2.70	279,199	0.007	15	\$ 2,013
Associate Engineer (5207) - Streets and Highways	\$ 120,085		2.70	324,735	0.003	7	\$ 1,174
SFDPW Construction Labor	•					22	\$ 3,187
Contingency (3%)							\$ 96
SFDPW Construction Labor Subtotal							\$ 3,283
Construction Hard Costs (Phase 3) Current Req	uest						
Position	Unit Cost	Quantity	Quantity Type				Cost
SFDPW Design and Engineering							
Curb Ramps	\$ 3,750	2	Lump Sum				\$ 7,500
SFMTA Sustainable Streets Division							
Striping Cost	\$ 2,817	1	Lump Sum				\$ 2,817
Sign Cost	\$ 450	2	Lump Sum				\$ 900
Subtotal Construction	•						\$ 11,217

Total Construction Phase \$ 14,404

Total Prop K Request: \$ 28,000

EV	2015/16
ГΙ	2013/10

Project Name: Bessie Carmichael Crosswalk [NTIP Capital]

#### FUNDING PLAN - FOR CURRENT PROP K REQUEST

Prop K Funds Requested: \$28,000

5-Year Prioritization Program Amount: \$1,550,000 (enter if appropriate)

If the amount requested is inconsistent (e.g., greater than) with the Prop K/Prop AA Strategic Plan amount and/or the 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP), provide a justification in the space below including a detailed explanation of which other project or projects will be deleted, deferred, etc. to accommodate the current request and maintain consistency with the 5YPP and/or Strategic Plan annual programming levels.

Enter the funding plan for the phase or phases for which Prop K/Prop AA funds are currently being requested. Totals should match those shown on the Cost worksheet.

Fund Source		Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Total
Prop K Sales Tax			\$28,000		\$28,000
					\$0
					\$0
	Total:	\$28,000	\$0	\$0	\$28,000

Actual Prop K Leveraging - This Phase: Expected Prop K Leveraging per Expenditure Plan 0.00% 25.39%

\$28,000 Total from Cost worksheet

Is Prop K/Prop AA providing local match funds for a state or federal grant?

No

#### FUNDING PLAN - FOR ENTIRE PROJECT (ALL PHASES)

Enter the funding plan for all phases (environmental studies through construction) of the project. This section may be left blank if the current request covers all project phases. Totals should match those shown on the Cost worksheet.

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Total
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
Total:		\$0	\$0	\$ -

#### FISCAL YEAR CASH FLOW DISTRIBUTION FOR CURRENT PROP K REQUEST

Use the table below to enter the proposed cash flow distribution schedule (e.g. the maximum Prop K/Prop AA funds that are guaranteed to be available for reimbursement each fiscal year) for the current request. If the schedule is more aggressive than the Prop K/Prop AA Strategic Plan and/or 5YPP, please explain in the text box below how cash flow for other projects and programs will be slowed down to accommodate the current request without exceeding annual cash flow assumptions made in the Strategic Plan.

Prop K Funds Requested: \$28,000

Sponsor Request - Proposed Prop K Cash Flow Distribution Schedule					
Fiscal Year		Cash Flow	% Reimbursed Annually	Balance	
FY 2016/17		\$16,500	59.00%	\$11,500	
FY 2017/18		\$11,500	41.00%	\$0	
	Total	\$28,000	·		

#### **AUTHORITY RECOMMENDATION**

This section is to be completed by Authority Staff.

Last Updated:	02.12.2016	Resolution. No.	2016-047	Res. Date:	3/22/2016
Project Name:	Bessie Carmichael C	Crosswalk [NTIP C	apital]		
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Muni	cipal Transportatio	on Agency		
		Amount	I	Phase:	
Funding Recommended:	Prop K Allocation	\$28,000		Planning/Conceptu Design, and Constr	0
			-		
			F		
			F		
	Total:	\$28,000	<u>-</u>		
Notes (e.g., justification for multi-phase recommendations, notes for multi-EP line item or multi-sponsor recommendations):		Multi-phase allocation is recommended given the straightforward and non-controversial nature of the scope and small amount of funding requested.			

Cash Flow Distribution Schedule by Fiscal Year (for entire allocation/appropriation)

Source	Fiscal Year		Maximum Reimbursement	% Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 40	FY 2016/17		\$16,500	59.00%	\$11,500
Prop K EP 40	FY 2017/18		\$11,500	41.00%	\$0
				0.00%	\$0
				0.00%	\$0
				0.00%	\$0
		Total:	\$28,000	100%	

Cash Flow Distribution Schedule by Fiscal Year & Phase (for entire allocation/appropriation)

Source	Fiscal Year	Phase	Maximum Reimbursement	Cumulative % Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 40	FY 2016/17	Planning/Conceptual Engineering	\$7,500	27%	\$20,500
Prop K EP 40	FY 2016/17	Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$6,000	48%	\$14,500
Prop K EP 40	FY 2016/17	Construction	\$3,000	59%	\$11,500
Prop K EP 40	FY 2017/18	Construction	\$11,500	100%	\$0
				100%	\$0
		Total:	\$28,000		

Prop K/Prop AA Fund Expiration Date: 6/30/2018 Eligible expenses must be incurred prior to this date.


## AUTHORITY RECOMMENDATION

			This section is	s to be completed	d by Authority	Staff.	
		Last Updated:	02.12.2016	Resolution. No.	2016-047	Res. Date:	3/22/2016
		Project Name: I	Bessie Carmichael C	Frosswalk [NTIP (	Capital]		
				. 175			
	ln	nplementing Agency: S	San Francisco Muni	cıpal Transportatı	on Agency		
	Fut	ure Commitment to:	Action	Amount	Fiscal Year	Phase	
			Trigger:				
Deliverables:			•				
		Upon completion of of certifications page	). See Special Condi	tion 1.		ompletion of 100°	% design (e.g. copy
	2.	Upon project comple	etion, provide 2-3 di	gital photos of co	mpleted project.		
Special Condit	ions	:					
	1.	SFMTA may not incur expenses for the construction phase until Transportation Authority staff releases the funds (\$14,500) pending receipt of evidence of completion of design (e.g. copy of certifications page). See Deliverable 1.					
	2.	The Transportation A fiscal year that SFMT	Authority will only r 'A incurs charges.	eimburse SFMTA	up to the appro	ved overhead mu	ltiplier rate for the
	3.	As a condition of this Prior to approval of the Act (CEQA). SFMTA compliance with CEQAuthority, the SFMT completed.	the project, SFMTA A shall not proceed QA. Prior to billing	will conduct revi with the approval for any constructi	ew under the Cal of the project ur on funds, if requ	ifornia Environm ntil there has been ested by the Tran	nental Protection n complete asportation
Notes:	1.	Quarterly progress re	ports will be shared	with the District	Supervisor for th	nis NTIP project.	
		Quarterly progress re	porto wiii de difaree		oupervisor for th	10 1 (111 p10) <b>00</b> 0.	
	2.	Regarding the Fiscal given phase as long a \$11,500 in FY 2017/	s the total cash flow	•			
Si	uper	visorial District(s):	6		Prop K proporti expenditures - tl		100.00%
					Prop AA propos expenditures - tl		NA
		Sub-project detail?	Yes	If yes, see next pa	uge(s) for sub-pro	oject detail.	
SF	CTA	A Project Reviewer:	P&PD	Proje	ect # from SGA	See belo	W

## AUTHORITY RECOMMENDATION

This section is to be completed by Authority Staff.

	Last Update	ed: 02.12.2016	Resolution. No.	2016-047	Res. Date:	3/22/2016
Project Name: Bessie Carmichael Crosswalk [NTIP Capital]						
	1 Toject I van	ic. Dessie Carrinenaer	21033Walk [1 <b>11</b> 111 (	Барнац		
Ir	mplementing Agenc	cy: San Francisco Muni	cipal Transportati	on Agency		
		SUB-PR	OJECT DETAII	L		
			<u>-</u>			
Sub-Project # from	SGA:	140-907080	Name:		Crosswalk [NTIP (	Capital] - Planning
		•	sorial District(s):		6	
Cash Flow Distrib	oution Schedule by	y Fiscal Year & Phase	e (for entire alloca	tion/appropriatio: I	n)	
Source	Fiscal Year	Pha	se	Maximum Reimbursement	Cumulative % Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 40	FY 2016/17	Planning/Conceptu	al Engineering	\$7,500	100%	\$0
•						
			Total:	\$7,500		
				Γ		
Sub-Project # from	SGA:	140-907081	Name:	Bessie Carmichael	Crosswalk [NTIP (	Capital] - Design
,		Supervis	sorial District(s):		6	1 1
Cash Flow Distrib	ution Schedule by	y Fiscal Year & Phase	e (for entire alloca	tion/appropriatio	n)	
				Maximum	Cumulative %	
Source	Fiscal Year	Pha	se	Reimbursement	Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 40	FY 2016/17	Design Engineering	(PS&E)	\$6,000	100%	\$0
•				,		
			Total:	\$6,000		
			1			
Sub-Project # from	SCA.	140-907082	Names	Bessie Carmichael Construction	Crosswalk [NTIP (	Capital] -
Sub-Project # from	SGA:	Supervis	sorial District(s):			
Cash Flow Distrib	oution Schedule b	y Fiscal Year & Phase	` '			
			<b>\</b>			
EP Line	Fiscal Year	Pha	se	Maximum Reimbursement	Cumulative % Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 40	FY 2016/17	Construction		\$3,000	21%	\$11,500
Prop K EP 40	FY 2017/18	Construction		\$11,500	52%	\$0
1			Total:	\$14,500		



FY of Allocation Action:	2015/16 Current Prop A	*		
Project Name:	Bessie Carmichael Crosswalk [NTIF	Capital]		
Implementing Agency: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency				
	Project Manager	Grants Section Contact		
Name (typed	): Alan Uy	Joel C. Goldberg		
rs: 1		Manager,		
Titl	e: Transportation Engineer	Capital Procurement & Mgmt		
Phon	e: 415-749-2499	415.701.4499		
Fa	x:			
Emai	il: alan.uy@sfmta.com	Joel.Goldberg@sfmta.com		
	1 South Van Ness Avenue 7th	1 South Van Ness Avenue 8th		

Address: Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103

Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103